

## Accepted Manuscript

Title: Risk factors associated with the antimicrobial resistance of staphylococci in canine pyoderma

Authors: Belén Huerta, Alfonso Maldonado, Pedro J. Ginel, Carmen Tarradas, Lidia Gómez-Gascón, Rafael J. Astorga, Inmaculada Luque



PII: S0378-1135(11)00050-2  
DOI: doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.02.002  
Reference: VETMIC 5169

To appear in: *VETMIC*

Received date: 9-9-2010  
Revised date: 27-1-2011  
Accepted date: 1-2-2011

Please cite this article as: Huerta, B., Maldonado, A., Ginel, P.J., Tarradas, C., Gómez-Gascón, L., Astorga, R.J., Luque, I., Risk factors associated with the antimicrobial resistance of staphylococci in canine pyoderma, *Veterinary Microbiology* (2008), doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.02.002

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 **Risk factors associated with the antimicrobial resistance of staphylococci in canine**  
2 **pyoderma**

3 Belén Huerta<sup>a</sup>, Alfonso Maldonado<sup>a</sup>, Pedro J. Ginel<sup>b</sup> Carmen Tarradas<sup>a</sup>, Lidia Gómez-  
4 Gascón<sup>a</sup>, Rafael J. Astorga<sup>a</sup>, Inmaculada Luque<sup>a,\*</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup>*Departamento de Sanidad Animal, Facultad de Veterinaria, Campus Universitario de*  
6 *Rabanales, 14071 Córdoba, Spain.*

7 <sup>2</sup>*Departamento de Medicina y Cirugía, Facultad de Veterinaria, Campus Universitario*  
8 *de Rabanales, 14071 Córdoba, Spain.*

9

10 Corresponding author: \*

11 Departamento de Sanidad Animal, Edificio Sanidad Animal, Campus Universitario de  
12 Rabanales, 14071 Córdoba, Spain.

13 Phone: +34 957 212 635

14 E-mail:sa1lumoi@uco.es

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

## Abstract

This study reports the susceptibility to antimicrobial agents of staphylococci (n=105) isolated from dogs, and the factors associated with this resistance. The study animals were 23 healthy dogs (group A), 24 with first-time pyoderma (group B), and 27 with recurrent pyoderma that had undergone long-term antibiotic treatment (group C). Staphylococci were more commonly isolated from the pyoderma-affected than the healthy dogs ( $p<0.0001$ ).

Some 78% of the isolates were resistant to at least one antimicrobial agent. Resistance to amoxicillin-clavulanate, cephalosporins (OR 4.29, 95% CI [1.15, 16.3] respectively), enrofloxacin (OR 9.47, 95% CI [1.53, 58.5]) and ciprofloxacin (OR 79.7 95% CI [3.26, 1947.4]) was more common among group C isolates. Some 32% of all the isolates were multiresistant (MR) and 10.4% were methicillin-resistant (MRS). The probability of isolating MRS staphylococci in group C increased by a factor of four (95% CI [1.18, 17.9]) compared to A plus B. Multi-resistant (MR) isolates were obtained more commonly from urban than rural dogs (OR 3.79, 95% CI [1.09, 13.17]). All the MRS staphylococci encountered were obtained from urban dogs and more commonly from male dogs ( $p= 0.07$ ).

This study shows that dogs bred in urban habitat, with a history of antibiotic therapy in the past year represents significant risk of being carriers of isolates resistant to methicillin (MRS) and other antimicrobials. These factors should be considered before applying an antimicrobial treatment in veterinary clinics.

## Keywords

Staphylococci, pyoderma, dog, antimicrobial susceptibility, methicillin-resistance

## 1. Introduction

Staphylococcal skin infections are one of the most common reasons why animal owners seek the help of their veterinarians. The coagulase-positive staphylococci most commonly isolated in cases of canine pyoderma are *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius*,

1 *S. intermedius* and *S. schleiferi* spp. *coagulans* (Quinn et al., 1998; Shimizu et al., 2001;  
2 Morris et al., 2006; Fazakerley et al., 2009). The high degree of genetic similarity  
3 shown by the first two of these species has led to their reclassification as a single,  
4 genetically homogeneous group known as the *Staphylococcus intermedius* group (SIG).  
5 (Sasaki et al., 2007; Fitzgerald, 2009). Other coagulase-positive and coagulase-negative  
6 staphylococci (CoNS) have also been isolated from dogs with pyoderma (Zdovc et al.,  
7 2004; Hauschild and Wójcik, 2007).

8

9 The control of canine pyoderma is based on local or systemic antimicrobial  
10 therapy (Ganiere et al., 2005). However, recent years have seen a worldwide increase in  
11 the prevalence of resistance to commonly-used antimicrobial agents (Petersen et al.,  
12 2002; Kadlec et al., 2010). Of particular importance are methicillin-resistant strains  
13 (MRS) since they are resistant to all  $\beta$ -lactams antibiotics, commonly used in oral  
14 treatment of pyoderma. Also, animals can become reservoirs of such strains for humans,  
15 so they have a major impact on public health (Guardabassi et al., 2004; Loeffler et al.,  
16 2007; Fitzgerald, 2009). Resistance to methicillin is conferred by an altered penicillin-  
17 binding protein (PBP)2a, encoded by the *mecA* gene, which is located on a mobile  
18 genetic element designated staphylococcal cassette chromosome (*SCCmec*) (Matsushashi  
19 et al., 1986).

20

21 A number of authors report differences in the resistance patterns between isolates  
22 (Holm et al., 2002; Hartmann et al., 2005; Futagawa-Saito et al., 2007); studies are  
23 therefore needed that determine the risk factors associated with resistance. The aim of  
24 the present work was to determine the antimicrobial susceptibility of staphylococci  
25 isolated from dogs presenting at the Clinical Veterinary Hospital of Cordoba University  
26 (Spain), and to determine the possible risk factors associated with resistance. Such  
27 knowledge should allow for the better control of canine pyoderma.

28

## 29 **Material and methods**

30

### 31 *Animals and sample collection*

32 The study animals were 74 dogs admitted to the Clinical Veterinary Hospital of  
33 Cordoba from October to December 2009 (Table 1). Three groups were established;  
34 group A with 23 healthy dogs, group B with 24 dogs with first-time pyoderma, and

1 group C with 27 dogs presenting with recurrent pyoderma even though they had  
2 received long-term antibiotic treatments. The animals belonging to the first two groups  
3 had not received antibiotic therapy in the preceding year. Two reasons led us to select  
4 this time period: One year was a period of time that included all seasons, and also, all  
5 owners could confidently remember if their dogs had received any prior therapy during  
6 that time. The most common primary causes of chronic pyoderma in group C were  
7 atopic dermatitis, endocrine dermatoses and primary pyoderma. In this group, antibiotic  
8 treatment was ended at least two weeks before samples were taken. The following data  
9 were collected for each animal: sex, age, habitat, and details of cohabitation with other  
10 dogs, site of isolation and treatment history (Table 4).

11

12 Swabs for bacterial culture and transport (Culturette swabs with Amies  
13 Transport medium [EUROTUBO<sup>®</sup>], Deltalab) were taken from different body areas: the  
14 mouth mucosa and perineum in healthy animals, and the lesion zone and perineum in  
15 animals with pyoderma (Hartmann et al., 2005; Griffeth et al., 2008; Fazakerley et al.,  
16 2009). Swabs were rubbed vigorously against the sampling site for 5 s and processed  
17 immediately.

18

### 19 *Bacterial isolation and identification*

20 All swabs were grown on Blood Agar (Oxoid SA, Spain) supplemented with 5%  
21 sterile, defibrinated sheep's blood (Oxoid S.A., Spain) and Mannitol Salt Agar (Oxoid  
22 S.A., Spain). All plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 18 to 24 h. Isolates were  
23 identified on the basis of colony morphology, Gram staining, pigment production and  
24 haemolysis. All Gram-positive, catalase-positive cocci with colony morphology  
25 compatible with that of *Staphylococcus* species were selected for further analysis.  
26 Coagulase activity was determined via the tube coagulase test using rabbit serum (Difco  
27 S.A., Spain) and the clumping factor test (Oxoid S.A., Spain). Coagulase-positive  
28 isolates were further identified by conventional biochemical tests: acetoin production  
29 (Voges Proskauer), acid production from lactose, the trehalose test, the beta-  
30 galactosidase test (ONPG test), and susceptibility to polymyxin B and furazolidone, as  
31 previously described (Zdoc et al., 2004; Sasaki et al., 2007). Coagulase-negative  
32 isolates were identified using the API 20 STAPH system (bioMerieux S.A., Spain)  
33 according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

34

## 1        *Susceptibility tests*

2        The antimicrobial susceptibility of the isolates was determined on Mueller-Hinton  
3 agar (Oxoid, Spain) using the disk diffusion method. Eight different groups of  
4 antimicrobial agents, widely used in companion animal clinical, were studied: beta  
5 lactams (represented by ampicillin [10 µg/disk]), amoxicillin-clavulanate (20 and 10  
6 µg/disk), cephalothin (30 µg/disk), cephalexin (30 µg/disk), cephadroxyI (30 µg/disk)  
7 and ceftiofur (30 µg/disk). Fluoroquinolones were represented by ciprofloxacin (5  
8 µg/disk) and enrofloxacin (5 µg/disk). Macrolides and lincosamides were represented  
9 by erythromycin (15 µg/disk) and clindamycin (2 µg/disk): erythromycin and  
10 clindamycin discs were placed approximately 15 mm apart to detect MLSB<sub>i</sub> resistance.  
11 Tetracycline (30 µg/disk), gentamicin (10 µg/disk), and rifampin (5 µg/disk) were also  
12 tested. All antimicrobial agents were purchased from Oxoid (Oxoid, S.A., Spain).  
13 *Staphylococcus aureus* reference strain ATCC 25923 was used as a quality control. The  
14 measurement and interpretation of growth inhibition diameters was performed  
15 following the CLSI guidelines for veterinary antimicrobial susceptibility tests for  
16 pathogens of animal origin (CLSI, 2008). Quality control was performed for each day of  
17 testing or weekly if satisfactory performance was documented and whenever a new lot  
18 of media or lot of disk were used, as recommended the CLSI (2008). In this work,  
19 isolates with resistance to three or more classes of antimicrobial agents were considered  
20 multiresistant (MR), following the criteria of Holm et al (2002).

21        All 105 isolates were tested for β-lactamase production with nitrocefin disk  
22 (Oxoid, S.A., Spain) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Development of a red  
23 colour indicated positive results. Those beta-lactamase producing isolates were  
24 considered resistant to ampicillin.

25        Resistance to oxacillin was determined by the growth of blue colonies in the  
26 selective medium Oxacillin Resistance Screening Agar Base (ORSAB, Oxoid S.A.,  
27 Spain), supplemented with polymyxin B (50 IU/L) and oxacillin (2 mg/L), after 24-48 h  
28 aerobic incubation at 37°C. Reference quality control strains of oxacillin-resistant *S.*  
29 *aureus* (ATCC 43300), oxacillin-susceptible *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923) were used for  
30 screening for methicillin-resistant isolates. Suspected MRS isolates were further  
31 confirmed by the latex agglutination assay (Oxoid, S.A., Spain), following the  
32 manufacturer's recommendations.

33

## 34        *Epidemiological analysis*

1 A total of 105 staphylococci was collected (table 1). This allowed the  
2 comparison of resistance to antimicrobial agents between the study groups (95%  
3 confidence and 80% power), with a minimum OR of 2, for an expected proportion of  
4 multiresistant isolates in pyoderma-affected dogs of 30% (Holm et al., 2002).  
5 Staphylococcal species distribution was examined by calculating the frequency of  
6 isolation of the different species in each group, which were then compared using the  
7  $\chi^2$  test. For the study of the risk factors associated with antimicrobial resistance,  
8 multiresistance (MR) and methicillin resistance (MRS), the percentage of resistant  
9 isolates in each group and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were determined  
10 and compared using Fisher's exact test and via the calculation of odds ratios (OR).  
11 Significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ . All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS  
12 v.12.0 software for Windows.

## 13 **Results**

### 14 *Staphylococcal species distribution*

15  
16 Table 1 shows that staphylococci were recovered from 67 of the 74 (90.5%)  
17 studied animals: from 16 (69.5%) healthy dogs (group A), from 24 (100%) dogs with  
18 first-time pyoderma (group B), and from 27 (100%) of the dogs with recurrent  
19 pyoderma (group C). Two corporal zones were studied from each animal; when various  
20 isolates belonged to the same species and similar susceptibility were obtained from an  
21 individual dog, only one isolate was considered to avoid duplicate results.  
22

23  
24 A total of 105 staphylococcus isolates were obtained (Table 1). Twenty-one  
25 (20%) isolates were obtained from group A animals, 40 (38%) from group B animals,  
26 and 44 (42%) from group C animals. The frequency of isolation of staphylococci was  
27 significantly higher ( $p < 0.0001$ ) in the pyoderma-affected dogs (groups B and C) than in  
28 the healthy dogs (group A).  
29

30 Table 1 also shows that the majority of the isolates (83; 79%) were SIG  
31 members. Ten (9.5%) isolates were identified as *S. aureus* and 12 (11.4%) as different  
32 CoNS. The frequency of isolation of SIG members and CoNS was statistically higher in  
33 pyoderma-affected animals ( $p < 0.05$ ). No differences were observed among groups in  
34 terms of the frequency of isolation of *S. aureus* (data not shown).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

### *Antimicrobial susceptibility*

Table 2 summarises the frequency of antimicrobial resistance. Resistance was most commonly seen against ampicillin (68.6%), tetracycline (41%), erythromycin (35.2%) and clindamycin (28.6%).

The frequency of isolates resistant to erythromycin and clindamycin was very similar among groups, but the frequency of isolates resistant to tetracycline was significantly greater in the pyoderma-affected animals (groups B and C) (Table 2) (**tetracycline**: OR B/A groups 3.84, 95% CI [1.09-13.4]); OR C/A groups 3.54, 95% CI [1.02-12.2]). No differences were observed between groups B and C ( $p>0.05$ ).

Large differences were also observed between the isolates obtained from group C animals compared to A plus B animals in terms of resistance to cephalosporins and amoxicillin-clavulanate (OR 4.29, 95% CI [1.15, 16.3]), enrofloxacin (OR 9.47, 95% CI [1.53, 58.5]) and ciprofloxacin (OR 79.7 95% CI [3.26, 1947.4]).

Table 3 shows the resistance pattern detected and the frequency of MSS and MRS isolates. A total of 82 of the staphylococci isolated (78.1%) were resistant to at least one antimicrobial agent, i.e., 14 of the 21 isolates (66.6%) obtained from animals of group A, 30 of the 40 isolates (75%) from the dogs in group B, and 38 of the 44 isolates (86.4%) of the group C animals. Statistical analysis (Table 4) showed no differences between treated and not-treated groups ( $p = 0.08$ ), although a clear trend to resistance to one or more antimicrobials was observed among isolates obtained from animals with a history of antibiotic therapy.

Thirty-four (32.3%) of the 105 isolates were resistant to three or more antimicrobial agents (Table 3), and were considered multiresistant (6 isolates obtained from animals in group A, 13 isolates recovered from group B, and 15 from group C; however, these differences were not significant ( $p>0.05$ ). Eleven (10.4%) isolates were resistant to methicillin (7/105 identified as SIG, 2/105 as *S. aureus*, 1/105 as *S. cohnii* subsp. *cohnii* and 1/105 as *S. capitis*). All isolates produced the protein PBP2a in the latex agglutination test. All the MRS isolates came from pyoderma-affected animals (Table 3). The Exact Fisher test showed a significant association between the use of



1 long-term treatments and the presence of MRS staphylococci (18.2% of MRS isolates in  
2 group C vs. 7.5% in A plus B animals; OR 4.3, 95% CI [1.15, 15.9]) (Table 4). Among  
3 the MRS isolates, 7 (63.6%) were also resistant to tetracycline, 3 (87.3%) to  
4 fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin and enrofloxacin), erythromycin and clindamycin,  
5 respectively, and 2 (18.2%) to gentamicin. One (9.1%) of the MR isolates was also  
6 resistant to rifampin.

7  
8 Statistical analysis was performed to determine possible risk factors associated  
9 with the isolation of multidrug resistant (MR) and methicillin resistant (MRS),  
10 respectively (Table 4). MR isolates were obtained more commonly from urban than  
11 rural dogs (OR 3.79; 95% CI [1.09, 13.17]). However, no correlation was found  
12 between the multiresistance and sex, age, previous treatments of the animals (Table 4).  
13 All MRS staphylococci were obtained from urban dogs (11.2% versus 0%,  $p = 0.19$ )  
14 and a more frequently from males (14.9% versus 3.7%,  $p = 0.07$ )

15  
16 The assessment of MLSB<sub>1</sub> resistance showed 29 (27.6%) of the 105 isolates to  
17 be resistant to erythromycin and clindamycin. Sixteen isolates showed an unusual  
18 pattern in the Kirby-Bauer test (ERY-resistant but CLI-susceptible). The results of the  
19 D-test showed three phenotypes: 2/16 isolates showed a clindamycin-inducible  
20 resistance phenotype (D-zone effect), 7/16 showed a negative phenotype (ERY-resistant  
21 but CLI-susceptible), and 7/16 showed a resistant phenotype (ERY-resistant and CLI-  
22 resistant).

## 23 24 Discussion

25  
26 The present results highlight the large number of apparently healthy dogs that  
27 are carriers of staphylococci on their skin and mucosae (69.5%). This proportion  
28 increases significantly in animals with pyoderma (to 100%), as described by other  
29 authors (Hartmann et al., 2005; Fatagawa-Saito et al., 2007). The results of the  
30 biochemical tests showed the majority (83/105, 79%) of isolates to be SIG members  
31 (Table 1), with the frequency of isolation increasing significantly in pyoderma-affected  
32 animals ( $p < 0.05$ ). *S. aureus* and CoNS (9.5% and 11.4% respectively) were also  
33 isolated in all three groups of animals with a similar trend. *S. aureus* has traditionally  
34 been associated with different diseases in humans, but in dogs it is considered a

1 transient inhabitant that has the potential to cause disease; it is thought to be involved in  
2 <5% of skin infections (Holm et al., 2002; Hauschild and Wojcik, 2007; Fazakerley et  
3 al., 2009). However, in the present work, although its frequency was low, most isolates  
4 (70%) were obtained from areas of skin with lesions. The low frequency of isolation of  
5 CoNS should be noted, although recent studies indicate the emergence of these species'  
6 involvement in canine pyoderma and otitis (Hauschild and Wójcik, 2007).

7  
8 The results of the *in vitro* susceptibility studies show the effectiveness of the  
9 different groups of antimicrobial agents examined (Table 2), and confirm the results of  
10 previous authors who report cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones, amoxicillin/clavulanic  
11 acid, gentamicin and rifampin to be first-line choices in staphylococcus-induced canine  
12 pyoderma (Morris et al., 2006; Vanni et al., 2009). In the present work, a high  
13 proportion of isolates were resistant to ampicillin (68.6%), tetracycline (41%),  
14 erythromycin (35.2%) and clindamycin (28.6%). Results from other countries show  
15 there to be wide variation in terms of bacterial resistance profiles, but in general the  
16 above agents are less effective for the empirical treatment of canine pyoderma (Holm et  
17 al., 2002; Ganiere et al., 2005; Hartmann et al., 2005; Fatagawa-Saito et al., 2007;  
18 Hauschild and Wójcik, 2007).

19  
20 In the present study, 78% of the isolates were resistant to at least one  
21 antimicrobial agent. The isolates obtained from the group B and C animals were  
22 resistant to more antimicrobial agents than those obtained from the healthy dogs (group  
23 A) (Table 2), with significant differences for tetracycline. The frequency of isolates  
24 resistant to different antimicrobial agents increased when the animals had recurrent  
25 pyoderma and received long-term antibiotic treatments, significantly so for  
26 cephalosporins and amoxicillin-clavulanate (OR 4.29, 95% CI [1.15, 16.3]),  
27 enrofloxacin (OR 9.47, 95% CI [1.53, 58.5]) and ciprofloxacin (OR 79.7 95% CI [3.26,  
28 1947.4]).

29  
30 The acquisition of resistance to fluoroquinolones has been described in animals  
31 with recurrent pyoderma when treated with this group of antimicrobial agents (Ganière  
32 et al., 2001). In the present work, most of the group C animals had been treated with  
33 amoxicillin-clavulanate, cefalexin and ciprofloxacin (data not shown); significant  
34 differences were seen in the percentage of isolates resistant to these different agents.

1 These results suggest that a microbiological study is always advisable in clinical  
2 recurrent pyoderma despite the effectiveness generally shown by amoxicillin-  
3 clavulanate and cephalosporins (Rantala et al., 2004).

4

5 More than 30% of the isolates detected were multiresistant (Table 3), as  
6 described by other authors (Holm et al., 2002; Ganiere et al., 2005). However, in  
7 contrast to these studies, no significant difference ( $p>0.05$ ) was seen (table 4) in the  
8 number of MR isolates in each group despite the larger number associated with group C  
9 (45.6%). A total of 23 resistance pattern were detected (data not shown), but no  
10 differences were observed among groups; these results agree with those of other studies  
11 (Shimizu et al., 2001; Vanni et al., 2009). Methicillin-resistant staphylococci (MRS)  
12 were isolated in the present study (10.4%) in a proportion higher than that recorded in  
13 other studies (Holm et al., 2002; Rantala et al., 2004; Vanni et al., 2009). Statistical  
14 analysis showed a notable association between exposure to long-term treatments and the  
15 presence of MRS staphylococci (OR 4.6, 95% CI [1.15, 15.9]). Among the MRS  
16 isolates, resistance to other antimicrobial agents have been detected. In veterinary  
17 medicine, recent publications have also demonstrated increased prevalence of MRS  
18 resistance to fluoroquinolones, macrolides, aminoglycosides and tetracyclines (Kadlec  
19 et al., 2010).

20

21 Statistical analysis to determine possible risk factors associated with the  
22 isolation of multidrug resistant (MR) and methicillin resistant (MRS), respectively  
23 (Table 4), shows that more MR staphylococci were isolated from urban than rural dogs  
24 (OR 3.79; 95% CI [1.09, 13.17]) and curiously all the MRS isolates were obtained from  
25 urban dogs. This is probably due to the greater prescription of antimicrobial agents for  
26 urban dogs and their greater use of veterinary clinics, hospitals and kennels. Other  
27 variables studied, such as sex or treatment history, were not found to be associated with  
28 the MR or MRS characteristics of the isolates.

29

30 Clindamycin has traditionally been the drug of choice for the empirical  
31 treatment of canine pyoderma, including the treatment of infections caused by MRS  
32 strains, given its good oral absorption, excellent penetration and scant secondary  
33 reactions (Faires et al., 2009). However, the high percentage of resistance detected in  
34 our study in all three groups of animals discourages this option without prior

1 microbiological analysis. In addition, there are many references referring to cross-  
2 resistance between erythromycin and clindamycin (Ganiere et al., 2005) as well as a  
3 form of inducible resistance to clindamycin. The latter is not detected in routine disc-  
4 plaque diffusion tests, and can lead to therapeutic failure. The identification of strains  
5 with inducible macrolide resistance can be achieved via double disc diffusion inhibition  
6 assays (the D-Test). A positive D-test suggests the presence of an *erm* gene that could  
7 result in a constitutive clindamycin resistance and potential clinical failure of this drug  
8 (Swenson et al., 2007; Yilmaz et al., 2007). If the D-test is negative, clindamycin can be  
9 used therapeutically. In the present study, 29 (27.6%) of the 105 isolates were resistant  
10 to both erythromycin and clindamycin (Fig. 1). The D-test results for 16 isolates that  
11 presented an unusual pattern in the Kirby-Bauer test (ERY-resistant but CLI-  
12 susceptible) showed two isolates (11.1%), both SIG members, to possess an inducible  
13 form of clindamycin resistance. Previous studies have shown the percentage of strains  
14 showing inducible resistance to range between 12.3% (Levin et al., 2005) and 37.5%  
15 (Rich et al., 2005) in both the human and veterinary medicine settings; this resistance  
16 has been associated with strains of *S. aureus* and CoNS (Faires et al., 2009). The  
17 present results suggest the D-test should be routinely performed concurrently with  
18 susceptibility testing to examine if the clindamycin can be used therapeutically.

19

## 20 **Conclusions**

21

22 Staphylococcal resistance to antimicrobial agents is more pronounced in urban  
23 animals with recurrent pyoderma that have undergone long-term, empirical,  
24 antimicrobial treatment. The susceptibility of staphylococci causing pyoderma should  
25 always be checked *in vitro* in order to select the best treatment. The present results  
26 suggest the routine use of the D-test to assess the effectiveness of clindamycin in the  
27 treatment of pyoderma, especially when caused by MRS strains.

## 28 **Acknowledgments**

29 This work was funded by the PAIDI-256 group of the *Junta de Andalucía*. The  
30 authors thank Adrian Burton for editing the English manuscript.

31

## 32 **Conflict of interest statement**

1 The authors have no financial or personal relationships with any persons or  
2 organizations that might inappropriately influence the content of this paper.

#### 4 **References**

6 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), 2008: Performance Standards  
7 for Antimicrobial Disk and Dilution Susceptibility Test for Bacteria Isolated from  
8 Animals: Approved Standard, Third Edition. M31-A3. Vol. 28, No. 8.

10 Faires, M.C., Gard, S., Aucoin, D., Weese, J.S., 2009. Inducible clindamycin-  
11 resistance in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-resistant  
12 *Staphylococcus pseudintermedius* isolates from dogs and cats. *Vet Microbiol.* 139, 419-  
13 420.

15 Fazakerley, J., Nuttall, T., Sales, D., Szhmidt, V., Carter, S.D., Hart, C.A.,  
16 McEwan, N.A., 2009. Staphylococcal colonization of mucosal and lesion skin sites in  
17 atopic and healthy dogs. *Vet. Dermatol.* 20, 179-184.

19 Fitzgerald, J.R., 2009. The *Staphylococcus intermedius* group of bacterial  
20 pathogens: species re-classification, pathogenesis and the emergence of methicillin  
21 resistance. *Vet. Dermatol.* 20, 490-495

23 Futagawa-Saito, K., Ba-Thein, W., Fukuyasu, T., 2007. High occurrence of multi-  
24 antimicrobial resistance in *Staphylococcus intermedius* isolates from healthy and  
25 diseased dogs and domesticated pigeons. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 83, 336-339.

27 Ganière, J.P., Médaille, C., Limet, A., Ruvoen, N., André-Fontaine, G., 2001  
28 Antimicrobial activity of enrofloxacin against *Staphylococcus intermedius* strains  
29 isolated from canine pyoderma. *Vet. Dermatol.* 12, 171-175.

31 Ganiere, J.P., Medaille, C., Mangion, C., 2005. Antimicrobial drug susceptibility  
32 of *Staphylococcus intermedius* clinical isolates from canine pyoderma. *J. Vet. Med. B*  
33 *Infect. Dis. Vet. Public Health.* 52, 25-31.

1 Griffeth, G.C., Morris, D.O., Abraham, J.L., Shofer, F.S., Rankin, S.C., 2008  
2 Screening for skin carriage of methicillin-resistant coagulase-positive staphylococci and  
3 *Staphylococcus schleiferi* in dogs with healthy and inflamed skin. Vet. Dermatol. 19,  
4 142-149.

5

6 Guardabassi, L., Loeber, M.E., Jacobson, A., 2004. Transmission of multiple  
7 antimicrobial-resistant *Staphylococcus intermedius* between dogs affected by deep  
8 pyoderma and their owners. Vet. Microbiol. 14, 23-27.

9

10 Hartmann, F.A., White, D.G., West, S.E., Walker, R.D., Deboer, D.J., 2005.  
11 Molecular characterization of *Staphylococcus intermedius* carriage by healthy dogs and  
12 comparison of antimicrobial susceptibility patterns to isolates from dogs with  
13 pyoderma. Vet. Microbiol. 108, 119-131.

14

15 Hauschild, T. and Wójcik, A., 2007. Species distribution and properties of  
16 staphylococci from canine dermatitis. Vet. Sci. 82, 1-6

17

18 Holm, B.R., Petersson, U., Mörner, A., Bergström, K., Franklin, A., Greko, C.,  
19 2002. Antimicrobial resistance in staphylococci from canine pyoderma: a prospective  
20 study of first-time and recurrent cases in Sweden. Vet. Rec. 151, 600-605.

21

22 Kadlec, K., Schwarz, S., Perreten, V., Andersson, U.G., Finn, M., Greko, C.,  
23 Moodley, A., Kania, S.A., Frank, L.A., Bemis, D.A., Franco, A., Iurescia, M., Battisti,  
24 A., Duim, B., Wagenaar, J.A., van Duijkeren, E., Weese, J.S., Fitzgerald, J.R., Rossano,  
25 A., Guardabassi, L., 2010. Molecular analysis of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus*  
26 *pseudintermedius* of feline origin from different European countries and North America.  
27 J Antimicrob Chemother. 65, 1826-1828.

28

29 Levin, T.P., Suh, B., Axelrod, P., Truant, A.L., Fekete, T., 2005. Potential  
30 clindamycin resistance in clindamycin-susceptible, erythromycin-resistant  
31 *Staphylococcus aureus*: report of a clinical failure. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 49,  
32 1222-1224.

33

1 Loeffler, A., Linek, M., Moodley, A., Guardabassi, L., Sung, J.M., Winkler, M.,  
2 Weiss, R., Lloyd, D.H., 2007. First report of multiresistant, *mecA*-positive  
3 *Staphylococcus intermedius* in Europe: 12 cases from a veterinary dermatology referral  
4 clinic in Germany. *Vet. Dermatol.* 18, 412-421.

5  
6 Matsushashi, M., Song, MD., Ishino, F., Wachi, M., Doi, M., Inoue, M., Ubukata,  
7 K., Yamashita, N., Konno, M., 1986. Molecular cloning of the gene of a penicillin-  
8 binding protein supposed to cause high resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics in  
9 *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Bacteriol.* 167, 975-980.

10  
11 Morris, D.O., Rook, K.A., Shofer, F.S., Rankin, S.C., 2006. Screening of  
12 *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus intermedius*, and *Staphylococcus schleiferi*  
13 isolates obtained from small companion animals for antimicrobial resistance: a  
14 retrospective review of 749 isolates (2003-04). *Vet. Dermatol.* 17, 332-337.

15  
16 Petersen, A.D., Walker, R.D., Bowman, M.M., Schott, H.C., Rosser, E.J., 2002.  
17 Frequency of isolation and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of *Staphylococcus*  
18 *intermedius* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from canine skin and ear samples  
19 over a 6-year period (1992-1997). *J. Am. Anim. Hosp. Assoc.* 2002 38, 407-413.

20  
21 Rantala, M., Lahti, E., Kuhalampi, J., Pesonen, S., Järvinen, A-K., Saijonmaa-  
22 Koulumies, L., Honkanen-Buzalski, T., 2004. Antimicrobial resistance in  
23 *Staphylococcus* spp., *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus* spp. in dogs given antibiotics  
24 for chronic dermatological disorders, compared with non-treated control dogs. *Acta Vet.*  
25 *Scand.* 45, 37-45.

26  
27 Rich, M., Deighton, L., Roberts, L., 2005. Clindamycin-resistance in methicillin-  
28 resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from animals. *Vet. Microbiol.* 20, 237-240.

29  
30 Sasaki, T., Kikuchi, K., Tanaka, Y., Takahashi, N., Kamata, S., Hiramatsu, K.,  
31 2007. Reclassification of phenotypically identified *Staphylococcus intermedius* strains.  
32 *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 45, 2770-2778.

33

1 Shimizu, A., Wakita, Y., Nagase, S., Okabe, M., Koji, T., Hayashi, T., Nagase,  
2 N., Sasaki, A., Kawano, J., Yamashita, K., Takagi, M., 2001. Antimicrobial  
3 susceptibility of *Staphylococcus intermedius* isolated from Healthy and Diseased Dogs.  
4 J. Vet. Med. Sci. 63, 357-360.

5  
6 Vanni, M., Tognetti, R., Pretti, C., Crema F., Soldani, G., Meucci, V., Intorre, L.  
7 2009. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus intermedius* and *Staphylococcus*  
8 *schleiferi* isolated from dogs. Vet. Sci. 87: 192-195.

9  
10 Yilmaz, G., Aydin, K., Iskender, S., Caylan, R., Koksall, I., 2007. Detection and  
11 prevalence of inducible clindamycin resistance in staphylococci. J. Med. Microbiol. 56,  
12 342-345.

13  
14 Zdovc, I., Ocepek, M., Pirs, T., Krt, B., Pinter, L., 2004. Microbiological features  
15 of *Staphylococcus schleiferi* subsp. *coagulans*, isolated from dogs and possible  
16 misidentification with other canine coagulase-positive staphylococci. J. Vet. Med. B  
17 Infect. Dis. Vet. Public Health. 51, 449-454.

18  
19



**Table 1. Animals studied and staphylococcal species isolated in each group of animals.**

Groups <sup>a</sup>	Positive animals N° (%)	Isolates N° (%)	Biochemical identification of the isolates <sup>b</sup>		
			SIG	<i>S. aureus</i>	CoNS
A (n=23)	16 (69.5)	21 (20%)	13	2	6
B (n =24)	24 (100)	40 (38%)	34	3	3
C (n=27)	27 (100)	44 (42%)	36	5	3
<b>Total (n= 74)</b>	<b>67 (90.5)</b>	<b>105 (100)</b>	<b>83 (79%)</b>	<b>10 (9.5%)</b>	<b>12 (11.4%)</b>

<sup>a</sup> Groups of animals (number): Group A (healthy dogs), Group B (animals with first-time pyoderma), and group C (dogs presenting with recurrent pyoderma that received long-term antibiotic treatments).

<sup>b</sup>SIG: *Staphylococcus intermedius* group, *S. aureus*: *Staphylococcus aureus*, SCoN: Coagulase negative staphylococci

1 **Table 2. *In vitro* susceptibility (disk diffusion method) of the 105 staphylococci isolates.**

Antimicrobial ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$ )	No. of resistant isolates (%)			
	Total	Group A <sup>a</sup>	Group B	Group C
Ampicillin (10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$ )	72 (68.6%)	12 (57.1%)	29 (72.5%)	31 (70.5%)
Amoxicillin-clavulanate (20 and 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$ )	11 (10.5%)	-	3 (7.5%)	8 (18.2%)
Cefadroxil (30 $\mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$ )	11 (10.5%)	-	3 (7.5%)	8 (18.2%)
Ceftiofur (30 $\mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$ )	11 (10.5%)	-	3 (7.5%)	8 (18.2%)
Cephalothin (30 $\mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$ )	11 (10.5%)	-	3 (7.5%)	8 (18.2%)
Cephalexin (30 $\mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$ )	11 (10.5%)	-	3 (7.5%)	8 (18.2%)
Oxacillin <sup>b</sup>	11 (10.5%)	-	3 (7.5%)	8 (18.2%)
Gentamicin (10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$ )	4 (3.8%)	-	1 (2.5%)	3 (6.8%)
Tetracycline (30 $\mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$ )	43 (41%)	4 (19%)	19 (47.5%)	20 (45.5%)
Ciprofloxacin (5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$ )	5 (4.8%)	-	-	5 (11.4%)
Enrofloxacin (5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$ )	7 (6.7%)	1 (4.8%)	-	6 (13.6%)
Clindamycin (2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$ )	30 (28.6%)	7 (33.3%)	10 (25%)	13 (29.5%)
Erythromycin (15 $\mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$ )	37 (35.2%)	8 (38.1%)	13 (32.5%)	16 (36.4%)
Rifampin (5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$ )	2 (1.9%)	-	-	2 (4.5%)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>44</b>

2  
3 <sup>a</sup> Groups of animals: Group A (healthy dogs), Group B (animals with first-time pyoderma), and group C  
4 (dogs presenting with recurrent pyoderma that received long-term antibiotic treatments).

5 <sup>b</sup> The oxacillin result is based on the latex agglutination test.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

1 **Table 3. Resistance pattern detected among the 105 staphylococci isolates analysed.**

RESISTANCE PATTERN	No. OF ISOLATES (%)			
	Total n = 105	Group A <sup>a</sup> n = 21	Group B n = 40	Group C n = 44
<i>Susceptible to all the antimicrobial agents</i>	23 (21.9%)	7 (33.3%)	10 (27%)	6 (16.6%)
<i>Resistant to 1 or more antimicrobial agents</i>	82 (78.1%)	14 (66.6%)	30 (75%)	38 (86.4%)
<b>Methicillin susceptible (MSS)</b>	<b>94 (89.5%)</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>36</b>
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial agent	25 (26.5%)	5 (23.8%)	10 (27%)	10 (27.7%)
Resistant to 2 antimicrobial agents	17 (18.0%)	3 (14.2%)	5 (13.5%)	9 (25%)
Resistant to 3 or more antimicrobial agents	29 (30.8%)	6 (28.5%)	12 (32.4%)	11 (30.5%)
<b>Methicillin resistant (MRS)</b>	<b>11 (10.4%)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>8</b>
Resistant only to oxacillin	3 (27.3%)	0	1 (33.3%)	2 (25%)
Resistant to 2 antimicrobial agents	3 (27.3%)	0	1 (33.3%)	2 (25%)
Resistant to 3 or plus antimicrobial agents	5 (45.4%)	0	1 (33.3%)	4 (50%)

2

3 <sup>a</sup> Groups of animals: Group A (healthy dogs), Group B (animals with first-time pyoderma), and group C  
4 (dogs presenting with recurrent pyoderma that received long-term antibiotic treatments).

5 <sup>b</sup>Antimicrobial abbreviations: Amp: Ampicillin; Cip: Ciprofloxacin; Enr: Enrofloxacin; E: Erythromycin;  
6 Da: Clindamycin; Te: Tetracycline; Cn: Gentamicin; Trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole; Rd: Rifampin

7 <sup>c</sup>Isolates with resistance to three or more classes of antimicrobial agents were considered multiresistant  
8 (MR)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

1  
2  
3  
4  
5**Table 4. Study of risk factors for multiresistance (MR) and methicillin-resistance (MRS) of staphylococci isolated.**

<b>Risk factors associated to multiresistance (MR)</b>					
<b>Variable</b>	<b>Values</b>	<b>MR isolates</b>	<b>p</b>	<b>Odds ratio</b>	<b>95% IC (lower-upper)</b>
<b>Sex</b>	Male (n=47)	19 (40.4%)	0.2	-	-
	Female (n=53)	14 (26.4%)			
	No items (n=5)				
<b>Age</b>	< 5 years (n=50)	16 (32%)	1	-	-
	>5 years (n=47)	15 (32%)			
	No item (n=8)				
<b>Habitat</b>	Urban (n=80)	31 (38.7%)	<b>0.03</b>	<b>3.79</b>	<b>[1.09, 13.17]</b>
	Rural (n=21)	3 (14.3%)			
	No item (n=4)				
<b>Cohabitation with other dogs</b>	Yes (n=30)	7 (23.3%)	0.24	-	-
	No (n=70)	26 (37.1%)			
	No item (n=5)				
<b>Treatment</b>	Yes (n=44)	15 (34%)	0.75	-	-
	No (n=61)	19 (31.1%)			
<b>Risk factors associated to methicillin resistance (MRS)</b>					
<b>Variable</b>	<b>Values</b>	<b>MRS isolates</b>	<b>p</b>	<b>Odds ratio</b>	<b>95% IC (lower-upper)</b>
<b>Sex</b>	Male (n=47)	7 (14.9%)	<b>0.07</b>	-	-
	Female (n=53)	2 (3.7%)			
	No items (n=5)				
<b>Age</b>	< 5 years (n=50)	4 (8%)	0.74	-	-
	>5 years (n=47)	5 (10.6%)			
	No item (n=8)				
<b>Habitat</b>	Urban (n=80)	9 (11.2%)	0.19	-	-
	Rural (n=21)	0 (0%)			
	No item (n=4)				
<b>Cohabitation with other dogs</b>	Yes (n=30)	3 (10%)	1	-	-
	No (n=70)	6 (8.5%)			
	No item (n=5)				
<b>Treatment</b>	Yes (n=44)	<b>8 (18.2%)</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>[1.15, 15.9]</b>
	No (n=61)	<b>3 (5%)</b>			

6