

31 **Abstract**

32 The infection of *Medicago truncatula* Gaertn. roots with the obligate parasite
33 *Orobanche crenata* Forsk. is a useful model for studying the molecular events involved
34 in the legumes-parasite interaction. In order to gain insight into the identification of
35 gene-regulatory elements involved in the resistance mechanism, the temporal expression
36 pattern of ten defense-related genes was carried out using real-time quantitative reverse-
37 transcription polymerase chain reaction assays. The induction of all of the analyzed
38 transcripts significantly increased over a range from 2- to 321-fold higher than the
39 control depending on the gene and time point. The transcriptional changes observed in
40 response to *O. crenata* infection suggest that resistance could rely on both, the induction
41 of general defense-related genes and more specific responses.

42

43 *Abbreviations:* CCR, cinnamoyl CoA reductase; CHS, chalcone synthase; dpi, days
44 post-inoculation; EST, expressed sequence tag; HSP, heat shock protein; MtEf-1a,
45 elongation factor 1-alpha; PPR, pentatricopeptide repeat; RT-PCR, real-time reverse
46 transcription PCR; SSH, suppression subtractive hybridization; TC, tentative consensus;
47 UBQ, ubiquitin

48

49 *Keywords :* Gene expression; *Medicago truncatula*; *Orobanche crenata*; Real-time RT-
50 PCR

51

52

53 **1. Introduction**

54 The holoparasitic angiosperm *Orobanche crenata* Forsk. is considered an important
55 constraint to legume crops in the Mediterranean area [28]. Resistance to *O. crenata* in
56 legumes is a multigenic character with very low heritability, which makes breeding for
57 *Orobanche* resistance a difficult task [27]. Some genomic studies have aimed to identify
58 and locate *Orobanche* resistance genes/QTLs in molecular maps in legumes [25, 30].
59 Nevertheless, the host response to parasitic plants seems to require a deeper
60 understanding of the complex defense multifactorial process. There is a strong
61 consensus that detailed knowledge of the molecular mechanisms underlying the host-
62 parasite interaction is necessary to improve legume breeding programs. However plant-
63 parasitic plant studies are limited compared to other plant-pathogen systems, and little
64 is known so far about the changes in gene expression in parasitized plants. The

65 identification and subsequent characterization of legume genes, which are either
66 specifically and/or differentially expressed within the plant upon parasitization, are the
67 first steps to understanding this highly complex interaction. Several defense responses
68 to the parasitization process by *Orobanche* spp. include increased levels of
69 Pathogenesis-Related proteins (PR-proteins) [12], *hmg2*, a defense-related isogene of 3-
70 hydroxy-3methylglutaryl CoA reductase (HGMR) [33] and a set of several defense
71 genes involved in the jasmonate and ethylene signalling pathways [32]. Recent in situ
72 hybridization studies have shown that a peroxidase and a β -glucanase are differentially
73 expressed in cells of pea roots resistant to *O. crenata* [20]. The advent of high-
74 throughput technologies is providing large-scale surveys leading to a more integrated
75 view of gene expression responses. To improve our understanding of the mechanisms
76 underlying resistance during pathogenic interactions, cDNA libraries have been
77 generated from plants inoculated with various pathogens with the aim to increase the
78 availability of the potential number of defense-related genes. However, one problem
79 linked to random EST collections is the underrepresentation of genes that are weakly
80 expressed, but might be key regulators of the defense response. Techniques, such as
81 suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH), overcome this limitation by equalizing the
82 abundance of cDNAs within the target population and enable the generation of cDNA
83 libraries that are enriched for sequences overrepresented in certain RNA samples [3].

84

85 Non-host resistance is presumed to be a complex, multicomponent form of resistance,
86 including both constitutive and inducible defenses. Non-host resistance is highly
87 effective and durable, making this type of resistance of great interest for agriculture [8].
88 The combination of different resistance mechanisms into a single cultivar appears to be
89 a powerful strategy. Plants often respond in similar ways in host and non-host
90 interactions, and several components of the signalling pathways can be shared between
91 host resistance and non-host resistance [29]. We have chosen the model legume
92 *Medicago truncatula* Gaertn. as a suitable species for a transcriptomic approach because
93 of its ideal characteristics, such as its small, diploid genome, rapid generation time, self-
94 fertility and ease of seed production [2]. *M. truncatula* is being used to increase
95 understanding of plant interactions with other organisms [26]. Furthermore, its close
96 phylogenetic relationship to other legumes such as pea, lentil and faba bean is attractive
97 so the non-host interaction of *M. truncatula*-*O. crenata* can be used to improve our
98 understanding of the nature of resistance in legume-parasite interactions [16, 21].

99 In a preliminary study, a suppression subtractive hybridization was carried out in order
100 to obtain a first comprehensive description of the gene expression changes induced in
101 *M. truncatula* during the interaction with the parasitic plant *O. crenata* [4]. This
102 transcriptomic approach led to the identification of a significant number of *M.*
103 *truncatula* genes in response to the parasite taking host samples pooled at three time
104 points. Nevertheless, a large amount of information on the temporal aspects of gene
105 expression can be lost when just an overall view of the parasitization process is
106 considered. For this reason, genes whose expressions were shown to be strengthened
107 after SSH experiments have been retained for a kinetic analysis of their regulation by
108 real-time reverse transcription (RT)-PCR strategy. We focused on the gene expression
109 pattern in roots from the earliest contact with *Orobanchae* radicles to the well-developed
110 parasite tubercle formation, leading to a detailed temporal expression analysis of ten
111 putative defense genes.

112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132

133 **2. Results**

134 The transcript accumulation for several genes in *M. truncatula* during specific stages of
135 infection by *O. crenata* was assessed by real-time RT-PCR. Three time points (15, 21
136 and 35 days) were assessed to develop a kinetic study of the gene expression pattern in
137 *Medicago* roots, from the initial contact with *Orobanchae* radicles to the well-developed
138 parasite tubercle (*Figure 1*). The choice of the genes studied was dictated by the fact
139 that all of them have been obtained from a cDNA library enriched for genes up-
140 regulated in *M. truncatula* roots infected by *O. crenata* [4]. Each primer set, designed to
141 amplify a particular defense-related gene, had successfully amplified a unique putative
142 product when DNA (data not shown) and cDNA (*Supplemental Figure 1*) were used as
143 templates in conventional RT-PCR runs resulting in single products with the specific
144 temperature shown in *Table I*.

145

146 *2.1 Stability of expression for the candidate reference genes*

147 The general expression levels of the candidate reference genes were calculated based on
148 mean real-time PCR threshold cycle values. *MtEf-1a* was the most highly expressed
149 gene in the root tissues studied. *UBQ* and *PPR* had lower levels, but had similar
150 expression levels between them, which were close to that of the genes being analyzed.
151 Single-factor ANOVA and linear regression analyses of C_T values were used to evaluate
152 the stability of the gene expression [1]. The mean expression level for each gene in each
153 sample was regressed against the overall means for the different tissue samples
154 (*Supplemental Figure 2*). Three out of ten genes assessed in this study were included in
155 this estimation. The ANOVA F-test of differences among templates indicated that none
156 of the reference genes showed significant variation in expression over the time points
157 and treatments. *MtEf-1a* had a coefficient of variation (CV) above 5%, and the other

158 two genes had CV below 3.5%. A summary of statistics is shown in *Table II*. The
159 combination of both a constant expression level (low slope) and high predictability (low
160 CV) led us to consider *UBQ* as the most adequate internal control in our experiment.
161 Thus, the relative expression ratio of defense-related genes in the infected plants versus
162 the controls was estimated by normalizing against *UBQ*.

163

164 *2.2 Gene expression pattern in M. truncatula infected roots and sequence analysis*

165 With no exception, all the RT-PCR reactions resulted in a single product at the
166 predicted size confirmed by melting point analysis performed at the end of the real-time
167 RT-PCR and the corresponding agarose gel. The gene expression patterns of infected
168 plants relative to non-infected plants determined by quantitative real time RT-PCR are
169 shown in *Figure 2*. The induction of a chalcone synthase (*CHS*, TC106550) reached a
170 relative expression level of 120.41 in infected roots at 35 days post-inoculation, the
171 highest comparative expression level measured in this study. Comparing infected roots
172 with the corresponding non-infected controls, all studied transcripts showed a marked
173 accumulation at least in one of the time points analyzed. Grouping the genes into
174 functional categories according to Journet et al. [13], an up-regulation was maintained
175 throughout all of the experiments for the ‘cell wall modification’ category with a
176 cinnamoyl CoA reductase gene (*CCR*, TC106830). The most strongly *Orobanche*-
177 induced gene, a protein with unknown function (TC110611), had more than a 320-fold
178 difference in expression levels in infected roots compared with non-infected controls at
179 35dpi again. To identify the genomic sequence of the genes with the highest relative and
180 comparative expression levels and to obtain the first information about the genomic
181 origin of the two novel ESTs that did not reveal homologies to any other sequence after
182 blastx search, we compared the cDNA sequences against available sequences of the

183 ongoing *M. truncatula* genome-sequencing project
184 (http://medicago.org/genome/cvit_bacs.php). Three contigs on chromosomes 4, 6 and 7
185 were found (*Table III*). The genomic sequence containing the complete cDNA
186 sequences presented introns for TC110611 and EH058490, but not for TC106550.

187

188 *2.3 In silico expression profiling analyses*

189 The composition of the ESTs-corresponding TC in the *M. truncatula* Gene Index
190 Project (MtGI), shows that the three ESTs aligned into TC106550 derived from the root
191 system, (two were obtained in *Rhizobium meliloti* association and one derived from a
192 developing root library). However, the composition of the EST sequences supports that
193 the TC110611 gene is not up-regulated in non-infected samples, because none of the
194 three ESTs was derived from non-inoculated or non-treated libraries. Furthermore, the
195 TC-composition strengthens the specificity of TC110611 in the defense response; one
196 EST derives from aphid-infected shoots, one from leaves infected with *Colletrotrichum*
197 *trifolii* and one from methyl jasmonate (MeJA)-elicited roots. Hence, although the
198 expression of TC110611 is not root-specific, it is likely to be strongly induced during
199 the defense response.

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209 **3. Discussion**

210 We performed real-time RT-PCR assays to analyze changes in gene expression patterns
211 in *M. truncatula* during its interaction with *O. crenata*. Several factors that may affect
212 the reliability of real-time RT-PCR were carefully controlled in conducting the
213 experiments: i) absence of genomic DNA contamination on all RNA reverse-transcribed
214 samples confirmed by PCR using primers designed on the intron sequence; ii)
215 efficiency of cDNA synthesis assessed by real-time PCR amplification 5'/3' ratio; iii)
216 specific primer sets designed that amplified unique single products confirmed by
217 melting curve and gel analyses; iv) and PCR efficiency of each primer pair in each
218 individual reaction with correlation coefficients higher than 0.997. This robust strategy
219 and analysis allowed for a reliable calculation of the relative level of gene transcripts. In
220 order to confirm that the internal control gene expression levels remained constant
221 during the infection, we investigated a suite of three reference control genes to
222 normalize real-time PCR data. These genes have been used as internal controls in many
223 experimental systems. However, it is unusual in the studies to provide any validation of
224 these genes prior their application. The most appropriate internal gene for use as a
225 reference control should be tested and validated first for the specific experiment in order
226 to analyze more reliable patterns of expression.

227

228 With the aim of characterizing the defense responses to *O. crenata*, we conducted a
229 time-course study in the root tissue during the interaction with the model legume *M.*
230 *truncatula* for several defense-related genes. Identification of key stages in the process
231 is a major element for detailed temporal expression analysis. In this sense, once the
232 parasitic plant becomes attached to the host, responses acting after parasite penetration
233 and during tubercle development have been addressed as potentially key to neutralizing
234 the parasite [11]. Several resistant phenotypes were identified in legumes including pre-

235 penetration, post-penetration and post-establishment mechanisms (see for review [24]).
236 During the *Orobanche* attachment to the roots (15dpi), the patterns of gene expression
237 reported here reflect the host perception of the parasite and the activation of several
238 mechanisms to protect itself. The induction of *CHS*, an enzyme of the phenylpropanoid
239 pathway leading to phytoalexins production [7], the defense-related protein GATase
240 [36], a ripening-related protein with a domain for Bet v I allergen that belongs to a
241 protein family including the pathogenesis-related protein of the PR-10 group [19], a
242 *CCR* involved in cell wall reinforcement through the biosynthesis of lignin [15], and the
243 induced expression of a gene with no previous link to defense responses, a magnesium
244 transporter, demonstrate that at least from this earliest point, the parasite is detected and
245 defensive mechanisms are activated. But this raises questions about the early response
246 being too slow or ineffective to prevent the *Orobanche* development at this stage.

247

248 Previous studies have pointed to the prevalence of physical barriers as defense
249 mechanisms to prevent the penetration of the parasite into the root vascular cylinder [5,
250 20]. Changes in gene expression patterns involved in cell wall reinforcement during
251 *Orobanche* attack has been previously described in *A. thaliana* [31] and the legume
252 *Lotus japonicus* [10] using a subtractive hybridization approach. Recently, a proteomic
253 work in *M. truncatula* also identified some proteins belonging to the ‘cell wall’ group
254 (M. Castillejo, personal communication). The authors speculate that the active role in
255 the defense response that could be making the host cell more resistant to infection and
256 penetration. In this sense, the permanently induced expression of the *CCR* gene during
257 our experiment, especially during the 21 and 35dpi (more than 28-fold and 62-fold
258 difference of expression level in infected roots compared with the corresponding
259 controls, respectively), indicates the reinforcement of physical barriers associated with

260 lignification. However, the transcript accumulation of other genes analyzed suggests the
261 co-induction of several defense mechanisms. Thus, an increase in the abundance of
262 transcripts for a small heat shock protein was observed at 21dpi. Heat stress proteins
263 (HSPs) act as molecular chaperones by preventing aggregation and enhancing the
264 refolding of denatured proteins [18]. The fact that small HSPs may protect cells from
265 the dehydration effects [22] would be in accordance with the already developed
266 connection with the host vascular tissues at this time point and the decrease of water and
267 nutrient supply. The induction of water stress genes could be very important as a
268 defensive strategy and point to an overlap at the molecular level of the pathogen-
269 responsive signal transduction pathways with those connected with abiotic stress
270 already reported for other pathogens [34, 35]. In spite of the strong evidence supporting
271 the host senses the parasite and considering that the parasite penetrates rapidly through
272 the host tissues, it is tempting to question whether *Medicago* spends too much time
273 recognizing the intrusion of *Orobanche* cells in their tissue. Although the host has the
274 appropriate genes to trigger the defense, a delayed defensive response seems to be
275 unsuccessful in preventing the penetration of the parasite and development of several
276 tubercles.

277

278 Interestingly, the most strongly *Orobanche*-induced gene in this study was one EST
279 (TC110611) without a known function (more than 320-fold expression level in infected
280 roots at 35dpi). Database analysis of the MtGI revealed that all different ESTs aligned
281 to TC110611 were derived from *M. truncatula* tissues challenged upon pathogen or
282 elicitor treatments. The broad range of pathogenic interactions in which this gene was
283 observed suggests its role is involved in common defense responses. Further

284 experimental evidence is needed to characterize its function during the interaction
285 legumes-*Orobanche*.

286

287 One significant outcome is the detailed temporal expression of two novel genes without
288 homologies to any previously identified EST sequence. In our previous transcriptomic
289 study, we identified several cDNA clones with no homology on an amino acid level in
290 the EST databases to date by the subtractive hybridization method. A blastn search for
291 both genes within the presently available *M. truncatula* BAC sequence collection
292 confirmed the host plant origin for one of the two ESTs analyzed here, and significant
293 induction ($P \leq 0.05$) was observed in the *M. truncatula* roots after the vascular systems of
294 the two plants are connected at 21dpi. Therefore, they are extremely interesting for
295 further plant-parasitic plant interactions and could reveal novel mechanisms.

296

297 **4. Conclusion and Remarks**

298 The strategy of coupling EST sequencing with in silico and experimental expression
299 analyses is a powerful tool for identifying *M. truncatula* genes specifically regulated in
300 the defense response and represents a first step towards the characterization of genes
301 specifically involved in this interaction that have been previously isolated through
302 suppression subtractive hybridization.

303 Following invasion of *Medicago* tissues by the parasitic plant *O. crenata*, a range of
304 defense mechanisms is triggered to restrict their growth along the main initial stages of
305 the infection process. These include physical barriers to prevent parasite intrusion based
306 on the reinforcement of cell walls by lignification and the induction of gene expression-
307 mediating pathways leading to phytoalexin production, activation of pathogenesis-
308 related proteins and a cross-talk of more general plant defense-related responses, such

309 as abiotic stress-responsive signalling pathways. The different temporal accumulation of
310 novel gene transcripts with no putative homologues identified so far in other plant
311 genomes represents a resource for further research. Besides their importance for
312 functional studies, specific genes represent the starting point for unravelling the
313 molecular mechanism of the transcriptional induction during the parasitic plant
314 infection. Moreover, considering *M. truncatula* synteny with economically important
315 grain legume crops, these results could be helpful in the study of other legume-*O.*
316 *crenata* interactions which are to some extent resistant to broomrape, providing insights
317 into the molecular mechanisms of host plant responses to parasitization.

318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339

340 **5. Material and Methods**

341 *5.1 Plant material, growth conditions and inoculation*

342 Seeds of *Medicago truncatula* Gaertn. genotype SA4087 (incomplete late-acting
343 resistance mediated by necrosis of the parasite tubercles) were sterilized in commercial
344 bleach (20% in sterile water) for 10 minutes and scarified with a sterile scalpel. Then
345 the seeds were placed in sterile water at 4°C for 48 hours to synchronize germination.
346 The water was replaced three times to help germination according to the Seed
347 Germination Protocol at *Medicago truncatula* resource (www.medicago.org). Seedlings
348 with roots between 2-5 cm were placed in square Petri dishes (12cm x 12cm) containing
349 a sheet of glass fiber filter paper (GFFP; Whatman International, Kent, UK) and perlite
350 as the substrate. When seedlings presented at least one true leaf, they were inoculated
351 with *Orobanche crenata* Forsk. seeds (Córdoba population) previously surface-
352 sterilized with formaldehyde according to González-Verdejo et al. [6]. They were stored
353 in the dark at 20°C for eight days to promote conditioning of the seeds necessary for
354 germination. Three mL of a 0.034 mM solution of the synthetic germination stimulant
355 GR24 was applied [9]. Dishes were sealed with parafilm, covered with aluminium foil
356 to prevent roots and broomrape seeds from the light, placed vertically with the
357 germinating host plant upwards in trays with Hoagland nutrient solution and kept in the
358 growing chamber at 20°C with 14 h light. Two independent experiments using thirty
359 plants per experiment were performed. Fifteen of these plants were inoculated and the
360 other fifteen were used as non-inoculated controls.

361

362 *5.2 Sample collection and RNA isolation*

363 Whole root samples from control and infected *M. truncatula* plants were harvested at
364 different time points according to Die et al. [4]. Briefly, 15 days post-inoculation (dpi),

365 the *O. crenata* radicles contacted the host root and adhered to it through the formation
366 of an attachment organ; 21dpi, the outer part of the seedling developed into a tubercle
367 once a physiological bridge between the vascular system of the two plants was formed;
368 35dpi, prior to necrosis, most of developed parasite tubercles (*Figure 1A*). Collected
369 samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA samples isolated from
370 roots were prepared using TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according
371 to manufacture's protocols from different pools of five roots (both infected and control
372 roots) to minimize variation in gene expression among the individual plants. The
373 resulting RNA preparations were stored at -80°C until use. The integrity of total RNA
374 was checked on 2% (w/v) agarose gels, and its quantity and purity were determined by
375 an optical density reading at 260nm and the OD₂₆₀/OD₂₈₀ absorption ratio using a
376 Nicolet Evolution 500 spectrophotometer (Thermo Electron Corporation, Cambridge,
377 UK).

378

379 *5.3 cDNA synthesis and Quality Control*

380 Total RNA (1µg) was reverse-transcribed using the QuantiTec Reverse Transcription
381 Kit (Quiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The
382 absence of genomic DNA contamination was subsequently confirmed by PCR using
383 primers (5'-GTCCTCTAAGGTTTAATGAACCGG-3' and 5'-
384 GAAAGACACAGCCAAGTTGCAC-3') designed on the intron sequence of the
385 reference gene *ubiquitin* (*UBQ* TC102473; *M. truncatula* Gene Index Project, MtGI,
386 <http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/>) [14]. The efficiency of cDNA synthesis was
387 assessed by real-time PCR amplification of the *UBQ* control gene (5'-
388 GCAGATAGACACGCTGGGA-3'; and 5'-AACTCTTGGGCAGGCAATAA-3';
389 primer efficiency 95.26% ± 3.26%, amplicon length 120bp). The 5'/3' ratio of *UBQ*

390 cDNA was determined by real-time RT-PCR with two primer pairs that amplify in the
391 5' region or 3' region, according to $(1+E_{UBQ5'})^{C_T^{UBQ5'}}/(1+E_{UBQ3'})^{C_T^{UBQ3'}}$, where E
392 represents the PCR efficiency for the 5' and 3' primers, and C_T is the threshold cycle
393 values for both primers, approximately $2^{\Delta C_T(UBQ5'-UBQ3')}$. Ratios in the range of 1-2 are
394 considered satisfactory, and the cDNA is suitable for RT-PCR analysis [14]. This is an
395 important control step prior to downstream quantification assays to overcome erroneous
396 expression ratios when different 3'/5' ratios are compared, when the amplicons are not
397 located immediately upstream to the RT initiation site or when the amplification site is
398 unknown. Two other internal control genes were used for comparing transcript levels,
399 *elongation factor 1-alpha* and *pentatricopeptide repeat (MtEf-1a* TC106485; *PPR*
400 TC96273; MtGI).

401

402 5.4 Real-Time RT-PCR Conditions and Data Analysis

403 Polymerase chain reactions were performed in a 96-well plate with an Mx3000P Real-
404 Time PCR System (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) using SYBR Green to monitor
405 dsDNA synthesis. Reactions contained 0.5 μ l 50x SYBR Green Solution, 12.5 μ l 2x
406 SensiMix (dT) (Quantace, London), 2.5 μ l of cDNA and 200 nM of each gene-specific
407 primer in a final volume of 25 μ l. A master mix of sufficient cDNA and SYBR Green
408 Solution was prepared prior to dispensing into individual wells to reduce pipetting
409 errors and to ensure that each reaction contained an equal amount of cDNA. An
410 electronic Eppendorf multipipette was used to dispense the cDNA-containing master
411 mix. The following standard thermal profile was used for all PCR reactions: polymerase
412 activation (95°C for 10 min) was followed by amplification and quantification cycles
413 repeated 40 times (95°C for 30 sec, 55°C for 1 min, 72°C for 30 sec). All RT-PCR runs
414 contained negative controls with no cDNA template in order to exclude or detect
415 possible contamination. The specificity of the primer pairs was checked by melting-

416 curve analysis performed by the PCR machine after 40 amplification cycles (55 to 95 °C
417 with one fluorescence read every 0.5 °C).

418

419 Data were analyzed using the Mx3000P analysis software version 3.00 (Stratagene). All
420 amplification plots were analyzed with an R_n threshold of 0.035 to obtain C_T values for
421 each gene-cDNA combination. In order to compare data from different PCR runs or
422 cDNA samples, gene expression levels for each cDNA sample were normalized to the
423 internal control gene *UBQ*, which was the most constant of the three reference genes
424 included in each PCR run. The average C_T value for *UBQ* was 23.22 (± 0.74) for all
425 plates/templates measured in these experiments. The gene-specific primers used for RT-
426 PCR (*Table I*) were designed with a calculated T_m of $53 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$, amplification
427 products not larger than 380bp, and the primer sequences were unique in the MtGI. The
428 PCR efficiency of each primer pair in each individual reaction was estimated from the
429 data obtained from the exponential phase of each individual amplification plot and the
430 equation $(1+E)=10^{\text{slope}}$ [23]. Primer efficiency values with an R^2 value less than 0.997
431 were ignored. The expression levels of each gene of interest (GOI) relative to the
432 reference gene *UBQ* were calculated for each cDNA sample using the equation: relative
433 ratio $GOI/UBQ = (E_{GOI}^{-C_{T_{GOI}}}) / (E_{UBQ}^{-C_{T_{UBQ}}})$ as described by McGrath et al. [17]. The values
434 of six control and six infected samples (from the two independent experiments) were
435 used in a Student's *t*-test to calculate the probabilities of distinct induction or repression,
436 and the average ratio of these values was used to determine the change in transcript
437 level in inoculated samples compared with control samples.

438

439

440

441 **Acknowledgements**

442 This work was financially supported by the Spanish project INIA-RTA 2007-0009. We
443 are grateful to B. Linke (Bioinformatics Resource Facility, CeBiTec, Bielefeld
444 University) for designing the real-time RT-PCR primers. We also thank A. Villegas for
445 critical revision of the manuscript.

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474 **References**

- 475 [1] Brunner A.M., Yakovlev I.A., Strauss S.H., Validating internal controls for
476 quantitative plant gene expression studies, *BMC Plant Biol.* 4 (2004) 14-20.
477
- 478 [2] Cook DR., *Medicago truncatula*: a model in the making, *Curr. Op. Plant Biol.* 2
479 (1999) 301–304.
480
- 481 [3] Diatchenko L., Lau Y.F.C., Campbell A.P., Chenchik A., Moqadam F., Huang B,
482 Lukyanov S., Lukyanov K., Gurskaya N., Sverdlov S.D., Siebert P.D., Suppression
483 subtractive hybridization: A method for generating differentially regulated or tissue-
484 specific cDNA probes and libraries, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93 (1996) 6025-6030.
485
- 486 [4] Die J.V., Dita M.A., Krajinski F., González-Verdejo C.I., Rubiales D., Moreno
487 M.T., Roman B., Identification by suppression subtractive hybridization and expression
488 analysis of *Medicago truncatula* putative defence genes in response to *Orobanche*
489 *crenata* parasitization, *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 70 (2007) 49-59.
490
- 491 [5] Goldwasser Y., Hershenhorn J., Plakhine D., Kleifeld Y., Rubin B., Biochemical
492 factors involved in vetch resistance to *Orobanche aegyptiaca*, *Physiol. Mol. Plant*
493 *Pathol.* 54 (1999) 87–96.
494
- 495 [6] González-Verdejo C.I., Barandiaran X., Moreno M.T., Cubero J.I., Di-Pietro A., An
496 improved axenic system for studying pre-infection development of the parasitic plant
497 *Orobanche ramosa*, *Ann. Bot.* 96 (2005) 1121-1127.
498
- 499 [7] Griffitts A.A., Cramer C.L., Westwood J.H., Host gene expression in response to
500 Egyptian broomrape (*Orobanche aegyptiaca*), *Weed Sci.* 52 (2004) 697-703.
501
- 502 [8] Ellis J., Insights into Nonhost Disease Resistance: Can They Assist Disease Control
503 in Agriculture?, *Plant Cell* 18 (2006) 523-528.
504
- 505 [9] van Hezewijk M.J., van Beem A.P., Verkleij J.A.C., Pieterse A.H., Germination of
506 *Orobanche crenata* seeds, as influenced by conditioning temperature and period. *Can. J.*
507 *Bot.* 71 (1993) :786-92.

- 508 [10] Hiraoka Y., Ueda H., Sugimoto Y., Molecular responses of *Lotus japonicus* to
509 parasitism by the compatible species *Orobanchae aegyptiaca* and the incompatible
510 species *Striga hermonthica*. J. Exp. Bot. (2009) doi:10.1093/jxb/ern316
511
- 512 [11] Joel D.M., The long-term approach to parasitic weeds control : manipulation of
513 specific developmental mechanisms of the parasite, Crop Prot. 19 (2000) 753-758.
- 514 [12] Joel D.M., Portnoy V.H., The angiospermous root parasite *Orobanchae L.*
515 (*Orobanchaceae*) induces expression of a pathogenesis related (PR) gene in susceptible
516 tobacco roots, Ann. Bot. 81 (1998) 779-781.
517
- 518 [13] Journet E.P., van Tuinen D., Gouzy J., Crespeau H., Carreau V., Farmer M.J.,
519 Niebel A., Schiex T., Jaillon O., Chatagnier O., Godiard L., Micheli F., Kahn D.,
520 Gianinazzi-Pearson V., Gamas P., Exploring root symbiotic programs in the model
521 legume *Medicago truncatula* using EST analysis, Nucleic Acids Res. 30 (2002) 5579-
522 5592.
523
- 524 [14] Kakar K., Wandrey M., Czechowski T., Gaertner T., Scheible W.R., Stitt M.,
525 Torres-Jerez I., Xiao Y., Redman J.C., Wu H.C., Cheung F., Town C.D. Udvardi M.K.,
526 A community resource for high-throughput quantitative RT-PCR analysis of
527 transcription factor gene expression in *Medicago truncatula*, Plant Methods 4 (2008)
528 18.
529
- 530 [15] Lauvergeat V., Lacomme C., Lacombe E., Lasserre E., Roby D., Grima-Pettenati
531 J., Two cinnamoyl-CoA reductase (CCR) genes from *Arabidopsis thaliana* are
532 differentially expressed during development and in response to infection with
533 pathogenic bacteria, Phytochemistry 57 (2001): 1187-1195.
534
- 535 [16] Lozano-Baena M.D., Prats E., Moreno M.T., Rubiales D., Pérez-de-Luque A.,
536 *Medicago truncatula* as a model for non-host resistance in legumes-parasitic plants
537 interactions, Plant Physiol. 145 (2007) 437-449.
538
- 539 [17] McGrath K.C., Dombrecht B., Manners J.M, Schenk P.M., Edgar C.I., Maclean

540 D.J., Scheible W.R., Udvardi M.K., Kazan K., Repressor- and activator-type ethylene
541 response factors functioning in jasmonate signaling and disease resistance identified via
542 a genome-wide screen of *Arabidopsis* transcription factor gene expression, *Plant*
543 *Physiol.* 139 (2005) 949-959.

544

545 [18] van Montfort R.L., Basha E., Friedrich K.L., Slingsby C., Vierling E., Crystal
546 structure and assembly of a eukaryotic small heat shock protein, *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 8
547 (2001) 1025–1030.

548

549 [19] Moiseyev G.P., Fedoreyeva L.I., Zhuravlev Y.N., Yasnetskaya E., Jekel P.,
550 Beintema J.J., Primary structures of two ribonucleases from ginseng calluses-New
551 members of the PR-10 family of intracellular pathogenesis-related plant proteins, *FEBS*
552 *Lett.* 407 (1997) 207–210.

553

554 [20] Perez-de-Luque A., González-Verdejo C.I., Lozano MD., Dita M.A., Cubero J.I.,
555 González-Melendi P., Risueño M.C., Rubiales D., Protein cross-linking, peroxidase and
556 beta-1,3-endoglucanase involved in resistance of pea against *Orobanche crenata*, *J.*
557 *Exp. Bot.* 57 (2006) 1461-1469.

558

559 [21] Pérez-de-Luque A., Lozano M.D., Maldonado A.M., Jorrín J.V., Dita M.A., Die J.,
560 Román B., Rubiales D., *Medicago truncatula* as a model for studying interactions
561 between root parasitic plants and legumes, In: Mathesius U., Journet E.P., Sumner L.W.
562 (Eds.), *The Medicago truncatula handbook*. The Samuel Roberts Noble Foundation,
563 Ardmore, 2007, pp. 1-31. <http://www.noble.org/MedicagoHandbook>

564

565 [22] Pnueli L., Hallak-Herr E., Rozenberg M., Cohen M., Goloubinoff P., Kaplan A.,
566 Mittler R., Molecular and biochemical mechanisms associated with dormancy and
567 drought tolerance in the desert legume *Retama raetam*, *Plant J.* 31 (2002) 319–330.

568

569 [23] Ramakers C., Ruijter J.M., Deprez R.H., Moorman A.F., Assumption-free analysis
570 of quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) data, *Neurosci. Lett.* 13
571 (2003) 62-66.

572

- 573 [24] Rispaill N., Dita M.A., González-Verdejo C., Pérez-de-Luque A., Castillejo M.A.,
574 Prats E., Román B., Jorrín J., Rubiales D., Plant resistance to parasitic plants: molecular
575 approaches to an old foe, *New Phytologist* 173 (2007) 703-712.
- 576
577 [25] Roman B., Torres A.M., Rubiales D., Cubero J.I., Satovic Z., Mapping of
578 Quantitative Trait Loci controlling broomrape (*Orobanche crenata* Forsk.) resistance in
579 faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.), *Genome* 45 (2002) 1057-1063.
- 580
581 [26] Rose R.J., *Medicago truncatula* as a model for understanding plant interactions
582 with other organisms, plant development and stress biology: past, present and future,
583 *Funct. Plant Biol.* 35 (2008) 253-264.
- 584
585 [27] Rubiales D., Pérez-de-Luque A., Cubero J.I., Sillero J., Crenate broomrape
586 (*Orobanche crenata*) infection in field pea cultivars, *Crop Prot.* 22 (2003) 865-872.
- 587
588 [28] Rubiales D., Pérez-de-Luque A., Fernández-Aparicio M., Sillero J.C., Román
589 B., Kharrat M., Khalil S., Joel D., Riches C., Screening techniques and sources of
590 resistance against parasitic weeds in grain legumes, *Euphytica* 147 (2006)187-199.
- 591
592 [29] Thordal-Christensen H., Fresh insights into processes of nonhost resistance, *Curr.*
593 *Op. Plant Biol.* 6 (2003) 351-357.
- 594
595 [30] Valderrama M.R., Román B., Satovic Z., Rubiales D., Cubero J.I., Torres A.M.,
596 Locating genes associated with *Orobanche crenata* resistance in pea, *Weed Res.* 44
597 (2004) 323-328.
- 598
599 [31] Vieira Dos Santos C.V., Delavault P., Letousey P., Thalouarn P., Identification by
600 suppression subtractive hybridization and expression analysis of *Arabidopsis thaliana*
601 putative defence genes during *Orobanche ramosa* infection, *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.*
602 62 (2003) 297-203.
- 603
604 [32] Vieira Dos Santos C.V., Letousey P., Delavault P., Thalouarn P., Defense gene
605 expression analysis of *Arabidopsis thaliana* parasitized by *Orobanche ramosa*,
606 *Phytopathology* 93 (2003) 451-457.

607 [33] Westwood J.H., Yu X., Foy C.L., Cramer C.L., Expression of a defense-related 3-
608 hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl CoA reductase gene in response to parasitization by
609 *Orobanch* spp., Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 11 (1998) 530-536.

610

611 [34] Yang B., Srivastava S., Deyholos M.K., Kav N., Transcriptional profiling of canola
612 (*Brassica napus* L.) responses to the fungal pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, Plant
613 Sci. 173 (2007) 156-171.

614

615 [35] Zhang Y., Shih D.S., Isolation of an osmotin-like protein gene from strawberry
616 and analysis of the response of this gene to abiotic stresses, J. Plant Physiol. 164 (2007)
617 68-77.

618

619 [36] Zheng Z., Uchacz T.M., Taylor J.L., Isolation and characterization of novel
620 defence-related genes induced by copper, calicylic acid, methyljasmonate, abscisic acid
621 and pathogen infection in *Brassica carinata*, Mol. Plant Pathol. 2 (2001) 159-169.

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641 **Figure Legends**

642

643 **Figure 1. Morphological changes during parasitization process.** *Orobanche crenata*
644 parasitizing roots of *M. truncatula* (A) 15, (B) 21 and (C) 35 days post-inoculation. (s)
645 *Orobanche* seed; (rd) *Orobanche* radicle; (h) *Medicago* roots; (ti) initial stage of tubercle
646 formation; (t) well-developed parasite tubercle.

647

648 **Figure 2. Transcriptional changes during *Orobanche* parasitization.** Gene expression levels
649 in infected roots on the basis of real-time RT-PCR experiments relative to the level of *UBQ*
650 gene. The values above the graph show the ratios in transcript abundance in inoculated vs.
651 control roots. Bold text indicates statistically significant induction ($P \leq 0.05$). Roman numerals
652 represent functional categories according to Journet et al. (2002): (I) Cell wall; (III) Membrane
653 transport; (VI) Secondary metabolism; (X) Signal transduction; (XII.A) Defense and cell
654 rescue; (XII.B) Abiotic stimuli; (XII.C) Unknown function; (XIII) No homology. Symbols in
655 panels represent the mean and SD of two independent experiments.

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692