1	Development and Validation of UPHLC-HRMS Methodology for the Determination of
2	Flavonoids, Amino Acids and Organosulfur Compounds in Black Onion, a Novel
3	Derived Product from Fresh Shallot Onions (Allium cepa var. aggregatum)
4	
5	
6	José Manuel Moreno-Rojas ^a *, Alicia Moreno-Ortega ^{ab} , José Luis Ordóñez ^a , Rafael
7	Moreno-Rojas ^b , Jesús Pérez-Aparicio ^c , Gema Pereira-Caro ^a *
8	
9	^a Department of Food Science and Health, IFAPA-Alameda del Obispo, Avda. Menéndez-Pidal,
10	s/n. 14071, Córdoba, Spain
11	^b Departamento de Bromatología y Tecnología de los Alimentos, Campus Rabanales, ed.
12	Darwin-anexo Universidad de Córdoba, Córdoba, Spain
13	^c Department of Food Science and Health, IFAPA-Palma del Río, Avda. Rodríguez de la Fuente,
14	s/n 14700 - Palma del Río, Córdoba, Spain
15	
16	*Corresponding authors:
17	Gema Pereira-Caro (mariag.pereira@juntadeandalucia.es)
18	José Manuel Moreno-Rojas (josem.moreno.rojas@juntadeandalucia.es)
19	IFAPA-Alameda del Obispo, Department of Food Science and Health, Avenida Menéndez
20	Pidal, SN, 14071 Córdoba (Spain). Tel. 0034 671 532 734
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26 ABSTRACT

27 Black onion, a new derived product from fresh onion, has been developed by processing 28 (aging) fresh shallot onion in a temperature- and humidity-controlled room without using any 29 artificial additives. The aim of this study was to adapt, optimize and validate two 30 ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-high resolution mass spectrometry 31 (UHPLC-HRMS) methodologies for the determination of flavonoids, amino acids and organosulfur compounds in black onion. UHPLC-HRMS methods involving RP-C18 and 32 33 HILIC columns were adapted and validated in terms of specificity, linearity, limit of detection 34 (LD) and quantification (LQ), precision inter- and intra-day, recovery and matrix effect. Linearity ranged from 0.012-12.5 $ng\mu L^{-1}$ and from 0.1-75 $ng\mu L^{-1}$ for flavonoid and amino 35 acids and organosulfur compounds, respectively. LD varied from 0.004-0.06 $ng\mu L^{-1}$ and LQ 36 from 0.012-0.2 $ng\mu L^{-1}$. The intra-day and inter-day precision for all compounds were less than 37 38 15% and the recovery ranged from 69 to 106%. The matrix effect ranged from 80 to 114% for 39 flavonoids, amino acids and organosulfur compounds. The described methods were 40 successfully applied for the correct separation and determination of 53 compounds in black 41 onion. These results establish the value of these new two UHPLC-HRMS protocols in 42 providing detailed compound profiles of black onion, highlighting their potential applicability to similar vegetables. 43

44

45

46

47

48 Keywords: black onion, flavonoids, amino acids, organosulfur compounds, HPLC-HRMS
49 method validation

50 **1. Introduction**

51 Onion (Allium cepa L.) is one of the most important bulb crops and is commonly used as food, 52 spice and medicinal plant almost worldwide. With an estimated global production rate of 5.7 53 Mt per year (http://faostat.fao.org, 2016), onion is the first most produced bulb crops in Spain, 54 and together with garlic, is the most consumed bulb vegetable either fresh or after processing into various (cooked) products. Epidemiological and clinical studies have reported that the 55 56 consumption of Allium vegetables such as onion, garlic and leek protects against the 57 development of metabolic diseases such as diabetes (Akash, Rehman & Chen, 2014) or 58 cardiovascular diseases (Bahadoran, Mirmiran, Momenan & Azizi, 2017). Besides, its 59 consumption is associated with a reduce risk of developing diverse types of cancers including 60 stomach, colorectal (Nicastro, Ross & Milner, 2015) and breast cancer (Pourzand et al., 2016), playing a significant role in human nutrition. From a nutritional point of view, free proteogenic 61 62 amino acids account for 5-7% dry weight of an onion bulb with arginine and glutamine being the most abundant ones. In addition, onion is characterized by its high levels of 63 64 health-promoting constituents comprising flavonoids and a huge variety of sulfur-containing 65 compounds which accounted to its well-known nutritional properties (Böttcher, Krähmer, Stürtz, Widder & Schulz, 2017). 66

67 Nowadays, the food industry is looking for new foodstuffs with added value and functionality. 68 Recently, a derived product from onion, black onion, is gaining great popularity among the 69 Spanish consumers. This new product is made by processing (aging) fresh shallot onion in a 70 temperature- and humidity-controlled room without using any artificial additives. This 71 manufacturing process led to colour changes from white onion to black onion, and to improve 72 organoleptic properties, also increasing the fruit-like sweetness of the final product. These changes are linked to substantial changes on chemical composition during the heating process 73 74 of onion. However, the scientific data in relation to this issue is scare. Previous studies on an

analogous product called "black garlic" showed that the profiles of bioactives compounds in
that product increased after the heating process of the raw garlic (Jung et al., 2014). Therefore,
this new product not only has a great commercial value by its culinary use, but it could also be
used as functional food.

79 To date, the analysis of the primary and secondary metabolites in foods is challenging due to 80 their different structure, distributions and concentrations in plants vary greatly and the 81 limitation of commercially available reference standards. Several techniques based on 82 high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (LC-MS) offer 83 versatile tools for addressing the identification and quantification of a wide number of 84 compounds in onion samples. For instance, Soininen et al. (2014) analysed by LC-MS and 85 NMR the composition of flavonols, free amino acids and organic acids in different Allium species. Other authors characterized the flavonol profile of several onion varieties by 86 87 LC-DAD-ESI-MS-MS analysis (Bonaccorsi, Caristi, Gargiulli & Leuzzi, 2008) and identified 88 polar complete profiling of and semi-polar onion metabolites including a 89 fructooligosaccharides, proteinogenic amino acids, peptides, S-substituted cysteine 90 conjugates, flavonoids and saponins by LC-ESI-QTOFMS (Böttcher et al., 2017). Moreover, 91 an entire set of sulfur-containing onion metabolites in onion has been determined by RP-LC-ESI-Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry in conjunction with 92 ¹³C labelling (Nakabayashi et al., 2013). 93

To the best of our knowledge, no data on the fully characterization on primary (amino acids) and secondary metabolites (phenolic compounds and sulfur-containing compounds) of black onion have been reported. This study aims to identify and quantify these compounds in black onion, a new product derived from fresh shallot onion, by the optimization and validation of the extraction procedure as well as two rapid analytical UHPLC-HRMS methodologies.

100 **2. Material and methods**

101 *2.1. Chemicals*

102 Formic acid (FA), LC-MS grade acetonitrile, LC-MS grade methanol, ammonium acetate, 103 ammonium formate, the reference compounds quercetin (95 %), rutin (94 %), isorhamnetin 104 (99 %), quercetin-3-O-glucoside (98 %), kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside (98 %), luteolin (98 %), 105 apigenin (95 %) and the amino acids leucine (98 %), isoleucine (98 %), phenylalanine (98 %), 106 tryptophan (98 %), methionine (98 %), valine (98 %), proline (99 %), tyrosine (98 %), 107 alanine (98 %), threonine (98 %), glycine (99 %), glutamic acid (99 %), glutamine (99 %), 108 serine (99 %), asparagine (98 %), lysine (98 %), histidine (99 %), ornithine (99 %), aspartic 109 acid (98 %), arginine (98 %) and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) (98 %) and the 110 organosulfur compounds alliin (95 %) and s-allyl-L-cysteine (SAC) (95 %) were purchased 111 from Sigma-Aldrich (Madrid, Spain). All the standards used were not further purified. 112 Deionized water was used throughout the analytical analyses.

113 2.2. Materials and sample preparation

114 Two kg of fresh shallot onions and black onions were obtained from a local supplier which 115 provided authenticated fresh and black onion for the study. Both fresh and black onions were 116 randomized and 0.5 kg was first frozen under liquid nitrogen to avoid enzymatic activity, 117 then lyophilized and grinded afterwards to a final particle size of 10 μ m using a mixer mill 118 equipment (Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany) and stored at -80°C until analysis.

119 2.3. Extraction method

120 The optimization of the extraction of fresh and black onions were performed using three 121 different solvents: deionized water:methanol (20:80, v/v) acidified with 1% formic acid (A), 122 deionized water:methanol (50:50, v/v) acidified with 1% formic acid (B) and deionized 123 water:acetonitrile (20:80, v/v) acidified with 1% formic acid (C). The extraction method was as follow: 0.5 g of fresh or black onion lyophilized and grinded was mixed with 5 mL of
solvent (A), (B) or (C) for 2 min at room temperature and the mixture was sonicated for 15
min and then centrifuged at 4900 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was collected and residues
were re-extracted twice using 5 mL of the same solvent by following the same protocol
described previously. All the supernatants were pooled and frozen at -80 °C until
UHPLC-HRMS analysis.

130 2.4. UHPLC-HRMS Analysis

Identification and quantification of flavonoids, amino acids and organosulfur compounds in
fresh and black onion extracts were carried out using an UHPLC-PDA-MS mass
spectrometer system (Thermo Scientific, San José, CA, USA) comprising of a UHPLC pump,
a PDA detector scanning from 200 to 600 nm, and an autosampler operating at 4 °C (Dionex
Ultimate 3000 RS, Thermo Corporation).

136 2.4.1. Analysis of flavonoids

Separation of flavonoids was performed on a 100 x 2.1 mm i.d. 1.8 μ m Zorbax SB-C18 RRHD column (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) preceded by a guard pre-column of the same stationary phase and maintained at 40 °C. The mobile phases, A: acidified water 1% formic acid and B: acetonitrile, were pumped at a flow rate of 0.15 mL min⁻¹ with a 33 min gradient starting in 3% B and maintained during 1 min, then rising 60% B in 24 min, maintained during 3 min and then rising 70% B in 5 min. After that, the column was equilibrated to the previous conditions within 5 min.

After passing through the flow cell of the PDA detector the column eluate went directly to an Exactive Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, San José, CA) fitted with a Heated Electrospray Ionization Probe (HESI) operating in negative ionization mode for the determination of flavonoids. Full scans were recorder in m/z range from 100 to 1000 with a resolution of 50.000 Hz and with a full AGC target of 100000 charges, using 2 microscans.
Analyses were also based on scans with in-source collision-induced dissociation (CID) at 25.0
eV. MS experiment condition with HESI in negative ionization mode was: (i) capillary
temperature was 275 °C, the heater temperature was 100 °C, the sheath gas was 19 units, the
auxiliary gas was 15 units, and the spray voltage was 4.0 kv.

Quality control samples (QC) were applied to assess and ensure the analytical process. The QC samples, consisting of a pool of all fresh or black onion samples, were injected regularly throughout the run. Data acquisition and processing were carried out using Xcalibur 3.0 software (Thermo Scientific, San José, CA).

157 2.4.2. Analysis of amino acids and organosulfur compounds

158 Separations of amino acids and organosulfur compounds in fresh and black onion extracts were based on a 2.1 x 150 mm ACQUITY UPLC 1.7 µm BEH amide column (equipped with a 159 160 ACQUITY UPLC BEH amide 1.7 µm van-guard pre-column) (Waters, Spain) which was 161 maintained at 35 °C and eluted using two mobile phases: A: deionized water with 5 mM of 162 ammonium acetate, 5 mM ammonium formate and 1% formic acid and B: acetonitrile, over the course of 20 min at 0.4 mL min⁻¹. The gradient started with 5% of A rising 10% A in 0.5 min, 163 164 then rising 30% A in 8 min following 46% of A after 4.5 min and finally return to 5% A in 3 165 min and maintained during 4 min to equilibrate the column to the initial conditions.

After passing through the flow cell of the PDA detector the column eluate went directly to an Exactive Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, San José, CA) fitted with a Heated Electrospray Ionization Probe (HESI) operating in positive ionization mode for the determination of amino acids and organosulfur compounds. Full scans were recorder in m/z range from 100 to 1000 with a resolution of 50.000 Hz and with a full AGC target of 100000 charges, using 2 microscans. Analyses were also based on scans with in-source collision-induced dissociation (CID) at 25.0 eV. MS experiment condition with HESI in
positive ionization mode was: (i) capillary temperature was 300 °C, the heater temperature was
150 °C, the sheath gas was 30 units, the auxiliary gas was 25 units, and the spray voltage was
3.5 kv. Quality control samples (QC) were also applied for the analysis of amino acids and
organosulfur compounds as described previously.

177 2.4.3. Identification of flavonoids, amino acids and organosulfur compounds

178 Targeted identifications of phenolic compounds and amino acids and organosulfur compounds 179 were achieved as follows: i) by comparing the exact mass and the retention time with available 180 standards, ii) in the absence of standards, compounds were tentatively identified by comparing 181 the theoretical exact mass of the molecular ion with the measured accurate mass of the 182 molecular ion and searched against metabolite databases including Metlin, Phenol Explorer 183 and more general chemical databases such as PubChem and ChemSpider. Metabolites having 184 molecular masses within the pre-specified tolerance (≤ 5 ppm) of the query masses are 185 retrieved from these databases. Quantification of phenolic compounds, amino acids and 186 organosulfur compounds were carried out by selecting the theoretical exact mass of the 187 molecular ion by reference to standard curves prepared in diluted fresh and black onion 188 extracts. In absence of reference compounds, they were quantified by reference to the 189 calibration curve of a closely related parent compound.

190 2.5. Method Validation

- 191 The method was fully validated for specificity, linearity, limit of detection (LOD) and
- 192 quantification (LOQ), intra-day (repeatability) and inter-day precision and matrix effects,

according to the FDA guidelines (FDA, 2015).

194 Linearity was assessed in reference compounds comprising 7 flavonoids, 21 amino acids and

195 2 organosulfur compounds by preparing individual stock solutions of all of them. Thus,

196 flavonoids were diluted in methanol, while amino acids and organosulfur compounds were 197 diluted in acidified deionized water (1% of FA). The stock solutions of flavonoids, amino 198 acids and organosulfur compounds were diluted and pooled to obtain standard solutions at a 199 final concentration of 200 µM of each compound. A total of eight working solutions with concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 12.5 mgL⁻¹ for flavonoids, and between 0.09 to 50 mg 200 L^{-1} and 0.1 to 75 mg L^{-1} for amino acids and organosulfur, respectively, were prepared. 201 202 Calibration curve were prepared using pure solvent (methanol or acidified deionized water) 203 and diluted matrices (fresh and black onion extracts), by triplicate, for matrix effect 204 evaluation. 205 2.6. Statistical analysis 206 Results are expressed as means ± standard deviations (SD) of three measurements for the

207 analytical determination. Multiple comparisons were carried out using one-way ANOVA, 208 followed by Tukey test. The level of significance was established at p<0.05. The statistical 209 software SPSS Statistic Program (v. 22) was used.

210

211 **3. Results and discussion**

212 3.1. Optimization of the Extraction Method

In this study, 5 flavonoids, 21 amino acids and 2 organosulfur compounds were selected to perform the optimization of the extraction method from fresh and black onion, while 7 flavonoids, 21 amino acids and 2 organosulfur compounds were used for the validation study. These compounds are commercially available and they were selected based on their previous identification in onion samples (Juániz et al., 2016; Böttcher et al., 2017).

Recovery (%) of the selected compounds as representative components of flavonoids, aminoacids and organosulfur compounds in black and fresh onion using different extraction

220 solvents are shown in Table 1. The recovery rate was calculated using three different 221 extraction solvents. These solvents are commonly used for the extraction of primary and 222 secondary metabolites from food matrices (Nakabayashi et al., 2013; Sharma, Assefa, Ko, 223 Lee & Park, 2015). For that, fresh and black onion samples were spiked with 10 µg all the 224 standards and then were submitted to extraction in duplicate (before and after spiked) using 225 three different solvents. Fresh and black onion samples were also submitted to extraction and 226 either diluted and injected directly (blank samples) or spiked with a mixture of analytes 227 (after-spiked). The recovery was calculated as the ratio between the areas of each analyte 228 recorder in before-spiked samples minus the endogenous analytes in the matrix, divided by 229 the area of each analyte recorder in after-spiked samples minus the endogenous analytes in 230 the matrix, and expressed as percentage.

231 Thus, solvent A and B gave yields between 80.0 and 109.5% for flavonoids, showing no 232 significant differences between these solvents used with the exception of rutin and 233 isorhamnetin which had recovery rates less than 80% using solvent B in black onion matrix, 234 (Table 1). In case of amino acids and organosulfur compounds, there were not significant 235 differences using solvents A or B yielding recoveries between 80 and 111% in fresh onion matrix. However, some amino acids in black onion extraction using solvent B showed 236 237 recovery values below 80%, such as tryptophan (73.4%), ornithine (74.5%) and methionine 238 (79%) (Table 1). Recovery rates below 80% were found for an important number of tested 239 compounds using solvent C. Based on these results, the subsequence steps for the method 240 validation and quantification were done using as extraction solvent A which is a mixture of 241 deionized water and methanol (20:80, v/v) acidified with 1% formic acid.

242 3.2. Development of UHPLC-HRMS Methods

The UHPLC-HRMS analytical method using HILIC column were developed and optimized to determine free amino acids and organosulfur compounds in fresh and black onion. 245 Modifications in the amount of ion-pairing reagent of the mobile phases (ammonium acetate 246 and ammonium formate) and in the elution gradient, key factors for a good peak separation, 247 were performed to obtain better peak resolution of underivatized amino acids in onion 248 matrixes. A clear example of that is the better separation of the isomers leucine and isoleucine 249 (Figure 1) achieved by using as mobile phase A: 100% acetonitrile, and as mobile phase B: 1% 250 acidified deionized water with 5 mM ammonium acetate and 5 mM ammonium formate. The 251 use of ammonium salts in the mobile phase also increase MS signal and peak shape, without 252 affecting the sensitivity of the MS detector. In addition, the gradient was optimized to obtain 253 the best resolution and the shortest run time. It is noteworthy that high-resolution MS (HRMS) 254 used in this study could avoid the risk of inaccurate measurements caused by unresolved 255 background interferences in complex matrices such as black onion.

256 3.3. Method Validation

257 3.3.1. Specificity, linearity, limit of detection and limit of quantification

258 Specificity was assessed as ppm deviation comparing mass error between the predicted m/z

and observed m/z (FDA, 2015). As shown in Table S1, S2 and S3 (Supplementary

260 Information), the ppm derivations obtained were < 5 ppm in all instances and are therefore

261 considered as an acceptable level of mass accuracy. In addition, the retention time of each

analyte was compared in blank solvent (methanol or distilled water) and in different matrices

263 (fresh or black onion) previously spiked with standards. The relative standard deviation

264 (RSD) was in all cases below 0.5% for 50 consecutive injections.

Linearity was assessed for 7 flavonoids, 21 amino acids and 2 organosulfur compounds and prepared in methanol/acidified water and in each matrix (fresh and black onion). Results of the linear regression analysis and the coefficient of determination (R^2) of flavonoids and amino acids and organosulfur compounds are shown in **Table 2** and **Table 3**, respectively.

Acceptable fitting was estimated by using the coefficient of determination (R^2) . For all 269 compounds, R^2 were above 0.983, showing acceptable linear relation between the range of 270 271 concentration assayed and the detector response. Calibration curves were not force to pass 272 through the origin. The limit of detection and limit of quantification of each compound in 273 each matrix were determined by injecting consecutive dilutions of a working solution in either fresh onion extract or black onion extract until the S/N ratio of each compound showed 274 275 a signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio \geq 3 and \geq 10, respectively. As shown in **Table 2**, the limits of detection in both onion matrices ranged from 0.004 to 0.007 ng μ L⁻¹ and the limits of 276 quantification range from 0.012 to 0.024 ng μL^{-1} for flavonoids. Regarding amino acids, the 277 limits of detection ranged from 0.01 to 0.06 ng μ L⁻¹ and the limits of quantification from 0.04 278 to 0.20 ng μ L⁻¹ (**Table 3**), in keeping with previously published data using HILIC coupled to 279 MS analysis in wine, honey and apple juice (Gökmen, Serpen & Mogol, 2012) and in fruit 280 juices (Guo et al., 2013). For organosulfur compounds, the limit of detection and 281 quantification were 0.03 ng μ L⁻¹ and 0.1 ng μ L⁻¹, respectively. 282

283 3.3.2. Intra- and inter-day precision

The intra-day precision (repeatability) was checked by measuring two different levels of 284 concentration, one near the LOQ (L1) and other at higher concentration [5x LOQ (L2)] in 285 286 diluted fresh and black onion extract and injected five times successively. The relative 287 standard deviation for flavonoids in fresh shallot onion ranged from 0.7 to 3.7% for the level of concentration L1 (0.78 $ng\mu L^{-1}$) and from 1.5 to 3.8% for the level of concentration L2 288 $(6.25 \text{ ng}\mu\text{L}^{-1})$ (**Table 2**), while in black onion matrix, the relative standard deviation (RSD) 289 for flavonoids ranged from 0.4 to 1.5% for L1 (0.78 $ng\mu L^{-1}$) and from 0.5 to 2.7% for L2 290 (6.25 $ng\mu L^{-1}$) (**Table 2**). For amino acids, the RSD at concentration L1 (0.1 $ng\mu L^{-1}$) ranged 291 292 from 0.7 to 12.1% and L2 (0.5 ngµL⁻¹) from 0.4 to 13.6% in fresh shallot onion while in 293 black onion the RSD ranged from 0.9 to 13.8% and 1.0 to 10.1% at L1 and L2, respectively

(Table 3). The RSD for organosulfur compounds ranged from 1.5 to 5.2 % and 3.5 to 4.5 %
in fresh onion at concentration L1 and L2, respectively; while in black onion the RSD values
ranged from 2 to 3.8 % and 4.6 to 13.8 % for L1 and L2, respectively.

297 The inter-day precision was evaluated in five different days using the same procedure 298 described above for the intra-day precision. The values obtained in fresh onion for flavonoids 299 ranged from 1.9 to 13.1% for the level of concentration L1 and from 2.2 to 11.1% for the 300 level of concentration L2 (Table 2), while in black onion matrix, the values ranged from 4.8 301 to 9.5% for L1 and from 2.7 to 7.7% for L2 (Table 2). For amino acids, the RSD values 302 ranged from 2.0 to 15.2% at L1 and from 2.2 to 13.9% at L2 in fresh shallot onion, while in 303 black onion the RSD values ranged from 1.0 to 14.2% and 2.7 to 15.6% at L1 and L2, 304 respectively (Table 3). The RSD values for the inter-day precision for organosulfur 305 compounds ranged from 6.6 to 13.4 % and 5.7 to 11.4 % in fresh onion at concentration L1 306 and L2, respectively; while in black onion the RSD values ranged from 4.6 to 13.8 % and 2.5 307 to 7.3 % for L1 and L2, respectively. The results of the repeatability and precision of most 308 metabolites are in line with those proposed by the FDA (FDA, 2015) (RSD<15%).

309 3.3.3. Matrix Effects

Matrix effects (ME) were evaluated by comparing the slope of calibration curves prepared in fresh and black onion extracts and the standard curves prepared in methanol with 1% FA for flavonoids or acidified water for amino acids and organosulfur compounds, according to the following equation:

$ME = \frac{\text{Slope of calibration curve prepared in fresh or black onion}}{\text{Slope of calibration curve prepared in solvent}} x 100$

Matrix effect variations are indicative of the susceptibility of the ESI source to matrix composition and, as result, it is possible to observe ion suppression (values of matrix effect less than 100%) or ion enhancement (values of matrix effects higher than 100%). 317 The matrix effect varied among the different analytes (**Table 2** and **3**). With respect to 318 flavonoids, it ranged from 93 to 110% in fresh onion and from 85 to 111% in black onion. 319 Amino acids and organosulfur compounds showed values of matrix effect ranged between 83 320 and 106% in fresh onion and between 80 and 114% in black ones. These values of matrix 321 effect determined for all compounds either in fresh or black onion matrices were less than 20% 322 and therefore were considered acceptable for the detection and further quantification of these 323 compounds by UHPLC-HRMS (Gasperotti, Masuero, Guella, Mattivi & Vrhovsek, 2014; 324 Feliciano, Mecha, Bronze & Rodríguez-Mateos, 2016).

325 3.4. Identification and quantification of flavonoids, amino acids and organosulfur compounds
326 in fresh and black onion

327 *3.4.1. Flavonoids*

A total of 10 flavonoids were identified in black or fresh onions through their mass spectrometric characteristics and compared with data reported in literature. The basis of the identification and the UHPLC-HRMS traces of flavonoids are shown in **Table S1** (Supplementary Information), and are detailed as follows:

332 Peaks 1 and 2 has been identified as quercetin-7,4´-diglucoside and 333 quercetin-3,4'-diglucoside respectively based on their accurate masses at m/z 625.1410 and taking into account previous reported data (Soininen et al., 2014; Fattorusso, Iorizzi, Lanzotti 334 335 & Taglialatela-Scafati, 2002).

Quercetin-3-*O*-glucoside and rutin (peaks 3 and 5, respectively) were identified by its
retention time and MS characteristics in accordance with those of the authentic standards.
Additionally, peaks 8, 9 and 10 were identified as quercetin, luteolin and isorhamnetin based
on their similarities of retention time and their MS characteristics with authentic standards.

340 The MS data also confirmed the presence of peak 4 at m/z 639.1570, consistent with

isorhamnetin-diglucoside. The identification of this compound as
isorhamnetin-3,4'-O-diglucoside is in agreement with a previous work who studied the
phenolic compound content of shallot onion (Bonaccorsi et al., 2008).

Further, the MS analyses confirmed the presence of quercetin and isorhamnetin hexosides (peaks **6** and **7**, respectively). These compounds, tentatively identified as quercetin-glucoside and isorhamnetin-glucoside, respectively, have been previously reported in shallot onion (Bonaccorsi et al., 2008; Fattorusso et al., 2002).

Table 4 summarizes the concentrations of the phenolic compounds in fresh and black onion. 348 Free quercetin (144 μ g g⁻¹ FW) was the main flavonoid detected in black onion, representing 349 89.6% of the total flavonoids detected in black onion, with the remaining 10.4% consisting of 350 351 four minor components such as quercetin-3-O-glucoside, quercetin-4-O-glucoside, luteolin and isorhamnetin. The major flavonoids in fresh onion were free quercetin (87 μ g g⁻¹ FW), 352 quercetin-4-*O*-glucoside (39.4 μ g g⁻¹ FW) and two quercetin-diglucoside isomers (63 μ g g⁻¹ 353 total flavonoids. 354 FW) which comprised 94.6% of the Conversely, rutin, 355 isorhamnetin-3,4⁻-diglucoside, quercetin-3-O-glucoside, isorhamnetin-4'-O-glucoside, 356 luteolin and isorhamnetin were quantified as minor components. The values of free quercetin in fresh onion, although slightly lower, are in keeping with earlier studies who reported 357 concentrations of quercetin in commercial onions ranging from 185 to 634 $\mu g g^{-1}$ FW 358 (Crozier, Lean, McDonald & Black, 1997) and 284 to 486 µg g⁻¹ FW (Hertog, Hollman & 359 360 Katan, 1992). This variation is due to differences in cultivars, maturity stages, origin places, harvest seasons or environmental conditions. Indeed, Fattorusso et al. 2002 reported the 361 presence of high amounts of free quercetin and isorhamnetin and their glycosides: 362 quercetin-4-glucoside, quercetin-diglucoside in shallot onion. 363

In general, the flavonoid content varied significantly among black (153.3 μ g g⁻¹ FW) and fresh shallot onion (199.7 μ g g⁻¹ FW), indicating potential flavonoid losses during the black onion manufactured processes. Special attention should be given to individual compounds such as free quercetin which is found in significantly higher quantity in black onion (144 μ g g⁻¹ FW) compared with fresh onion (87 μ g g⁻¹ FW), probably from the thermal degradation of quercetin-diglucosides present in fresh onions and which are not detected in black onion.

370 3.4.2. Free Amino acids and organosulfur compounds

A total of 21 free amino acids and 22 organosulfur compounds, including S-substituted cysteine derivatives, were identified and quantified in fresh and black onions (**Table 5**). The UHPLC-HRMS characteristics of each free amino acid and organosulfur compound are shown in **Table S2 and S3** (Supplementary Information) together with their UHPLC-HRMS traces (**Figure S2 and S3**, Supplementary Information).

376 The basis of the identification of amino acids was achieved by co-chromatography with reference compounds and their fragmentation profiles upon low collision energy and by 377 378 reference to properties reported in previous related publications. Peaks 11 to 31 (Table S2, 379 Supplementary Information) corresponded to all the amino acids and were identified 380 compared with authentic standards. Further, peaks 32 to 53 (Table S3, Supplementary 381 Information), which corresponded to the organosulfur compounds, were identified in fresh 382 and black onion extracts on the basis of the data from previous related publications (Böttcher et al., 2017; Nakabayashi et al., 2013; Kubec & Dadáková, 2009; Arnault et al., 2003). 383

384 Fresh onion showed significant higher concentrations of amino acids and organosulfur compounds (3.60 mg g^{-1} FW and 2.54 mg g^{-1} FW, respectively) compared with that in black 385 onion (2.17 mg g⁻¹ FW and 1.77 mg g⁻¹ FW, respectively). Among them, arginine, glutamine, 386 387 glutamic acid, lysine, tyrosine, asparagine and leucine together with 388 γ -glutamil-S-(propenyl)cysteine sulfoxide and γ -glutamil-S-(1-propenyl)-cysteine were the 389 predominant amino acids and organosulfur compounds in fresh onion, in keeping with 390 previous published data (Kubec et al., 2009), while arginine, leucine, isoleucine, tyrosine, 391 alanine and asparagine along with isoalliin are dominating in black onions. The abundance 392 occurrence of isoalliin in black onion could be due to the enzymatic activity of the cysteine 393 sulphoxidelyase activated during the heating process of fresh onions (Starkenmann, Niclass 394 & Troccaz, 2011) and it could be a precursors of a wide range of sensory-active and 395 health-beneficial compounds of black onion.

396 4. Conclusions

397 Two selective, sensitive, and precise UHPLC-HRMS methods were successfully optimized 398 and validated to identify and quantify phenolic compounds, amino acids and organosulfur 399 compounds in black and fresh onions, allowing the determination of 53 primary and secondary 400 metabolites in both types. These methodologies are successful to analyse individual 401 flavonoids, amino acids as well as organosulfur compounds in onion matrices showing good 402 separation between compounds and highest limits of detection and quantification for the 403 tested reference standards, without time consuming pre-treatment techniques involving 404 complex extraction methods, clean-up steps and derivatization processes which leads to 405 derivative instability, side reaction and reagent interferences prior to the analysis. Moreover, 406 these results give a detailed profile of potential bioactive metabolites in black onion, a novel 407 derived product from fresh onion, highlighting the large difference on the chemical 408 composition between fresh and black onion due to the influence of the heating process involve 409 in the production of black onion.

410

411 Abbreviations used

412 UHPLC-HRMS: ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to high resolution413 mass spectrometry, FA: formic acid.

414 **Funding**

- 415 A. Moreno-Ortega is supported by a predoctoral fellowship funded by the Spanish Ministry of
- 416 Education, Culture and Sport (FPU16-05881). JLO was granted by a research contract funded
- 417 by the Andalusian Institute of Agricultural and Fisheries Research and Training (IFAPA),
- 418 inside the National Youth Guarantee System funded through the European Social Fund (ESF)
- 419 and the Youth Employment Initiative (YEI). GP-C was supported by a research contract funded
- 420 by IFAPA and ESF (03/2014 to 03/2017) and is now supported by a postdoctoral research
- 421 contract "Juan de la Cierva-Incorporación" funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and
- 422 Competitiveness (FJCI-2015-26433).
- 423 This work has been funded by the Andalusian Institute of Agricultural and Fisheries Research
- 424 and Training (IFAPA) through the Project PP.AVA.AVA201601.20.

425

- 426 Supporting information description
- 427 UHPLC-HRMS-based identifications and chromatograms of flavonoids, amino acids and428 organosulfur compounds.

429

430 **References**

- 431 Arnault, I., Christides, J.P., Mandon, N., Haffner, T., Kahane, R., & Auger, J. (2003).
- 432 High-performance ion-pair chromatography method for simultaneous analysis of alliin,
- 433 deoxyalliin, allicin and dipeptide precursors in garlic products using multiple mass
- 434 spectrometry and UV detection. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 991, 69-75.
- 435 Akash, M.S.H., Rehman, K., & Chen, S. (2014). Spice plant *Allium cepa*: Dietary supplement
- 436 for treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Nutrition*, *10*, 1128-1137.
- 437 Bahadoran, Z., Mirmiran, P., Momenan, A.A., & Azizi, F. (2017). Allium vegetable intakes and

- 438 the incidence of cardiovascular disease, hypertension, chronic kidney disease, and type-2
- 439 diabetes in adults: a longitudinal follow-up study. *Journal of Hypertension*, 35, 1909-1916.
- 440 Bonaccorsi, P., Caristi, C., Gargiulli, C., & Leuzzi, U. (2008). Flavonol glucosides in Allium
- 441 species: a comparative study by means of HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS-MS. *Food Chemistry*, 107,
- 442 1668-1673.
- 443 Böttcher, C., Krahmer, A., Stürt, M., Widder, S., & Schulz, H. (2017). Comprehensive
- 444 metabolite profiling of onion bulbs (*Allium cepa*) using liquid chromatography coupled with
- 445 electrospray ionization quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Metabolomics*, 13, 35.
- 446 Crozier, A., Lean, M.E.J., McDonald, M.S., & Black, C. (1997). Quantitative analysis of the
- 447 flavonoid content of commercial tomatoes, onions, lettuce and celery. *Journal of Agricultural*
- 448 *and Food Chemistry*, *45*, 590-595.
- 449 Fattorusso, E., Iorizzi, M., Lanzotti, V., & Tagliatela-Scafati, O. (2002). Chemical composition
- 450 of shallot (Allium ascalonicum Hort.). Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 50,
- 451 5686-5690.
- 452 FDA. (2015). Acceptance Criteria for Confirmation of Identity of Chemical Residues using
- 453 Exact Mass Data for the FDA Foods and Veterinary Medicine Program.
- 454 Feliciano, R. P., Mecha, E., Bronze, M. R., & Rodriguez-Mateos, A. (2016). Development and
- 455 validation of a high-throughput micro solid-phase extraction method coupled with
- 456 ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry
- 457 for rapid identification and quantification of phenolic metabolites in human plasma and urine.
- 458 *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1464, 21-31.
- 459 Gasperotti, M., Masuero, D., Guella, G., Mattivi, F., & Vrhovsek, U. (2014). Development of a
- 460 targeted method for twenty-three metabolites related to polyphenol gut microbial metabolism
- 461 in biological samples, using SPE and UHPLC–ESI-MS/MS. *Talanta*, *128*, 221-230.

- 462 Gökmen, V., Serpen, A., & Morgol, B.A. (2012). Rapid determination of amino acids in foods
- 463 by hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography coupled to high-resolution mass
- 464 spectrometry. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 403, 2915-2922.
- 465 Guo, S., Duan, J.A., Qian, D., Tang, Y., Qian, Y., Wu, D., Su, S., & Shang, E. (2013). Rapid
- determination of amino acids in fruits of *Ziziphus jujuba* by hydrophilic interaction of
- 467 ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography coupled with triple-quadrupole mass
- 468 spectrometry. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 61, 2709-2719.
- 469 Hertog, M.G.L., Hollman, P.C.H., & Katan, M.B. (1992). Content of potentially
- 470 anticarcinogenic flavonoids of 28 vegetables and 9 fruits commonly consumed in The
- 471 Netherlands. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 40, 2379.
- 472 Juániz, I., Ludwig, I.A., Huarte, E., Pereira-Caro, G., Moreno-Rojas, J.M., Cid, C. & De Peña,
- 473 M.P. (2016). Influence of heat treatment of antioxidant capacity and (poly)phenolic
- 474 compounds of selected vegetables. *Food Chemistry*, *197*, 466-473.
- 475 Jung, E.S., Park, S.H., Choi, E.K., Ryu, B.H., Park, B.H., Kim, D.S., Kim, Y.G., & Chae, S.W.
- 476 (2014). Reduction of blood lipid parameters by a 12-week supplementation of aged black
- 477 garlic: a randomized controlled trial. *Nutrition*, *30*, 1034-1039.
- 478 Kubec, R., & Dadakova, E. (2009). Chromatographic methods for determination of
- 479 S-substituted cysteine derivatives-a comparative study. *Journal of Chromatography A*, *1216*,
 480 6957-6963.
- 481 Nakabayashi, R., Sawada, Y., Yamada, Y., Suzuki, M., Hirai, M.Y., Sakurai, T., & Saito, K.
- 482 (2013). Combination of liquid chromatography fourier transformation ion cyclotron
- 483 resonance-mass spectrometry with C-13-labeling for chemical assignment of
- 484 sulfur-containing metabolites in onion bulbs. *Analytical Chemistry*, 85, 1310-1315.
- 485 Nicastro, H.L., Ross, S.A., & Milner, J.A. (2015). Garlic and Onions: their cancer prevention

- 486 properties. *Cancer Prevention Research*, *8*, 181-189.
- 487 Pourzand, A., Tajaddini, A., Pirouzpanah, S., Asghari-Jafarabadi, M., Samadi, N.,
- 488 Ostadrahimi, R. & Sanaat, Z. (2016). Association between dietary *Allium* vegetables and risk
- 489 of breast cancer: a hospital-based matched case control study. Journal of Breast Cancer, 19,
- 490 292-300.
- 491 Sharma, K., Assefa, A.D., Ko, E.Y., Lee, E.T., Park, S.W. (2015). Quantitative analysis of
- 492 flavonoids, sugars, phenylalanine and tryptophan in onion scales during storage under ambient
- 493 conditions. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 52, 2157-2165.
- 494 Starkenmann, C., Niclass, Y., & Troccaz, M. (2011). Nonvolatile S-alk(en)ylthio-L-cysteine
- 495 derivatives in fresh onion (*Allium cepa* L. Cultivars). *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
- 496 *Chemistry*, 59, 9457-9465.
- 497 Soininen, T.H., Jukarainen, N., Auriola, S.O.K., Julkunen-Tiitto, R., Karjalainen, R., &
- 498 Vepsalainen, J.J. (2014). Quantitative metabolite profiling of edible onion species by NMR
- 499 and HPLC-MS. *Food Chemistry*, *165*, 499-505.
- 500
- 501 Web references
- 502 <u>http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC</u>. Last accessed on 05132018.
- 503
- 504
- 505
- 506
- 507

508	
509	
510	Figure Caption
511	Figure 1. Representative UHPLC-HILIC-HRMS chromatogram separation of leucine and
512	isoleucine amino acids by using as organic mobile phase A) acetonitrile with 1 mM
513	ammonium acetate and 1 mM ammonium formate or B) acetonitrile; and as aqueous mobile
514	phase 1% formic acid with 5 mM ammonium acetate and 5 mM ammonium formate.
515	
516	
517	
518	
519	
520	
521	
522	
523	
524	
525	
526	
527	
528	

Table 1. Recovery (%) of flavonoids, amino acids and organosulfur compounds from fresh
onion and black onion using three different extraction solvents. Solvent A: deionized
water:methanol (20:80, v/v) with 1% formic acid; solvent B: deionized water:methanol
(50:50, v/v) with 1% formic acid and solvent C: deionized water:acetonitrile (20:80, v/v) with
1% formic acid.

	Commonnda	Fre	sh Shallot Or	nion ^a	Black Onion ^a			
	Compounds	Solvent A	Solvent B	Solvent C	Solvent A	Solvent B	Solvent C	
	Quercetin	90.2a	91.7a	80.8a	109.5a	103.0a	80.0b	
	Rutin	80.0a	83.7a	62.4b	83.7a	57.5b	64.1c	
Flavonoids	Isorhamnetin	96.8a	86.5a	75.7a	88.2a	64.8b	52.7c	
	Luteolin	83.9a	82.8a	76.8a	90.7a	108.6a	88.3a	
	Apigenin	93.3a	81.7a	83.5a	94.2a	83.0a	94.3a	
	Leucine	95.2a	97.6a	65.3b	101.3a	101.0a	65.5b	
	Isoleucine	92.1a	96.1a	68.9b	110.6a	98.8b	46.9c	
	Phenylalanine	96.6a	100.7a	67.3b	101.6a	103.0a	80.2b	
	Tryptophan	89.1a	89.4a	55.4b	73.2a	73.4a	<20b	
	Methionine	98.3a	90.0a	65.1b	78.2a	79.0a	<20	
	Valine	93.8a	95.1a	66.6b	108.2a	98.7b	30.4c	
	Proline	94.0a	93.2a	63.8b	107.2	98.0a	<20b	
	Tyrosine	94.2a	97.4a	64.2b	103.7a	97.2a	<20b	
	Alanine	89.7a	102.0a	77.5b	103.4a	98.3b	77.6c	
	Threonine	94.9a	97.3a	65.5b	106.6a	99.2a	<20b	
Amino Acids	Glycine	92.1a	92.2a	<20b	106.7a	98.6a	<20b	
	Glutamic							
	Acid	93.5a	96.7a	57.3b	105.8a	95.9b	<20c	
	Glutamine	94.6a	97.4a	52.2b	104.8a	108.9a	<20b	
	Serine	96.8a	98.1a	48.5b	104.5a	99.2b	<20c	
	Asparagine	95.1a	96.3a	56.7b	103.1a	100.5b	<20c	
	Lysine	99.7a	97.9a	<20b	105.0a	83.9b	<20c	
	Histidine	110.9a	106.9a	<20b	105.9a	89.4b	<20c	
	Ornithine	93.4a	80.0a	<20b	104.5a	74.5b	<20c	
	Aspartic Acid	95.3a	96.3a	65.5b	100.6a	90.9a	<20b	
	Arginine	97.1a	98.7a	<20b	103.3a	91.2b	<20c	
	GABA	99.1a	96.7a	75.6b	107.7a	101.4b	84.7c	
Organosulfur	Alliin	98.2a	99.0a	<20b	96.0a	103.0a	<20b	
Compounds	SAC	97.1a	101.0a	<20b	70.8a	74.7a	40.0b	

^a Different letters in a row denote significant differences (p<0.05) among the three solvents used

539 (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test).

Compounds	Linear Pange (ng ul ⁻¹)	Slope	Intercept	\mathbf{P}^{2a}	$I OD (ng \mu I^{-1})^{b}$	$I \cap O (ng \cup I^{-1})^b$	Intra-day Precision ^c		Inter-day Precision ^c		Matrix Effoated
Compounds	Linear Kange (ng µL)	Slope		К	$LOD (lig \mu L)$	$LOQ (lig \mu L)$	L1	L2	L1	L2	Maura Effects
	Fresh Shallot Onion										
Quercetin	0.012-12.5	25402373	22555261	0.9923	0.004	0.012	1.7	2.5	8.5	5.5	93
Rutin	0.012-12.5	18055622	3113609	0.9975	0.004	0.012	1.2	2.5	1.9	2.2	98
Isorhamnetin	0.012-12.5	62416301	17835161	0.9942	0.004	0.012	1.3	1.6	9.3	5.6	110
Quercetin-3-O-glucoside	0.024-12.5	12372182	5040922	0.9909	0.007	0.024	0.7	1.5	7.6	7.1	98
Kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside	0.012-6.3	43785782	5867651	0.9927	0.004	0.012	0.8	3.2	5.5	5.8	104
Luteolin	0.012-12.5	53512956	5382539	0.9972	0.004	0.012	3.7	3.8	13.1	11.1	100
Apigenin	0.012-12.5	44384929	7064976	0.9838	0.004	0.012	1.0	1.8	8.5	4.6	106
					Black	k Onion					
Quercetin	0.012-6.3	30312758	7307594	0.9936	0.004	0.012	1.0	0.5	5.7	2.7	111
Rutin	0.012-12.5	16459260	1921621	0.9942	0.004	0.012	1.0	2.0	4.9	6.3	89
Isorhamnetin	0.012-12.5	55821170	13023749	0.9936	0.004	0.012	0.4	0.8	4.8	4.7	98
Quercetin-3-O-glucoside	0.012-12.5	10673405	81671	0.9985	0.004	0.012	1.0	0.5	8.1	4.1	85
Kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside	0.012-6.3	37877605	2631519	0.9960	0.004	0.012	0.8	1.0	5.5	5.8	90
Luteolin	0.012-12.5	49094166	4207330	0.9956	0.004	0.012	1.5	2.7	9.5	7.7	92
Apigenin	0.012-12.5	44197434	14094745	0.9907	0.004	0.012	0.7	0.8	7.4	5.4	106

540	Table 2. Summary of validation	parameters for '	7 flavonoids in fresh shallot onion and black onion	
-----	--------------------------------	------------------	---	--

^aR²: Coefficient of determination. ^bLOD: limit of detection. ^bLOQ: limit of quantification. ^cIntra- and inter- day precision correspond to RSD (%) of the injection

542 of fresh and black onion extracts spiked with standards at a final concentration of L1 ($0.78 \text{ ng } \mu L^{-1}$) and L2 ($6.25 \text{ ng } \mu L^{-1}$). ^dMatrix effect is expressed as

543 percentage.

Deak	Compounds	Linear Range	Slope	Intercent	P ^{2a}	LOD	LOQ	Intra-day	Precision ^c	Inter-day	Precision ^c	Matrix
I Cak	Compounds	(ng µL ⁻¹)	Slope	intercept	К	$(ng \ \mu L^{.1})^b$	$(ng \mu L^{-1})^b$	L1	L2	L1	L2	Effects ^d
	Amino acids					Fresh Sha	llot Onion					
11	Phenylalanine	0.10-50.0	1663641	691526	0.9980	0.03	0.10	2.6	2.5	13.9	8.7	105
12	Leucine	0.10-50.0	3245297	1434703	0.9971	0.03	0.10	1.7	2.4	5.6	8.2	83
13	Tryptophan	0.10-50.0	572486	-544643	0.9922	0.03	0.10	5.3	2.3	15.2	13.9	85
14	Isoleucine	0.10-50.0	4537671	1065457	0.9988	0.03	0.10	2.2	0.4	5.8	7.7	88
15	Methionine	0.10-50.0	1867736	-694741	0.9991	0.03	0.10	12.1	3.2	6.5	12.8	86
16	GABA	0.10-50.0	3175583	-953869	0.9993	0.03	0.10	6.9	2.9	11.0	9.2	92
17	Valine	0.10-50.0	1993399	1066633	0.9966	0.03	0.10	4.8	2.2	5.6	8.1	94
18	Proline	0.04-50.0	9080102	-530778	0.9997	0.01	0.04	11.5	3.0	11.4	12.9	106
19	Tyrosine	0.10-50.0	377503	-76068	0.9994	0.03	0.10	3.7	1.7	7.6	12.5	87
20	Alanine	0.10-50.0	1077476	-369036	0.9986	0.03	0.10	3.9	2.5	8.9	7.1	92
21	Threonine	0.10-50.0	820067	-158642	0.9996	0.03	0.10	2.9	0.6	4.3	7.9	93
22	Glycine	0.20-50.0	271977	-109487	0.9984	0.06	0.20	8.0	7.9	7.6	11.9	93
23	Glutamic acid	0.10-50.0	542528	303417	0.9991	0.03	0.10	1.9	2.1	5.5	10.0	87
24	Glutamine	0.10-50.0	496591	24948	0.9976	0.03	0.10	1.8	2.0	5.4	13.3	89
25	Serine	0.10-50.0	378872	99659	0.9981	0.03	0.10	1.9	2.6	5.3	9.9	91
26	Asparagine	0.10-50.0	605553	11650	0.9995	0.03	0.10	1.1	1.8	2.0	9.5	84
27	Aspartic acid	0.10-50.0	200135	-136497	0.9936	0.03	0.10	6.4	13.6	11.9	8.4	90
28	Arginine	0.10-50.0	1787725	326578	0.9995	0.03	0.10	0.7	1.5	6.8	7.2	106
29	Lysine	0.10-12.5	592527	-69029	0.9943	0.03	0.10	2.0	2.3	1.4	9.4	90
30	Ornithine	0.10-12.5	233713	-89535	0.9973	0.03	0.10	1.6	3.9	11.8	10.0	101
31	Histidine	0.10-25.0	536300	-675110	0.9900	0.03	0.10	6.1	0.7	1.8	2.2	88
	Organosulfur											
	compounds											
36	Alliin	0.1-75.0	460250	-418104	0.9992	0.03	0.10	5.2	3.5	6.6	5.7	106
	SAC	0.1-75.0	966119	47217	0.9999	0.03	0.10	1.5	4.5	13.4	11.4	102

Table 3. Summary of validation parameters for 21 amino acids and 2 organosulfur compounds in fresh shallot onion and black onion.

	Amino acids	Black Onion										
11	Phenylalanine	0.10-50.0	1587579	1316871	0.9946	0.03	0.10	2.9	2.5	7.0	8.3	100
12	Leucine	0.10-12.5	3157625	490523	0.9980	0.03	0.10	1.2	2.0	12.1	6.6	81
13	Tryptophan	0.20-50.0	678836	-286383	0.9992	0.06	0.20	13.4	6.5	13.1	13.9	101
14	Isoleucine	0.10-50.0	4389002	1466016	0.9994	0.03	0.10	0.9	1.9	4.1	5.3	85
15	Methionine	0.10-50.0	1734599	-557069	0.9987	0.03	0.10	13.8	10.1	9.0	15.6	80
16	GABA	0.10-50.0	3264382	-1618886	0.9983	0.03	0.10	0.9	2.5	2.9	8.2	95
17	Valine	0.10-25.0	2348189	701542	0.9982	0.03	0.10	2.6	1.6	8.2	7.8	111
18	Proline	0.04-20.0	9510223	100806	0.9995	0.01	0.04	3.2	2.5	4.2	13.5	111
19	Tyrosine	0.10-50.0	348298	-17300	0.9999	0.03	0.10	1.3	1.2	9.0	10.3	80
20	Alanine	0.10-50.0	1205573	-458759	0.9985	0.03	0.10	1.9	1.5	6.7	7.9	103
21	Threonine	0.10-50.0	876703	-244956	0.9989	0.03	0.10	2.3	1.2	4.7	7.3	99
22	Glycine	0.10-50.0	261732	-84802	0.9991	0.03	0.10	3.5	1.1	4.7	6.8	89
23	Glutamic acid	0.10-50.0	544661	73654	0.9994	0.03	0.10	1.7	2.4	1.0	11.2	87
24	Glutamine	0.10-25.0	438271	-63229	0.9996	0.03	0.10	6.0	9.7	10.9	4.2	92
25	Serine	0.10-50.0	415478	36839	0.9993	0.03	0.10	1.7	1.4	3.3	9.3	100
26	Asparagine	0.10-50.0	671316	-46139	0.9994	0.03	0.10	2.8	1.0	6.1	8.6	94
27	Aspartic acid	0.10-50.0	226205	-145560	0.9929	0.03	0.10	3.0	8.5	14.2	5.8	102
28	Arginine	0.10-25.0	1487466	-832105	0.9922	0.03	0.10	2.3	1.0	10.9	8.0	88
29	Lysine	0.10-25.0	516008	-61100	0.9986	0.03	0.10	1.2	1.5	1.9	9.8	85
30	Ornithine	0.20-12.5	235670	-92026	0.9982	0.06	0.20	5.2	5.4	9.2	11.1	102
31	Histidine	0.20-25.0	586845	-1905888	0.9934	0.06	0.20	7.3	2.4	10.8	4.0	97
	Organosulfur											
	compounds											
36	Alliin	0.10-10.0	427961	-169143	0.9972	0.03	0.10	3.8	4.6	2.5	2.7	95
	SAC	0.10-10.0	869774	-232084	0.9981	0.03	0.10	2.0	13.8	7.3	6.6	114

⁵⁵¹ ^aR²: Coefficient of determination. ^bLOD: limit of detection. ^bLOQ: limit of quantification. ^cIntra- and inter- day precision correspond to RSD (%) of the injection

of fresh and black onion extracts spiked with standards at a final concentration of L1 ($0.1 \text{ ng } \mu L^{-1}$) and L2 ($0.5 \text{ ng } \mu L^{-1}$). ^dMatrix effect is expressed as

553 percentage. ^b GABA: gamma aminobutyric acid

- **Table 4.** Concentrations ($\mu g \ FW^{-1}$) of individual flavonoids presented in fresh and black onion. Data
- 555 is expressed as mean values \pm SDV (n=3).

Peak	Compound	Fresh Shallot (µg g FW ⁻¹)	Black Onion (µg g FW ⁻¹)
1	Quercetin-7,4 ⁻ -O-diglucoside	42.0 ± 4.0	nd
2	Quercetin-3,4 ⁻ -O-diglucoside	21.0 ± 1.0	nd
3	Rutin	0.8 ± 0.1	nd
4	Isorhamnetin-3,4-diglucoside	1.7 ± 0.2	nd
5	Quercetin-3-O-glucoside	$2.2\pm0.3^{\rm a}$	1.3 ± 0.1^{b}
6	Quercetin-4-O-glucoside	$39.0\pm1.0^{\rm a}$	6.4 ± 0.3^{b}
7	Isorhamnetin-4´-O-glucoside	3.7 ± 0.3	nd
8	Quercetin	$87.0\pm6.0^{\rm b}$	$144.0\pm2.0^{\rm a}$
9	Luteolin	1.3 ± 0.2^{a}	1.0 ± 0.1^{a}
10	Isorhamnetin	1.0 ± 0.2^{a}	$0.6\pm0.1^{\text{b}}$
	Total Flavonoids	199.7 ±13.1 ^a	153.3 ± 2.6^{b}

^a Different letters in a row denote significant difference (p<0.05) between fresh at

559 black onion. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test was performed to evaluate

560 significant differences (p<0.05).

.__ .

Peak	Compounds	Fresh Shallot	Black Onion
I Cak	Compounds	(µg g FW-1)	(µg g FW ⁻¹)
	Amino Acids		
11	Phenylalanine	$28.4 \pm 3.2^{\circ}$	85.0 ± 3.1^{a}
12	Leucine	100.0 ± 11.0^{6}	148.0 ± 5.3^{a}
13	Tryptophan	87.1 ± 8.3^{a}	$2.2 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$
14	Isoleucine	$25.0 \pm 2.1^{\circ}$	92.2 ± 10.0^{a}
15	Methionine	7.3 ± 1.1	nd
16	GABA	15.4 ± 1.0^{a}	$10.1 \pm 1.4^{\circ}$
17	Valine	$53.2 \pm 6.0^{\circ}$	$83.4 \pm 6.3^{\circ}$
18	Proline	17.1 ± 2.0^{a}	12.9 ± 1.1^{a}
19	Tyrosine	$151.0 \pm 16.2^{\circ}$	193.3 ± 13.2^{a}
20	Alanine	$28.4 \pm 2.4^{\circ}$	179.7 ± 12.2^{a}
21	Threonine	$39.0 \pm 4.0^{\circ}$	63.7 ± 5.1^{a}
22	Glycine	$12.2 \pm 2.0^{\circ}$	88.8 ± 3.0^{a}
23	Glutamic acid	313.1 ± 31.0^{a}	$106.3 \pm 9.0^{\circ}$
24	Glutamine	504.4 ± 45.0^{a}	$3.1 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$
25	Serine	$28.9 \pm 1.0^{\circ}$	49.3 ± 3.1^{a}
26	Asparagine	331.0 ± 24.0^{a}	$142.0 \pm 8.2^{\circ}$
27	Aspartic acid	50.0 ± 2.2^{6}	102.0 ± 10.0^{a}
28	Arginine	1299.1 ± 40.0^{a}	$629.9 \pm 40.0^{\circ}$
29	Lysine	402.9 ± 31.0^{a}	$128.4 \pm 8.2^{\circ}$
30	Ornithine	36.0 ± 3.3^{a}	$26.1 \pm 1.1^{\circ}$
31	Histidine	75.0 ± 3.1^{a}	$24.0 \pm 1.1^{\circ}$
	Total Amino Acids	3604.5 ± 239.9^{a}	$2170.4 \pm 142.2^{\circ}$
	Organosulfur compounds		
32	S-(S-propyl)cysteine	6.6 ± 2.2^{a}	5.5 ± 0.3^{a}
33	S-(S-1-propenyl)cysteine	4.1 ± 0.2^{a}	$2.4 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$
34	S-propyl-cysteine sulfoxide (Propiin)	$9.6 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$	12.2 ± 0.9^{a}
35	S-(2-carboxypropyl)cysteine	4.2 ± 0.2^{a}	$2.9 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$
36	S-(2-propenyl)cysteine sulfoxide (Alliin)	184.0 ± 20.1	nd
37	γ –Glutamyl-S-(S-propyl)cysteine-glycine	9.6 ± 0.6	nd
38	γ –Glutamyl-S-(S-1-propenyl)cysteine	$4.1\pm0.1^{ m b}$	$6.2\pm0.6^{\rm a}$
39	γ –Glutamyl-S-(S-1-propenyl)cysteine-glycine	$6.6\pm0.5^{\mathrm{a}}$	$2.5\pm0.1^{\rm b}$
40	γ –Glutamyl-S-(propyl)cysteine	8.8 ± 0.8	nd
41	v –Glutamyl-S-(1-propenyl)cysteine	311.1 + 24.0	nd
42	S-(2-carboxypropyl)cysteine-glycine	102.3 ± 11.1^{a}	3.2 ± 1.3^{b}
43	(S-(E)-(1-propenvl)cysteine sulfoxide (Isoalliin))	$131.0 + 20.0^{b}$	1584.0 ± 66.4^{a}
44	γ –Glutamyl-S-(S-methyl)cysteine-glycine	4.0 ± 0.2^{a}	3.1 ± 0.2^{b}
45	S-methyl-cysteine sulfoxide (Methiin)	$29.2 + 4.4^{a}$	5.6 ± 0.4^{b}
46	S-methylcysteine (Deoxymethiin)	76+0.6	nd
47	y_Glutamyl_S_(2_carboxynronyl) cysteine glycine	7.0 ± 0.0 221 1 + 21 2	nd
18	γ = Grutaniyi-5-(2-carboxypropyi)cysteme-gryclife	221.1 ± 21.3	iiu
40	hexoside	3.6 ± 0.1	nd
49	γ –Glutamyl-S-propylcysteine sulfoxide	34.0 ± 5.0	nd
50	γ -Glutamyl-S-(1-propenyl)cysteine sulfoxide or		
	γ -Glutamyl-S-(2-propenyl)cysteine sulfoxide	1387.2 ± 115.2^{a}	120.1 ± 12.3^{b}
51	3-Methyl-1,4-thiazane-5-carboxylic acid sulfoxide		
	(Cycloalliin)	43.1 ± 4.0^{a}	$8.5 \pm 1.1^{\mathrm{b}}$
52	γ -Glutamyl-S-methylcysteine sulfoxide	$14.4\pm0.8^{\rm a}$	7.9 ± 0.3^{b}
53	γ -Glutamyl-S-methylcysteine	$17.0 \pm 0.8^{\mathrm{a}}$	$8.1\pm0.6^{\mathrm{b}}$
	Total Organosulfur Compounds	2543.2 ± 232.8^{a}	1772.2 ± 84.8^{b}

576 **Table 5.** Concentrations (μ g g FW⁻¹) of individual amino acids and organosulfur compounds presented 577 in fresh shallot and black onion. Data is expressed as mean values \pm SDV (n=3).

^a Different letters in a row denote significant difference between fresh and black onion. One-way

579 ANOVA followed by Tukey test was performed to evaluate significant differences (p<0.05).



