

1 **Machine to machine connections for integral management of the olive production**

2 Sergio Bayano-Tejero¹, Rafael R. Sola-Guirado*², Jesús A. Gil-Ribes¹, Gregorio L. Blanco-Roldán¹

3 ¹ Department of Rural Engineering, E.T.S.I.A.M., ² Department of Mechanical Engineering, E.P.S.,

4 University of Cordoba, Campus de Rabanales, Ctra. Nacional IV km 396, Cordoba, Spain

5 *corresponding author. ir2sogur@uco.es

6 **Abstract**

7 Ensuring food safety and guaranteeing appropriate quality require correct management of all the
8 operations involved in the production chain. In the olive sector, most of the advances made in
9 traceability have focused their attention on the arrival of the fruit to industry and internal operations.
10 This work introduces a new machine-to-machine system that allows the sector to integrate all the
11 information generated from the field to the market through a methodology for the real-time
12 management of all operations carried out. The consumer can check the product history, farmers can
13 consult and optimise their resources, and industry can more efficiently control the operations
14 performed. The system developed is composed of an electronic device, iOlivetrack-D, mounted on
15 agricultural machinery, which uses RFID and GPS technology to identify plots and sectors, and sends
16 the information generated in the field to a web application, iOlivetrack-W. The developed system was
17 tested in real working conditions during a table olive grove season obtaining good results in the
18 identification of plots and sectors using RFID and GPS as well as in the registration of information
19 associated in the web application using both technologies. To complete the product chain information,
20 industrial processing operations were simulated by entering the data manually through the
21 application. Finally, a QR code was generated and affixed on the final product packaging to provide
22 consumer access to product traceability information. The work shows the pros and cons of this
23 adaptable system, which not only allows assured traceability of the entire production chain but also
24 efficient management of resources for farmers.

25 **Keywords: traceability, RFID, GPS, QR, field notebook, machinery.**

26 **Introduction**

27 Food quality and safety play a fundamental role in our society. Legislation has become more
28 restrictive and consumers demand more confidence (Aung and Chang, 2014), all of which must be
29 addressed through the implementation of a correct traceability system that involves appropriate
30 control and monitoring of the primary product. In order to do this, it is necessary to know the itinerary
31 followed during each phase of the food production chain, identifying both origin and all the inputs
32 the product has received, as well as the procedures and conditions that have intervened throughout its
33 production. The implementation of a reliable traceability system in the agri-food sector can bring
34 many advantages to all levels of the production chain (field, industry, market).

35 At field level, different farm management software (FMS) are available depending on the needs to be
36 covered (Fountas et al., 2015). The producer can supervise different tasks of the productive cycle
37 such as machinery management and cost control (Paraforos et al., 2017), crop monitoring (López-
38 Riquelme et al., 2017), irrigation management (Bartlett et al., 2015), acquisition of production and
39 yield parameters (Tan et al., 2015) or identification and origin of primary product plantations (Cunha
40 et al., 2010).

41 At the industry level, there are a wide range of commercial applications based on enterprise resource
42 planning (ERP) software that have the general purpose of controlling resources and ensuring
43 traceability of the product from the time it enters industry until it leaves (Li et al., 2017). However, a
44 good automatic connection for the transfer of data from field to industry is necessary and the largest
45 possible amount of the information generated should be conserved. This would make it easier to
46 classify batches, identify them immediately and, in the event of a risk, identify the causes and scope
47 of the problem (Resende-Filho and Hurley, 2012) thus avoiding serious damage to the brand image.

48 In general, and more specifically in the olive sector, there are no systems that allow the integration of
49 traceability in all phases of the production chain automatically. Normally, the traceability of olives
50 begins with the arrival of the fruit at industry when, in some cases, the information provided by the
51 producers in their field notebook is recorded. In the case of Spain, the world's leading olive producing

52 country (43% of olive oil and 21% of table olive production) (IOC, 2018), these data are generated
53 by technicians who provide such inputs as the phytosanitary products used (RD 1311/2012, 14th
54 September) and the production plot. This information is linked to the SIGPAC code. The SIGPAC
55 (Geographical Information System of Agricultural Plots) is a SIG application created by Ministry of
56 Agriculture, Food and Environment of Spain and allows the geographical identification of the plots
57 declared by farmers and stockbreeders. Thus, each plot has a SIGPAC Code associated through which
58 you can know the location and use given to the soil. There are some applications that allow these data
59 to be digitised for more exhaustive control (Valencia-García et al., 2018). However, all of them have
60 a high manual component, does not include all the activities and inputs that have taken place in the
61 field and depend on the reliability of the technician. All this translates into incomplete information
62 and hinders the creation of differentiated batches of products with data referring to the whole
63 production chain.

64 This work proposes a methodology for the automated acquisition of data related to batches of fruit
65 throughout the whole field cycle and the interconnection of this data with the industry level. To this
66 end, an electronic device (iOlivetrack-D) was which uses different technologies based on radio-
67 frequency identification (RFID) and the Global Positioning System (GPS) in order to identify the
68 origin of the inputs and manage the machinery. On the other hand, a web application based on cloud
69 computing technology (iOlivetrack-W) was developed to manage all of the data.

70 As RFID systems use tags, they offer high versatility for information management (Costa et al., 2013)
71 and have shown significant advantages over other identification technologies such as 2D codes (Qian
72 et al., 2012). The technology has been successfully employed in numerous applications (Ruiz-Garcia
73 and Lunadei, 2011) and is valid for both product batch identification and information recording. In
74 the proposed system, RFID technology is used to identify the plots where all field operations are
75 carried out and to associate the inputs generated in them, as well as the data transfer to industry.

76 The use of GPS technology for tasks such as navigation or machinery location has spread worldwide.
77 It is already used in combination with geographic information systems (GIS) for applications such as

78 the variable dosage of phytosanitary products (Pérez-Ruiz et al., 2011), irrigation adapted to soil
79 conditions (Privette et al., 2011), performance monitors (Magalhães and Cerri, 2007) or automatic
80 guidance of machinery (Perez-Ruiz et al., 2012). To control traceability, and as an alternative to the
81 RFID system previously outlined, this work proposes the use of GPS technology for a real-time
82 identification of plots that links machinery input with the plot.

83 Cloud computing systems have proven capable of adaption to the agricultural sector for many tasks
84 (Choudhary et al., 2016) and they bring numerous advantages such as efficiency, cost reduction,
85 quality and food safety (Bo and Wang, 2011). Applications developed on a web server make it
86 possible to optimise the management of resources from any location and at any time (Channe et al.,
87 2015). The proposed application uses this technology to record and automatically classify all of the
88 information generated at field and industry levels, as well as allowing its consultation.

89 Finally, QR codes have proved very useful to provide a link to the information associated with a
90 product for the consumer (Tarjan et al., 2014) by means of an open-source 2D identification system
91 that allows fast, omnidirectional readability and storage of up to 7089 characters. The high capacity
92 of these codes to restore reading data with a damaged area of up to 30% makes them ideal for product
93 identification and traceability applications under various environmental conditions (Suresh et al.,
94 2014). This type of code has been used in the proposed application to serve as a gateway for
95 consumers of the product at market level to obtain information about its production history (field and
96 industry).

97 The information incorporated into the traceability process can be very diverse, and its scope depends
98 directly on the methods employed and the processes performed. The following sections set out a
99 methodology that allows data from the field and industry levels to be recorded, and the data processed
100 by the different role users (farmer - producer - consumer) (Figure 1) to be consulted. Therefore, the
101 objective of this work is to introduce a new system for the integral management of olive production
102 by machine-to-machine interconnections and to enhance the traceability of the products generated in

103 this, or other similar, sectors. For this purpose, one electronic device “iOlivetrack-D” and one web
104 application “iOlivetrack-W” were developed and tested.

105 **Materials and Methods**

106 *Electronic Device “iOlivetrack-D”*

107 The iOlivetrack-D is a flexible device that allows identification of the plots on a farm, the acquisition
108 and uploading of data to a web application (iOlivetrack-W) and the labelling of product batches. This
109 device will normally be mounted on the tractor that controls the machinery used during the different
110 field operations. The components of the device are shown in Figure 2 and described below.

- 111 A. HMI display (CR1200, IFM, Essen, Germany). 12” display with function keys and several
112 communication interfaces such as a CAN bus, USB and Ethernet. Storage capacity of 1 GB
113 for datalogger, and a real-time clock (RTC).
- 114 B. RFID UHF reader/writer (ISC.LRU1002, FEIG Electronic, Hessen, Germany). This device
115 has up to 2 W configurable output power and allows the multiplexing of up to 4 antennas. In
116 addition, it provides USB, Ethernet and RS232 communication interfaces and IP64.
- 117 C. RFID antenna. It allows an adjustable 8-meter range and a 65° emission cone on the x and y
118 axes in combination with the reader/writer. Size 270x270 mm.
- 119 D. UHF RFID tags (Higgs 3, Alien Technology, California, USA). Passive PVC tag with a 512-
120 bit memory. Tags can operate over metallic elements.
- 121 E. 3G modem (MTX-3G-JAVA-GPS, Matrix, Barcelona, Spain). The modem provides RS232
122 communication. Communication between the HMI display and modem is established through
123 a CAN-RS232 converter. An internal buffer has been programmed to record information in
124 the memory whenever there is no mobile coverage and to forward the information when
125 coverage resumes. The GPS receiver has an accuracy of 2.5 m and supports NMEA protocol.
- 126 F. Sensors deployed for measuring the variables on board the machinery used in field operations.
127 Example of a configuration with one encoder and one inductive sensor.

128 G. Power supply. iOlivetrack-D needs a 9-32 VDC supply range. It can be connected to a 12
129 VDC tractor battery since a tractor is a machine commonly used for field operations.

130 H. Connection box to interconnect all elements of the iOlivetrack-D.

131 Although, this application uses a modem that incorporates GPS, the iOlivetrack-D system could
132 dispense with GPS and perform sector identification using only RFID. However, as will be discussed
133 below, both technologies (RFID and GPS) have their pros and cons and using both together can
134 increase the system's reliability and give it the flexibility to adapt to different needs.

135 *Web application "iOlivetrack-W"*

136 Web application works over a database implemented in MariaDB. This is a database management
137 system with General Public License (GPL) resulting from MySQL database system therefore there is
138 high compatibility. The web services implemented to transferring information between server and
139 database have been programmed in ASP.NET, an environment for Microsoft web application. The
140 web application developed, iOlivetrack-W, allows to create an IDs system for registration of plots
141 and sectors, operations, sensors, parameters and product such as additional information associated.
142 Its main function is to receive field and industry level information from the iOlivetrack-D, host them
143 in the database and show them to the user when requested. A user demo has been created to show the
144 application's utility (Supplementary material: iOlivetrack-W tutorial). Figure 3 shows the structure
145 of web application user interface. As can be seen there are five clearly differentiated sections. Field
146 (A), industry (B), administrator (C), query filters (D) and results (E). Field and Industry sections have
147 two respective subsections, edition menu (A1,B1) and selection menu (A2,B2).

148 At Field section (Figure 3. Section A), the farmer can create the plot or sector that is to be managed
149 according to criteria of size, orography, varieties, layout, adaptation to certain machinery and/or
150 harvesting logistics. A plot is the land either declared by the farmer or identified geographically
151 according to a SIGPAC code, which is legally recognised and used for many applications such as the
152 management of economic aid under the Common Agricultural Policy. A sector is a virtual,
153 independent area that the farmer delimits within the plot to associate with traceability management.

154 Both the parcel and the sector the web application automatically assigns an id (PLOT ID and
155 SECTOR ID) and are linked to its corresponding SIGPAC code if farmer has insert it. The
156 combination of the PLOT ID and the SECTOR ID forms the ROOT ID code that serves to classify
157 the information in the server according to its origin. In addition, farmer can create the operations
158 performed during olive growing (pruning, phytosanitary treatments, fertiliser treatments, clearing,
159 ploughing, irrigation, harvesting...), sensors employed to measure parameters (pressure switch,
160 flowmeter...), parameters (flow, pressure, temperature, humidity, dose...) and products applied
161 (glyphosate, dimetoato, oxyfluorfen...) with the official registration number (Law 43/2002), the
162 safety period (if necessary), or its category (herbicide, insecticide, etc.). Operations, sensors,
163 parameters and products have their respective OPERATION ID, SENSOR ID, PARAMETER ID and
164 PRODUCT ID. All IDs will be available to the farmer on the iOlivetrack-D display on board the
165 machinery to configure them and to let a correct registering in combination with the ROOT ID.

166 Field section has a specific operation separately, HARVESTING. This operation has special
167 relevance because it is the link with industry. In this section, it is possible to consult the batches
168 harvested in one sector, with an identification code associated with each batch that includes the date,
169 time and weight of each batch. The machinery employed here can be very diverse, using anything
170 from harvesters to manually aided systems, but each of these options can be indicated in the
171 HARVESTING operation edition section, as is explained iOlivetrack-W Tutorial.

172 At Industry section (Figure 3. Section B), the editing of the INDUSTRIES is analogous to PLOTS,
173 and serves to identify the processing industries with the corresponding INDUSTRY ID where the
174 batches of fruit arrive for their processing to olive oil or table olives. In addition, the producer can
175 create all the operations carried out in industry (cleaning, cooking, milling...), the sensors used for
176 their control, the parameters to be measured (temperature, fruit bruising, concentration...) and the
177 products applied. Operations, sensors, parameters and products have their respective OPERATION
178 ID, SENSOR ID, PARAMETER ID and PRODUCT ID to let a correct registering in combination
179 with the INDUSTRY ID.

180 As Field section, Industry section has a specific operation separately, LOTS GENERATION. Lots
181 are generated by adding the weight of different batches of fruit and are assigned a LOT ID. For each
182 lot, processing operations are performed and recorded with their corresponding values and ID codes.
183 The procedure of creating operations for this is similar to that explained in FIELD OPERATIONS,
184 as well as uploading frames of IDs to the server. Finally, the last operation is packaging, where a QR
185 code will be generated in iOlivetrack-W which will be printed on the product packaging. The QR
186 code is generated through the GPL-licensed library called QRCoder, which allows translation the
187 URL of the batch history into a 2D code.

188 Both the Field and the Industry sections have a filter query section (Figure 3. Section D). This makes
189 easier searching in the database filtering by plot, sector, operation, date and time, sensor or product.
190 In addition, if iOlivetrack-D has GPS, it is possible to consult the track followed by the machinery
191 within the plot on a map. All data can be displayed or downloaded in '.csv' format. Once configured
192 the desired filters the information is displayed through the results section (Figure 3. Section E).

193 Lastly, at Administrator section (Figure 3. Section C), the administrator user can assign different
194 permissions regarding the field plots or the industries created in the database. More detailed
195 information about the web application can be found in the tutorial attached to the publication.

196 *Communication management between “iOlivetrack-D” and “iOlivetrack-W”*

197 Firstly, communication takes place between the HMI display and the modem. The information
198 configured on the display by the user is transmitted to the modem via a frames sequence. Each frame
199 sent follows a well-defined 8-byte message structure and a message ID in the message header. The
200 first message (ID 201) contains the date and time, the second and third messages (ID 202 and 203)
201 contain the location, altitude and speed if iOlivetrack-D has GPS but is completed by zeros. The
202 fourth message (ID 400) contains the IDs of the plot, sector, operation, sensor and product. The fifth
203 message (ID 401) contains the variable ID and its value. This message can be repeated as many times
204 as desired if more than one variable needs to be recorded. The last message (ID 499) is completed
205 with zeros and indicates the closing of the frames sequence. In order to register the information

206 correctly it is necessary that the frame sequence always includes the origin plot ID or its GPS
207 coordinates in its defect and the operation ID. Otherwise the information will be stored in the error
208 log of the web application. The rest of the IDs (sector, sensor, product, variables and value) can be
209 completed with zeros as they are optional. iOlivetrack-D has a led that indicates the communication
210 status between iOlivetrack-D and iOlivetrack-W. The green led indicates that the communication is
211 opened, and the information is being sent correctly. The red led indicates that the transmission is
212 interrupted.

213 Then, the modem receives the sequence of frames and through the ID of each message decodes the
214 information and transforms it into XML format to send it as a string to the server. The server decodes
215 the information again thanks to the XML format labels and records it in the corresponding table of
216 the database. If any frame sent by iOlivetrack-D contains an error, iOlivetrack-W store it in an error
217 log where you can query its structure and verify the reason for the error. These errors can be due to
218 the fact that the plot and sector in the post has not been read by RFID reader, it has not been possible
219 to link the GPS information to a valid plot and sector by means of the Ray-casting algorithm or some
220 ID of the operation fields, sensor, product or variable configured in iOlivetrack-D do not exist in the
221 database.

222 *Working methodology*

223 When starting an operation in the field plot, the user indicates and configures the items involved in
224 the operation to be performed (Figure 4). The data generated during execution of the operation will
225 be uploaded by iOlivetrack-D to iOlivetrack-W associated with the ROOT ID where it is being
226 applied. Every time an operation is carried out in the sector throughout the olive growing season this
227 whole process is repeated.

228 To generate an orderly classification of data, it is necessary to communicate the sectors where the
229 information is being generated to iOlivetrack-W, and in order to do so there are two alternative
230 proposals using either RFID or GPS technology. Both options can be used jointly and
231 complementarily.

- 232 - The identification of sectors through RFID technology involves the installation of an RFID pole
233 and the previous creation of the ROOT ID code of each sector. The RFID pole is oriented towards
234 the service path, according to the optimal route to follow when starting a field operation, so that
235 the iOlivetrack-D onboard the tractor will instantaneously detect the RFID tag to associate the
236 field operation information with the proper sector and then upload the data to the server (Figure
237 5). Specifically, when the operator passes near the RFID pole and clicks the PLAY button on the
238 HMI interface (Figure 4), the device reads the ROOT ID from the RFID UHF. Then, it begins to
239 send the operation data in real time, generating a historical record. Once the ROOT ID has been
240 read, the RFID remains inactive to prevent the detection of RFID poles from adjacent sectors.
- 241 - The identification of sectors using GPS technology involves setting a GPS system on board the
242 tractor, connected to iOlivetrack-D, which continuously sends the GPS coordinates of the
243 machinery with the operation data. If the machinery location is within one of the defined sectors,
244 the data received is associated with the ROOT ID from the detected sector and the detected sector
245 is shown on the HMI display; otherwise it is sent to the error log (Figure 6). A ray-casting
246 algorithm has been used for this purpose, which consists of counting the number of times that a
247 given point crosses the boundaries of a polygon in a given direction, placing it within the same
248 if it is odd, or outside if it is even. At this moment, the operator clicks the PLAY button (Figure
249 4) to start uploading the operation data and the GPS coordinates of the path followed by the
250 machinery.

251 In both options, the uploading of data ends when the operator clicks STOP if the operation has
252 finished or PAUSE if a pause in operation is required (breakdowns, refill, rests...). Clicking PLAY
253 again resumes the unfinished operation in the sector. Clicking STOP resets the sector identification
254 to null.

255 The last field operation is the harvesting that involves two stages, fruit detachment-collection and
256 management of the harvested fruit. In general, the procedure is similar to use with other field
257 operations, but there is a button on the HMI interface (Figure 4) that opens a new interface (Figure 7)

258 where some options for PARAMETER (pressures, flow, speed...) and SENSOR can be recorded
259 according to the machine used. Identification of the sector where the harvesting is being performed
260 is carried out via the previously explained options. For the second stage, there is a STORAGE button
261 to select the system used (boxes, bags...).

262 Once the sector has been identified and the options set, the harvested fruit is loaded into the storage
263 device. In the case of an incorporated weighing system, it will be possible to monitor the progress to
264 maximum capacity by displaying the filling level. Then, the storage system must be labelled. For this
265 purpose, iOlivetrack-D may incorporate an HF RFID in the case of a storage system located in a fixed
266 position, such as a hopper, or a UHF RFID in the case of several independent units, such as boxes,
267 which will be labelled simultaneously (Figure 8). When the operator considers it appropriate LABEL
268 BATCH (Figure 7) is clicked and the RFID will write a BATCH ID code composed of the ROOT ID
269 + DATE and TIME of labelling on each storage unit tag. At this moment, iOlivetrack-D sends
270 iOlivetrack-W the batch information with a frame of BATCH ID + BATCH WEIGHT. Afterwards,
271 batches are transported to industry for processing and a new storage system is put in place to continue
272 harvesting. Once the harvesting operation in the sector finishes and the last labelling is complete, the
273 operator clicks STOP and all the batch information is recorded in iOlivetrack-W.

274 The batches harvested in the field reach industry and are identified by the ROOT ID set in their tags
275 by a RFID reader. The industry creates LOTS (Figure 9), according to characteristics and demands,
276 formed of different batches, and a code (PACKAGE ID) is assigned to each one. In this way, a lot
277 can be formed of batches from a single or several sectors, but the information concerning each of
278 them is already recorded in the server. For each of these lots, the OPERATIONS that are required in
279 the industry are applied, as previously predefined in iOlivetrack-W. These operations can be different
280 depending on the intended target industry: oil or table olive. The uploading of these data is carried
281 out by iOlivetrack-D in an analogous manner to that explained in the field phase. Finally, at market
282 level, consumers can access the product history via smartphone or another electronic device with an
283 application for scanning the QR code printed on the packaging. They will then be connected to a

284 website with an area to consult the whole traceability backwards from the production cycle (Figure
285 9). Due to the enormous amount of information that can be registered, a summary of operations
286 performed is generated where it is possible to consult the sector and plot of origin, a history of the
287 operations carried out, the products applied, and other parameters measured in each operation with
288 their average values.

289 **Field test**

290 A field test was carried out using the methodology described and the iOlivetrack-D and iOlivetrack-
291 W systems developed. The next section describes the steps followed.

292 First, a plot of an intensive olive orchard of table olives located in Almodóvar del Río (Córdoba,
293 Spain) (37.828244, -4.994502) was selected. The orchard had a row spacing of 6 m and tree spacing
294 of 4 m. The plot was bounded at iOlivetrack-W and three sectors were created (Figure 10). The PLOT
295 ID of the plot was 10 and the SECTOR IDs were 30, 35 and 36. The sector 30 with the largest area
296 (1.68 ha) was used to perform field operations. Once they had been defined in iOlivetrack-W, the
297 RFID pole with the proper ROOT ID was located on a service path according to the best operation
298 logistics. The tags were placed within a range that would give a minimum reading error threshold, at
299 a height of 1.50 metres from the ground, with a spacing between 3 to 4 metres, and oriented to the
300 service path along which the machinery would drive.

301 To evaluate the feasibility of the identification systems proposed, different tests were carried out
302 using the methodology (Figure 11):

- 303 • The RFID device was tested by mounting the reader on a tractor and placing the pole with the
304 RFID tag at a fixed site. Then the maximum reading distance, the reading zone and incidence of
305 machine ground speed in the reading were evaluated.
 - 306 - The reading range defined by the manufacturer is up to 8 m, but during the tests, the
307 appropriate range to make a reading with 100% accuracy was 0-4 m, with the antenna placed
308 orthogonally to the tag. This range is adequate to allow the transit of machinery near the

309 RFID pole without the need for accuracy in the approach to it. At larger distances the RFID
310 tag was not detected or was detected with difficulties. If a higher reading range is needed,
311 the manufacturer proposes changing the antenna for one with higher coverage and using
312 other tags.

313 - The reading zone did not correspond to a constant cone that opens 65° along the x,y axis
314 according to the manufacturer. At 2 m, the reading zone obtained with 100% success was
315 116.5° gradually narrowing until one of 8.6° was obtained at 4 m.

316 - The speed tests carried out in the range 1-14 km/h were totally satisfactory, achieving 100%
317 success in reading the tags at different speeds.

318 • The GPS device was tested by mounting the device on a tractor and driving along the path of a
319 plot of land. The accuracy obtained was below the maximum error of 2.5 m that can be obtained
320 according to the manufacturer. An average error of 0.71 ± 0.32 m was obtained, and a maximum
321 point of 1.75 m. These values must be considered when creating contiguous sectors, attempting to
322 ensure that the distance between the limits of both sectors is around a minimum of 2 m. This will
323 avoid problems when associating the information to the real sector worked.

324 Secondly, the farmer created the usual operations carried out in the orchard, the parameters to be
325 controlled and the sensors available to measure some of these parameters. The values of the sensors
326 registered in iOlivetrack during the operations were entered manually, although in field tests the
327 feasibility of connecting them to iOlivetrack-D through the communication buses necessary to
328 perform the reading has been verified. The buffer implemented in the modem allows data to be
329 acquired at speeds of less than 1 second and stored in the memory so that, in the case of loss of
330 coverage or any other communication problem, there is no loss of information. The data uploaded in
331 the buffer are FIFO type (First Input First Output) but in order to carry out an increase in real time
332 and so as not to slow down the system, it has been verified that the optimal speed for the modem used
333 is a data send every 2 seconds.

334 In harvesting, a trunk shaker mounted on a tractor equipped with iOlivetrack-D was used to detach
335 fruit, which fell onto nets placed under the trees, and the operation parameters were saved. After that,
336 other operators loaded the fruit harvested into 20 kg capacity boxes (Figure 12).

337 The fruit in the boxes was transferred to larger, 500 kg capacity boxes with RFID tags attached that
338 were located on a trailer instrumented with iOlivetrack-D (Figure 13). Previously, the tractor had
339 identified the sector by passing close to the RFID pole located on the logistics path. When the big
340 boxes were full, an operator tagged the five batches simultaneously by clicking the display, as
341 previously explained, assigning the respective BATCH ID to each. Then the tractor drove the batches
342 to industry. This action was repeated about seven times until the plot was totally harvested, producing
343 34 batches of approximately 19,400 kg of olives.

344 When the different big boxes arrived at industry, an RFID reader recorded the batches in iOlivetrack-
345 W. Because the available capacity of the fermenter was 10,000 kg, two lots composed of different
346 batches were created. Then, several processing operations were carried out on the fruit of each lot,
347 and the data were simulated by entering the data manually, according to the industry history, using
348 iOlivetrack-W [database](#). In the last stage of packaging, a corresponding QR code was generated by
349 iOlivetrack-W (Figure 14). By scanning the QR code, the consumer will be able to consult the history
350 that contains full traceability of the product purchased.

351 **Discussion**

352 After the test carry out, the exchanges of information between iOlivetrack-D and iOlivetrack-W
353 through the different identification codes (IDs) has been successfully performed. Each input capable
354 of intervening at a level (plot, sector, operation, sensor, product, variables) has been registered by its
355 corresponding ID. This reduces the size of the messages sent between iOlivetrack-D and iOlivetrack-
356 W and allows recording intervals in real time of around 2 seconds. The great editing possibilities
357 enable an automatic record with a adjustable degree of detail to control traceability and manage the
358 resources avoiding handwritten or paper records what it would facilitate the transfer of reliable
359 information between the different levels of the value chain (Ruiz-Garcia et al., 2010).

360 The system would constitute a powerful tool for the farmer to complete the compulsory farm logbook
361 (Royal Decree 1311/2012) and manage the data by filtering and exporting to other systems. By using
362 identification based on official databases such as the SIGPAC code, the recorded information can be
363 used for operations and dealings related with public administration. The more variables introduced in
364 the fruit lifecycle, the greater the added value the final product, which enables improved product
365 quality through control of important variables, such as monitoring of the cold chain (Abad et al.,
366 2009), among others.

367 iOlivetrack-D with a GPS incorporated allows more accurate tracking operations that may provide
368 other interesting results, such as production or product application maps, machinery performance,
369 and so on, as is habitually used in other areas such as livestock tracking (Muminov et al., 2016).
370 However, it is known that GPS may cause some discomfort for the operators driving the machinery,
371 and other technical problems may exist. On the one hand, the time required for initial positioning of
372 the GPS normally increases in the field due to limited mobile coverage. On the other hand, GPS
373 accuracy can be a critical aspect when identifying contiguous sectors because some data may be
374 recorded as either associated with one sector or with the adjacent one in cases where the distance
375 between the two is small and GPS accuracy is low. In order to avoid this problem, it would be
376 necessary to resort to another, more accurate type of GPS such as the RTK, although the cost of the
377 proposed system would be considerably higher. Other positioning errors due to the leaf density of
378 trees must be studied (Zheng et al., 2005).

379 The alternative option proposed with RFID identification has reported satisfactory results with the
380 geolocation of RFID poles (Ning et al., 2013). Nonetheless, this method involves previously
381 establishing a proper work route to identify the plot at the beginning of the field operation. The use
382 of both technology systems, as is proposed in this work, can be complementary (Prinsloo and
383 Malekian, 2016) to obtain better results in cases where, used individually, they would not provide
384 optimal guarantees. One aspect to consider when contemplating implementation of the proposed
385 methodology is the problem that changing working operations represents. Farmers or operators would

386 have to modify their procedures and be more methodological insofar as they would have to prepare a
387 proper work plan before starting work. This change could be a problem in the early stages of training,
388 although developing a user-friendly and comfortable interface both for iOlivetrack-D and iOlivetrack-
389 W, would make this change less challenging. The farmer would have a far-reaching control of the
390 inputs (products applied, fuel, water...) and outputs (fruit per area cultivated) of each defined plot.
391 This would enable forecasts of profits, or detection of the possible causes of plant disease or pests.
392 Moreover, the information associated with the farm might be expanded by adding other parameters
393 to record at field level, such as climatic variables (Hamrita and Hoffacker, 2005). All of this
394 constitutes the origin of the generation of knowledge and patterns required for the application of
395 precision agriculture techniques (Suprem et al., 2013).

396 The implementation of the system at a commercial level would not imply a significant economic
397 outlay when compared to the advantages that could be obtained by generating added value (Alfaro
398 and Rábade, 2009). In many cases, the consumer might be willing to pay more for a product
399 accompanied by a reliable traceability system (Zhang et al., 2012). For this purpose, the integration
400 of QR codes is a feasible option to offer broad, accessible information on the traceability of the
401 product in a reduced space on packaging. The possibility of consulting any data related to the product
402 being consumed can increase consumer confidence (Chen and Huang, 2013) through transparency,
403 thus increasing the value of the brand.

404 The economic viability of the system will depend directly on the costs imposed on its distribution,
405 maintenance and sale. The technology implemented in the proposed devices could be adapted
406 according to client-demanded configurations, and according to hardware and programming
407 requirements. One issue that must be considered for study is the time spent by the farmer managing
408 the information generated from the machinery and the orchard.

409 At field/industry exchange level, the proposed procedure has strong potential for optimisation of the
410 resources involved in logistics, with the automatic sorting of batches and creation of lots. The
411 information transferred to the industry from the field will improve in terms of reliability and accuracy.

412 Batches processed in industry may be resized and formed of batches from the same origin, to allow
413 closer control and reduce food safety problems.

414 Distribution also represents an important phase in the supply chain of any product. Thus, in the event
415 of any problem, it will be possible to quickly identify the history of the product and other lots involved
416 by following traceability backwards. The historical record of activities represents a guarantee of
417 quality and food safety in any traceability system, even more so for consumer products that use
418 products of a toxic nature that can be harmful to health if they are not properly handled or controlled,
419 as is the case with phytosanitary products (Martínez Nieto et al., 2009). The use of a reliable
420 traceability system will give the sector more confidence and efficacy in managing incidents.

421 **Conclusions**

422 The iOlivetrack system developed, hardware and software together with the methodology have
423 allowed the automatic recording of all the operations carried out along the production chain in real
424 time. iOlivetrack-D allows data collection in the field and sending to the web application. The
425 iOlivetrack-W provides storage and management of all generated data. Relevant information is
426 offered to the consumer via through QR code. Likewise, the traceability system gives the producer
427 versatility to adapt to the needs of each farm or industry.

428 The traceability system developed here could be considered as a starting point for the implementation
429 of blockchain technology. This helps to prevent risks and provide solutions to problems that may
430 arise (Tian, 2017), ensuring the veracity and incorruptibility of information from the field to the
431 consumer. This premise is possible because this approach has one of the basic principles of the
432 blockchain: the existence of a common platform shared by all actors in the supply chain. Both farmers
433 and industry have their own databases so the traceability system could be adapted to blockchain
434 technology by modifying the architecture of the system to a decentralised database (Lu and Xu, 2017)
435 and the blockchain structure for data, typical of this technology. Thus, a wide diversity of options
436 opens up for the implementation of the developed system. Finally, although the inconveniences of

437 using the system may mean that it is not generally implanted, foreseeable, more stringent food quality
438 or safety measures could be the catalyst for its general acceptance and adoption.

439 **Acknowledgments**

440 The authors are in indebted to the work performed by the colleagues of Department of Rural
441 Engineering of the University of Cordoba, especially to Juan Pérez. They gratefully acknowledge
442 financial support from Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (Pre-commercial public
443 procurement Innolivar), co-funded by European FEDER funds, and the financial support provided by
444 the Interprofessional Table Olive Organization (Interaceituna<<https://interaceituna.com/>>). Finally,
445 the authors wish to thank the staff of “El Tesorillo” farm for their help and the materials they provided.

446 **References**

- 447 Abad, E., Palacio, F., Nuin, M., Zárate, A.G. de, Juarros, A., Gómez, J.M., Marco, S., 2009. RFID smart tag
448 for traceability and cold chain monitoring of foods: Demonstration in an intercontinental fresh fish
449 logistic chain. *J. Food Eng.* 93, 394–399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2009.02.004>
- 450 Abenavoli, L.M., Cuzzupoli, F., Chiaravalloti, V., Proto, A.R., 2016. Traceability system of olive oil: A case
451 study based on the performance of a new software cloud. *Agron. Res.* 14, 1247–1256.
452 <https://doi.org/10.18046/syt.v14i36.2215>
- 453 Alfaro, J.A., Rábade, L.A., 2009. Traceability as a strategic tool to improve inventory management: A case
454 study in the food industry. *Int. J. Prod. Econ.* 118, 104–110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2008.08.030>
- 455 Aung, M.M., Chang, Y.S., 2014. Traceability in a food supply chain: Safety and quality perspectives. *Food*
456 *Control* 39, 172–184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.11.007>
- 457 Bartlett, A.C., Andales, A.A., Arabi, M., Bauder, T.A., 2015. A smartphone app to extend use of a cloud-
458 based irrigation scheduling tool. *Comput. Electron. Agric.* 111, 127–130.
459 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2014.12.021>
- 460 Bo, Y., Wang, H., 2011. The application of cloud computing and the internet of things in agriculture and
461 forestry. *Proc. - 2011 Int. Jt. Conf. Serv. Sci. IJCSS 2011* 168–172.
462 <https://doi.org/10.1109/IJCSS.2011.40>
- 463 Channe, H., Kothari, S., Kadam, D., 2015. Multidisciplinary Model for Smart Agriculture using Internet - of
464 - Things (IoT), Sensors , Cloud - Computing , Mobile - Computing & Big - Data Analysis. *Hemlata*
465 *Channe al, Int.J.Computer Technol. Appl.* 6, 374–382.
- 466 Chen, M.F., Huang, C.H., 2013. The impacts of the food traceability system and consumer involvement on
467 consumers' purchase intentions toward fast foods. *Food Control* 33, 313–319.
468 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.03.022>
- 469 Choudhary, S.K., Jadoun, R.S., Mandoriya, H.L., 2016. Role of Cloud Computing Technology in
470 Agriculture Fields. *Issn* 7, 2222–1719.
- 471 Costa, C., Antonucci, F., Pallottino, F., Aguzzi, J., Sarriá, D., Menesatti, P., 2013. A Review on Agri-food

472 Supply Chain Traceability by Means of RFID Technology. *Food Bioprocess Technol.* 6, 353–366.
473 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-012-0958-7>

474 Cunha, C.R., Peres, E., Morais, R., Oliveira, A.A., Matos, S.G., Fernandes, M.A., Ferreira, P.J.S.G., Reis,
475 M.J.C.S., 2010. The use of mobile devices with multi-tag technologies for an overall contextualized
476 vineyard management. *Comput. Electron. Agric.* 73, 154–164.
477 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2010.05.007>

478 Fountas, S., Carli, G., Sørensen, C.G., Tsiropoulos, Z., Cavalaris, C., Vatsanidou, A., Liakos, B., Canavari,
479 M., Wiebensohn, J., Tisserye, B., 2015. Farm management information systems: Current situation and
480 future perspectives. *Comput. Electron. Agric.* 115, 40–50.
481 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2015.05.011>

482 Hamrita, T.K., Hoffacker, E.C., 2005. Development of a “smart” wireless soil monitoring sensor prototype
483 using RFID technology. *Appl. Eng. Agric.* 21, 139–143. <https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.17904>

484 IOC (International Olive Council), 2018. [http://www.internationaloliveoil.org/estaticos/view/130-survey-](http://www.internationaloliveoil.org/estaticos/view/130-survey-and-assessment-division)
485 [and-assessment-division](http://www.internationaloliveoil.org/estaticos/view/130-survey-and-assessment-division) [Accessed November 30, 2018].

486 Law 43/2002, 20th november. *Boletín Oficial del Estado*, núm. 279, de 21/11/2002, art. 24.
487 <https://www.boe.es/buscar/act.php?id=BOE-A-2002-22649>. [Accessed November 22, 2018].

488 Li, Z., Liu, G., Liu, L., Lai, X., Xu, G., 2017. IoT-based tracking and tracing platform for prepackaged food
489 supply chain. *Ind. Manag. Data Syst.* 117, 1906–1916. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-11-2016-0489>

490 López-Riquelme, J.A., Pavón-Pulido, N., Navarro-Hellín, H., Soto-Valles, F., Torres-Sánchez, R., 2017. A
491 software architecture based on FIWARE cloud for Precision Agriculture. *Agric. Water Manag.* 183,
492 123–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.10.020>

493 Lu, Q., Xu, X., 2017. Adaptable Blockchain-Based Systems: A Case Study for Product Traceability. *IEEE*
494 *Softw.* 34, 21–27. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MS.2017.4121227>

495 Magalhães, P.S.G., Cerri, D.G.P., 2007. Yield Monitoring of Sugar Cane. *Biosyst. Eng.* 96, 1–6.
496 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2006.10.002>

497 Martínez Nieto, L., Hodaifa, G., Vives, S.R., Casares, J.A.G., Casanova, M.S., 2009. Photodegradation of

498 phytosanitary molecules present in virgin olive oil. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A Chem.* 203, 1–6.
499 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2008.11.025>

500 Muminov, A., Na, D., Lee, C., Jeon, H.S., 2016. Virtual fences for controlling livestock using satellite-
501 tracking and warning signals. 2016 Int. Conf. Inf. Sci. Commun. Technol. ICISCT 2016.
502 <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICISCT.2016.7777385>

503 Ning, Y., Zhong-qin, W., Malekian, R., Ru-chuan, W., Abdullah, A.H., 2013. Design of accurate vehicle
504 location system using RFID. *Elektron. ir Elektrotechnika* 19, 105–110.
505 <https://doi.org/10.5755/j01.eee.19.8.5405>

506 Paraforos, D.S., Vassiliadis, V., Kortenbruck, D., Stamkopoulos, K., Ziogas, V., Sapounas, A.A.,
507 Griepentrog, H.W., 2017. Multi-level automation of farm management information systems. *Comput.*
508 *Electron. Agric.* 142, 504–514. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2017.11.022>

509 Pérez-Ruiz, M., Agüera, J., Gil, J.A., Slaughter, D.C., 2011. Optimization of agrochemical application in
510 olive groves based on positioning sensor. *Precis. Agric.* 12, 564–575. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-010-9200-7)
511 [010-9200-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-010-9200-7)

512 Perez-Ruiz, M., Slaughter, D.C., Gliever, C., Upadhyaya, S.K., 2012. Tractor-based Real-time Kinematic-
513 Global Positioning System (RTK-GPS) guidance system for geospatial mapping of row crop transplant.
514 *Biosyst. Eng.* 111, 64–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2011.10.009>

515 Prinsloo, J., Malekian, R., 2016. Accurate vehicle location system using RFID, an internet of things
516 approach. *Sensors (Switzerland)* 16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s16060825>

517 Privette, C. V., Khalilian, A., Torres, O., Katzberg, S., 2011. Utilizing space-based GPS technology to
518 determine hydrological properties of soils. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 115, 3582–3586.
519 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.08.019>

520 Qian, J.P., Yang, X.T., Wu, X.M., Zhao, L., Fan, B.L., Xing, B., 2012. A traceability system incorporating
521 2D barcode and RFID technology for wheat flour mills. *Comput. Electron. Agric.* 89, 76–85.
522 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2012.08.004>

523 Royal Decree 1311/2012, 14th September. Boletín Oficial del Estado, núm. 223, de 15/09/2012.
524 <https://www.boe.es/buscar/act.php?id=BOE-A-2012-11605>. [Accessed November 10, 2018].

525 Resende-Filho, M.A., Hurley, T.M., 2012. Information asymmetry and traceability incentives for food
526 safety. *Int. J. Prod. Econ.* 139, 596–603. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2012.05.034>

527 Ruiz-Garcia, L., Lunadei, L., 2011. The role of RFID in agriculture: Applications, limitations and challenges.
528 *Comput. Electron. Agric.* 79, 42–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2011.08.010>

529 Ruiz-Garcia, L., Steinberger, G., Rothmund, M., 2010. A model and prototype implementation for tracking
530 and tracing agricultural batch products along the food chain. *Food Control* 21, 112–121.
531 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2008.12.003>

532 Suprem, A., Mahalik, N., Kim, K., 2013. Computer Standards & Interfaces A review on application of
533 technology systems , standards and interfaces for agriculture and food sector. *Comput. Stand. Interfaces*
534 35, 355–364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csi.2012.09.002>

535 Suresh, P., Daniel, J.V., Parthasarathy, V., Aswathy, R.H., 2014. A state of the art review on the Internet of
536 Things (IoT) history, technology and fields of deployment. 2014 Int. Conf. Sci. Eng. Manag. Res.
537 ICSEMR 2014 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSEMR.2014.7043637>

538 Tan, L., Haley, R., Wortman, R., 2015. Cloud-based harvest management system for specialty crops. Proc. -
539 IEEE 4th Symp. Netw. Cloud Comput. Appl. NCCA 2015 122, 91–98.
540 <https://doi.org/10.1109/NCCA.2015.23>

541 Tarjan, L., Šenk, I., Tegeltija, S., Stankovski, S., Ostojic, G., 2014. A readability analysis for QR code
542 application in a traceability system. *Comput. Electron. Agric.* 109, 1–11.
543 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2014.08.015>

544 Tian, F., 2017. A supply chain traceability system for food safety based on HACCP, blockchain & Internet
545 of things. 14th Int. Conf. Serv. Syst. Serv. Manag. ICSSSM 2017 - Proc. 1–6.
546 <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSSSM.2017.7996119>

547 Valencia-garcía, R., Alcaraz-mármol, G., Cioppo-morstadt, J. Del, Vera-lucio, N., Eds, M.B., 2018.
548 Technologies and Innovation.

549 Zhang, C., Bai, J., Wahl, T.I., 2012. Consumers' willingness to pay for traceable pork, milk, and cooking oil
550 in Nanjing, China. *Food Control* 27, 21–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.03.001>

551 Zheng, J., Wang, Y., Nihan, N.L., 2005. Quantitative evaluation of GPS performance under forest canopies.
552 2005 IEEE Networking, Sens. Control. ICNSC2005 - Proc. 2005, 777–782.
553 <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICNSC.2005.1461289>

554

555 **Figure captions**

556 Figure 1. Information flow set for controlling olive traceability

557 Figure 2. Electronic device iOlivetrack-D and their components

558 Figure 3. Interface of the web application iOlivetrack-W for administrator user. A: Field area, B:
559 Industry area, C: User management area, D: Filters options, E: Data representation, 1: Query options,
560 2: Editing options.

561 Figure 4. HMI Interface used for setting the configuration to be applied in the field, and an example
562 of the choice of options (right).

563 Figure 5. Sector identification using RFID technology and uploading data to the server.

564 Figure 6. Sector identification using GPS technology and uploading data to the server.

565 Figure 7.HMI interface, harvesting section, used in harvesting, storage and batch labelling.

566 Figure 8. Labelling batches of the fruit harvested and data uploading data.

567 Figure 9. Uploading of data at Industry level and access of lot information by the consumer.

568 Figure 10. Plot, sectors and RFID pole tested by the integral management data of an olive farm.

569 Figure 11. Test performed with iOlivetrack-D on board the machinery to upload the field data to
570 iOlivetrack-W. Example of sector identification using the RFID option.

571 Figure 12. Recording a harvesting operation using GPS.

572 Figure 13. Fruit batch labelling and transporting operation.

573 Figure 14. QR code generated in industry.