ZnO on rice husk: a sustainable photocatalyst for urban air purification
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Abstract

A cost-effective and sustainable De-NOx photocatalyst is prepared using zinc acetate and rice husk. ZnO@SiO$_2$ samples are obtained from the calcination of a homogenised precursor mixture at 600 °C. ZnO nanoparticles (70 – 180 nm) grow aggregated in spheres and well dispersed (40 – 53 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ surface area) covering the silicon skeleton. The corresponding band gap for ZnO@SiO$_2$ photocatalysts was estimated at 3.1 – 3.2 eV. When the samples are irradiated by sunlight in a nitrogen oxide atmosphere the NO → HNO$_2$ → NO$_2$ → NO$_3$ photochemical oxidation takes place. In comparison to unsupported ZnO and TiO$_2$-P25, ZnO@SiO$_2$ samples exhibit high NO$_x$ removal values (70 %) and outstanding selectivity (> 90%), the latter related to the sensitivity of zinc oxide towards NO$_2$ gas. This new photocatalyst is easily recyclable and reusable.
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1. Introduction

Semiconductor (SC) photocatalysts have been widely studied as suitable materials for environmental remediation. A multitude of compounds, based mainly on TiO$_2$, ZnO, CdS, Fe$_2$O$_3$ and WO$_3$, were reported for the photocatalytic degradation of a variety of water, air and solid pollutants[1, 2]. In the recent years, an increasing interest has arisen in the study of the photochemical oxidation (PCO) of nitrogen oxide gases as a sustainable tool to combat urban air pollution[3].

Because of their toxicity, the presence of nitrogen oxides, commonly referred as NO$_x$ – nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$) –, in the urban environment is a high risk for human health[4]. Thus, environmental agencies in the USA and European Community limit the hourly NO$_x$ air concentration to 0.1 ppm and 0.2 ppm, respectively. However, these limits are difficult to be attained because the NO$_x$ emissions rates from older vehicles worsen as time goes on[5]. In fact, nowadays higher NO$_x$ levels are measured in very populated cities all over the world and thousands of early deaths worldwide are associated with these NO$_x$ emissions[6, 7]. Today’s research works have demonstrated the potential applicability of semiconductors in directly removing large amounts of NO$_x$ gases (De-NO$_x$ action) from the urban centres in our cities. In fact, at present, depolluting building materials, using TiO$_2$ as a photocatalytic additive, are integrated in some advanced buildings in an attempt to achieve effective NO$_x$ de-pollution in urban areas[8]. In this sense, many researchers pay attention to the preparation of modified titania with enhanced De-NO$_x$ photocatalytic performance[9-13].

However, it’s necessary to point out that TiO$_2$ has just been proposed to be classified as possibly causing cancer when inhaled[14]. This could limit some of its applications and, therefore, a rapid advance in the study and development of new De-NOx photocatalysts is desired. g-C$_3$N$_4$, N-(BiO)$_2$CO$_3$, BiOBr, Bi/ZnWO$_4$, or Ni-Bi$_2$O$_3$ among others, were reported as alternative materials towards the photochemical NO abatement[15-20]. In this work we study the De-NO$_x$ ability of ZnO, which is not considered to be a threat to consumers health[21]. Even though ZnO is a preferred photocatalyst because of its high photosensitivity, mechanical-thermal stability and tuneable morphology, only a few studies have been recently reported for this specific environmental application. Some of them are
devoted to enhancing titanium dioxide De-NO\textsubscript{x} efficiency through the preparation of TiO\textsubscript{2}/ZnO composites[22, 23]. In the case of a pure ZnO photocatalyst, whose preparation proceeded by using amine derivatives or ionic liquids, the NO conversion photo-efficiency was equal to or lower than 50 %[24-28]. On the other hand, the preparation of ZnO spheres by a carbon template via the one-step process is highly interesting, the resulting compound showing the highest photocatalytic activity[28]. Herein we propose a very simple procedure that allows us to obtain a ZnO efficient photocatalyst by using rice husk ash (RHA) as a support, a strategy successfully employed in the preparation of metals and metal oxides (photo)catalysts[29-32]. Rice husk (RH) is an agricultural waste product, the ash of which contains about 92–95% silica (SiO\textsubscript{2})[29], and when used to synthesize silica nanoparticles, offers additional advantages, including greener raw materials, lower costs, and higher sustainability[30]. The physicochemical characterization and photocatalytic activity of different ZnO@SiO\textsubscript{2} samples are presented. Remarkably, as the main novelty, De-NO\textsubscript{x} ZnO based photocatalysts are prepared using a cost-effective and large-scale synthetic procedure and exhibiting the highest NO photo-chemical conversion efficiency and selectivity values reported. Due to the high selectivity values found, the use of photocatalysis proves to be sustainable as a NO abatement process.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Preparation of ZnO supported photocatalyst

The complete characterization of RHA support is provided in Supplementary Information (SI; Figures S1 – S4 and Table S1). With the aim of obtaining a cost-effective and large-scale synthesis procedure in mind, the methodology used for the preparation of a photocatalyst was as simple as possible. Zinc acetate is a very cheap salt that is successfully used in the synthesis of ZnO-based materials[33]. Zn(OAc)\textsubscript{2}·2H\textsubscript{2}O (99,0 %, Sigma-Aldrich) and RH were used as precursor components, in the 0.65 weight ratio. This ratio was selected following that previously reported as optimum in the preparation of a catalyst on RHA as a support[34], focussing the attention of this preliminary work in the
preparative methodology. Two methods were chosen in order to enhance the contact between the precursor components:

i) Liquid to solid deposition. 30.73 g of Zn(OAc)$_2$·2H$_2$O was dissolved in 150 mL of milliQ water. Subsequently, 20 g of RH was added to the vessel. After 30 min of magnetic stirring, the paste was dried at 80 °C for 4 days. Later, the product was calcined at 600 °C for 2 and 4 hours. The photocatalyst samples obtained were denominated as dZn@RHA-2 and dZn@RHA-4, respectively.

ii) Mechanical milling. A mixture of 30.73 g of Zn(OAc)$_2$·2H$_2$O and 20 g of RH was milled using a planetary mill (45 min, 300 rpm). The collected product was calcined at 600 °C for 2 and 4 hours. The photocatalyst samples obtained were denominated as mZn@RHA-2 and mZn@RHA-4, respectively.

2.2 Sample characterization

Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence analysis (XRF) was done in a ZSX Primus IV (Rigaku) equipment. The carbon content elemental analysis was determined by using an Eurovector EA 3000 elemental instrument. Crystalline phases were identified by using X-ray diffraction (XRD). The XRD patterns were recorded in a Bruker D8 Discover A25 diffractometer. The crystal size of the samples was determined by means of the Scherrer equation. This equation was calculated with DIFFRACT.EVA V3.1 software. The morphology of the samples was examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). SEM images were obtained with a Jeol JMS-6400 microscope. Particle size distribution was estimated using a Visiolog 6.1 software. Nitrogen absorption isotherms were obtained at 77.4 K on an ASAP 2020 instrument from Micromeritics, from which the specific surface areas were calculated using the multipoint Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) and Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) methods. Diffuse reflectance (DR) spectra were recorded using a Varian Cary 1E spectrophotometer. Thermogravimetry (TGA) was carried out with a Mettler Toledo in air atmosphere (flow: 100 mL min$^{-1}$) from room temperature to 600 °C (heating rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$).
Additional information is provided in SI.

2.3 Photocatalytic activity tests

The photocatalytic activity of the materials towards the oxidation of NO was studied using a protocol similar to the standardised test method developed for the characterisation of air-purification performance, ISO 22197-1 [35]. However, some of the specifications described in this standard were modified in order to obtain better performance with the samples of this study. Thus, the tests were carried out on a 50 mm × 50 mm sample holder placed in a laminar flow reactor [35]. Artificial sunlight irradiation (Solarbox 3000e RH light irradiation box) was obtained with an Xe lamp with controlled irradiance (25 and 580 Wm⁻² for UV and visible, respectively). For each De-NOₓ test, 1.0 g of the sample supported on the sampler holder inside the reactor was used. As a pollutant, a mixture of air/NO was sent to the photoreactor with a NO concentration of 150 ppb (obtained by mixing synthetic air and pure NO). This concentration was chosen because it is representative of NO concentrations found during intense photochemical pollution periods in urban environments [6], having already been successfully adopted in previous research works [15, 36, 37]. The gas mixture was supplied by using a flow rate of 0.30 L min⁻¹ and fixing the relative humidity at 50 ± 5%. The measurement of the concentration of NO, NOₓ and NO₂ gases was carried out using a chemiluminescence analyser (model Environnement AC32M). Each test was repeated three times to obtain average concentration values. The calculated standard deviations were ± 0.3 ppb for NO concentration and ± 1.0 ppb for NO₂ and NOₓ concentrations. The photocatalytic efficiency was evaluated following the NO conversion (%), NO₂ released (%) and NOₓ conversion (%) parameters (defined in SI).
3. Results and discussion

3.1 Photocatalyst characterisation

ZnO@SiO\textsubscript{2} supported photocatalysts were obtained after the calcination of the Zn(OAc)\textsubscript{2}/RH precursor mixtures. The chemical composition of samples is provided in Table S2. The XRD patterns obtained for the four samples are shown in Figure 1. Only peaks ascribable to hkl reflections of hexagonal structure of ZnO (wurtzite) were detected. The diffraction peaks at 31.5°, 34.2°, 36.1°, 47.3°, 56.4°, 62.7°, 66.1°, 67.7° and 68.9° two theta degrees can be attributed to (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103), (200), (112) and (201) crystal planes, respectively\[38]. Apart from its amorphous character, the negligible presence of the silica halo expected at 22° (Fig S5)\[39] would be indicative of good zinc oxide covering on the silica support. For comparison purposes, ZnO without the use of RH was also prepared using the same calcination procedure. The ZnO samples thus obtained after 2 and 4 hours of calcination were labelled as Zn-2 and Zn-4, respectively. Their corresponding XRD patterns also show the formation of pure zinc oxide. In the case of silica supported samples, the intensity of diffraction peaks is significantly lower than that observed for Zn-2 and Zn-4 samples. Thus, the smallest crystallite size values were estimated for the ZnO@SiO\textsubscript{2} samples, Table 1.

The SEM images for dZn@RHA samples, Figure 2, show ZnO particles growing dispersed on the cob-shaped skeleton, being aggregated in spheres of different sizes. The milling process breaks this skeleton but similar particle aggregation is observed for mZn@RHA samples, Figure 3 (a-d). In both cases, the wurtzite particle size is around 70-180 nm (Figure S6a). For the whole set of samples, the silica support (Supplementary Information) appears completely covered by ZnO particles, as expected from XRD patterns. The extended heating period of up to 4 hours had no significant influence on the morphology of the ZnO crystals. The silica skeleton acts as a support but also as a template influencing the growth of the wurtzite crystals. Thus, without the use of RH, the ZnO is constituted by independent larger amorphous nanoparticles of 140-280 nm, Figure 3 (e,f) and Figure S6b.
The differences observed in ZnO crystallite size and morphology, with and without the use of RH, clearly reveal the influence of rice husk on the ZnO growth. This could be explained by assuming the following crystal growth mechanism. Since the RH decomposes at a lower temperature than the zinc acetate precursor (Figure S1), ZnO crystallizes once the amorphous silica is formed. By considering the appearance of the Zener pinning process[40], the growth of the first ZnO crystals must be restricted by the presence of silica as an inert phase, which is believed to result in tiny discrete particles located in the interface regions between the emergent ZnO nanocrystals. These reduce the radius of the crystallite surfaces, thus increasing the energy required for growth. This would explain the limited size of ZnO crystals and nanoparticles in Zn@RHA samples (Figure S6; Table 1).

Table 1. The surface analysis parameters and crystallite size for zinc oxide samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>BET surface area (m²·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>BJH* pore volume (cm³·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>BJH* mesoporous volume (cm³·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>BJH* average pore diameter (nm)</th>
<th>BJH* surface area (m²·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>BJH* mesoporous surface area (m²·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Crystallite size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dZn@RHA-2</td>
<td>49,3</td>
<td>0,11</td>
<td>0,08</td>
<td>8,99</td>
<td>48,5</td>
<td>42,3</td>
<td>23,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dZn@RHA-4</td>
<td>53,5</td>
<td>0,13</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>9,00</td>
<td>56,2</td>
<td>49,5</td>
<td>25,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mZn@RHA-2</td>
<td>40,3</td>
<td>0,11</td>
<td>0,08</td>
<td>9,00</td>
<td>47,1</td>
<td>41,5</td>
<td>32,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mZn@RHA-4</td>
<td>50,7</td>
<td>0,10</td>
<td>0,07</td>
<td>8,66</td>
<td>45,1</td>
<td>38,8</td>
<td>36,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-2</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>17,15</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>62,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-4</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>21,02</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>72,1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined from the N₂ desorption isotherm

Because of the derived dependence on the photocatalytic activity, the preparation of ZnO with a large surface area has been studied extensively [41]. The ZnO exhibits very complex and diversified morphologies, and the manipulation of a desirable structure for the rational tailoring of surface to volume ratios was largely reported. Thus, as way of example, large surface area ZnO photocatalysts were prepared such as spherical shape nanocrystals, flower-like, mesoporous, nanostar, nanofibers, tetrapods, nanorod arrays, porous nanopyramids, porous nanosheets, hollow spheres,
nanotube arrays and porous films morphologies. Various preparative methods were employed such as capping agent, spray pyrolysis, the solution combustion route, the biotemplate-assisted approach, electrospinning, thermal evaporation, the solution-phase approach, the polyol solution route or chemical deposition [41]. Here we explore the influence of RH on the preparation of ZnO with increased surface area. Thus, in order to establish the surface area and porous structure of the photocatalysts, the corresponding N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured.

In comparison with the bare RHA support (Figure S4), the pore structure changes considerably once ZnO growth covers silica support, Figure 4. The isotherms of ZnO@SiO$_2$ composites exhibited almost the same shape, being similar to BET classification type II. These porous materials are constituted mainly by mesopores in a wide range of sizes (Figure 4 insets). Table 1 summarizes the main surface properties of the ZnO@SiO$_2$ and ZnO samples. The pronounced hysteresis loop observed in the 0.45–0.95 P/P$_0$ range for the RHA sample (Figure S4) is almost closed. These pores are progressively blocked and covered by the ZnO crystals on the surface, thus explaining the significant decrease of N$_2$ adsorption on ZnO@SiO$_2$, as observed for other metal oxides catalysts supported on RHA[42]. The new porous structure formed by wurtzite covering the silica skeleton exhibits a surface area of around 40 - 53 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, being larger for samples obtained after 4 hours of calcination. In spite of the loss of surface area found from RHA to ZnO@SiO$_2$ samples, which was four times lower, the use of a silica skeleton as a support is of high interest. Thus, without the use of RHA the isotherms show a collapsed microstructure for samples Zn-2 and Zn-4, exhibiting negligible surface area values lower than 5 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Figure 4; Table I). From the results commented above, the beneficial effect of RHA as a template, which allows smaller and well dispersed ZnO particles, can be concluded.

The optical properties of the six samples were studied by a UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (Figure S7). The acquired diffuse reflectance spectra were converted to the Kubelka–Munk function, $[F(R_s)hv]^{-1}$. The band gap energies of the samples are estimated from the tangent lines in the plots of the Kubelka–Munk function vs. the energy of exciting light, as shown in Figure 5. As expected, as SiO$_2$ is as a good insulator material (8 eV; band gap energy) [43], significant changes were not
observed for the composites. The estimated band-gap values were in line with those reported for pure ZnO[28, 44, 45]. Depending on the morphology and particle size of the samples (Table 1), they ranged between 3.1 and 3.2 eV. As previously reported [38] a slight decrease in band-gap values was observed with the crystallite size increase for ZnO@SiO₂ samples.

3.2 De-NOₓ photocatalytic tests

The photochemical ability of ZnO@SiO₂ samples to abate the atmospheric concentration of nitrogen oxides gases was studied. The photochemical De-NOₓ process proved to be efficient when NOₓ gases were removed from the air through their complete oxidation to nitrite/nitrate (NO₂⁻/NO₃⁻) species. This is not a simple process as it involves several intermediate species[3, 46]. Briefly, it can be summarised as follow:

\[
\text{SC} + h\nu \Rightarrow e^- + h^+ \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} + h^+ \Rightarrow H^+ + \text{OH}^- \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{NO} + 2 \text{OH}^- \Rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{NO}_2 + \text{OH}^- \Rightarrow \text{NO}_3^- + H^+ \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{NO} + \text{NO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \Rightarrow 2 \text{HNO}_2 \quad (5)
\]

\[
\text{O}_2 + e^- \Rightarrow \cdot\text{O}_2^- \quad (6)
\]

\[
\text{NO}_x + \cdot\text{O}_2^- \Rightarrow \text{NO}_3^- \quad (7)
\]

Therefore, when sunlight shines on ZnO nanoparticles (semiconductor, SC) the electron in the valence band (VB) acquires the necessary energy to migrate to the conduction band (CB), (reaction 1). The pairs of mobile charges (e⁻ and h⁺) that reach the surface of the semiconductor particles react with the adsorbed water and oxygen molecules (2,6). Thus, the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) – as previously reported for ZnO systems [25, 26] – and the
progressive oxidation of nitrogen oxide gases is initiated (3,4,5,7) [24-26, 28]. During this process, the presence of the intermediate NO$_2$ gas must be reduced as much as possible (3) because NO$_2$ is far more dangerous than NO [47]. Figure 6 shows the evolution of the nitrogen oxide concentration profiles recorded for the ZnO@SiO$_2$ samples as a function of light irradiation time. In the absence of light irradiation, in the first ten minutes of the test, the concentration of gases stayed constant confirming that neither NO gas adsorption nor any reaction on the ZnO particle surface occurred.

The photochemical oxidation (PCO) process began on the ZnO active sites once the lamp was switched on, as inferred from the sudden decrease observed for NO concentration. This PCO process was kept constant during the 30 min of light irradiation and stopped when the light was turned off, then the NO concentration returned to its initial value. Following this experiment, the decrease in NO concentration values measured during the light irradiation period was related to the amount of removed NO. For comparison purposes, the commercial Aeroxide® TiO$_2$ P25 (Evonik) was also studied, a material broadly used worldwide as a reference in photocatalysis. In fact, this material is quite similar to the studied samples in reference to band-gap energy, crystal size and surface area (3.18 eV; 23-44 nm; 48.6 m$^2$g$^{-1}$) [48].

As expected, the NO conversion value was related to the surface area exhibited by the samples, around 75 % for ZnO@SiO$_2$ samples and TiO$_2$ P25, and decreasing around 50% for pure ZnO samples, Figure 6a and Figure 7. As previously mentioned, it is worth remarking on the subsequent appearance of the highly toxic NO$_2$ gas whose amount differs between samples. As is known for other modified titania photocatalysts, and different benchmark TiO$_2$ samples, the emission of NO$_2$ increases continuously under light irradiation in the case of the TiO$_2$-P25 sample, Figure 6b [48]. Thus, the highest slope observed for TiO$_2$-P25 would indicate that the theoretical full oxidation of NO towards nitrites/nitrates lowers with the irradiation time, thus explaining the noticeably increased amount of NO$_2$ molecules released during the experiment. This emission was somewhat inhibited in pure ZnO samples. However, it is remarkable that in ZnO@SiO$_2$
samples the amount of NO$_2$ concentration measured is negligible. By considering a sustainable process, the photocatalytic De-NO$_X$ process must address not only the highest NO conversion values, but also the aim of achieving the highest De-NO$_X$ selectivity. The selectivity system parameter, $S$, expresses the ratio of degraded NO fully converted into harmless nitrite/nitrate rather than into toxic nitrogen dioxide, being determined according to the equation:

$$S(\%) = \frac{ ([NOX]_{in} - [NOX]_{out})}{([NO]_{in} - [NO]_{out})} \times 100$$

(8)

Therefore, the highest S values mean the highest amount of nitrogen oxides removed from air. For a better comprehension of the results obtained, Figure 7 shows the conversion/emission nitrogen oxide values together with the photocatalytic NO$_X$ abatement selectivity ($S$), in the case of ZnO@SiO$_2$ and should be compared with those obtained for common photocatalysts: ZnO and TiO$_2$-P25 samples. The outstanding results with S values above 90 % measured for ZnO@SiO$_2$ samples, allowed a high amount of the whole NO$_X$ gases, around 70 %, to be removed. To our knowledge, these high selectivity values are scarcely reported and only for some TiO$_2$ advanced photocatalysts[11, 13, 49-52]. In fact, due to its poor selectivity, the NO$_X$ removal observed for TiO$_2$ P25 is limited to 35 %. In agreement with our findings, low NO$_2$ release was reported for a ZnO thin film De-NO$_X$ photocatalyst[23] associated with the sensitivity of this oxide to NO$_2$ gas[53]. On the other hand, S values of ZnO@SiO$_2$ samples are higher than those of ZnO (samples Zn-2 and Zn-4). The influence of the SiO$_2$ support on the ZnO crystalline growth allows microstructures with higher surface area and pore volume to be obtained, making the accessibility of reactant molecules to the active sites easier and therefore, facilitating the oxidation of NO and NO$_2$ molecules. In this sense, the best performance for the NO → HNO$_2$ → NO$_2$ → NO$_3^-$ oxidation process (reactions 3 to 7) was easily achieved by the ZnO@SiO$_2$ photocatalysts. Additionally, the nitrogen oxide gases abatement performance of the last advanced De-NOx photocatalysts is compared in Table S3. From this table it is usually observed that the photocatalysts with higher NO efficiency values exhibited low De-NOx selectivity and the vice-versa. The NOx abatement performance of our ZnO@SiO$_2$ sample is among the best reported in the last few years for
De-NOx photocatalysts as shown in Table S3, showing very high NO/NOx and S values, in similarity to BiOCl [54].

In order to confirm the mechanism proposed, which implies the selective formation and deposition of nitrite/nitrate onto the surface of the ZnO@SiO$_2$ sample, additional evidences were obtained. FTIR measurement was used to qualitatively detect nitrogen oxide species on the surface before and after photocatalytic reactions, Figure 8a. No bands concerning N–O vibration modes were observed for the pristine sample. However, new absorption bands at 1258, 1327, 1375, 1518 and 1702 cm$^{-1}$ appeared once the sample was subjected to the photocatalytic reaction, confirming the generation of nitrite/nitrate species during the PCO process. The bands located at 1518 and 1370 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to stretching vibrations of nitrate species[55-57], whereas that at 1327 cm$^{-1}$ is ascribed to nitrite ones[57, 58]. The assignation of the band located at 1258 cm$^{-1}$ could be ambiguously done for both nitrate[57, 59] and nitrite[55, 60] species. Finally, the band at 1710 cm$^{-1}$ can be tentatively assigned to the adsorbed NO$_2$ [59]. A more detailed assignment of IR bands attributed to N–O stretching vibrations of surface nitrate (NO$_3^-$) and nitrite (NO$_2^-$) species is a difficult task, since $\nu$(N–O) of different NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ species fall in the same 1700–1000 cm$^{-1}$ interval and their IR bands are strongly overlapped [55].

On the other hand, to shed light on differences found in De-NO$_x$ selectivity values, new evidence was obtained from preliminary NO$_2$ adsorption studies carried out on ZnO@SiO$_2$, ZnO and TiO$_2$-P25 samples. Thus, a mixture of air/NO$_2$ was sent to the reactor with a NO$_2$ concentration around 150 ppb, obtained by mixing synthetic air and pure NO$_2$ (flow rate of 0.30 L min$^{-1}$; R.H. at 50 ± 5). In the absence of light irradiation, NO$_2$ gas was passed over the samples and substantial differences were observed in the evolution of the concentration profile with time, Figure 8b. The NO$_2$ concentration profile for TiO$_2$-P25 is similar to that of a blank test (without the presence of a catalyst). In both cases, the NO$_2$ concentration remained constant at the initial inlet value during the time of the experiment, and therefore, there was no interaction between the gas and the catalyst or chamber surface. However, in the case of zinc oxide samples, lower concentration values for NO$_2$ are measured during the first 30 minutes indicating that gas molecules are probably being adsorbed on their surface. This adsorption is
higher for the dZn@RHA-4 sample because of its larger surface area compared to that of Zn-4. As aforementioned, the high De-\(\text{NO}_x\) selectivity found for ZnO@SiO\(_2\) samples would be explained based on their ability to adsorb the recently formed NO\(_2\) gas molecule (reaction 3), facilitating its oxidation to nitrate before being released into the atmosphere. In the other hand, even though ZnO has the same band-gap energy as TiO\(_2\)-anatase (3.2 eV), its conduction band (CB) is situated at a slightly higher energy level, which implies an increase in the reduction ability of the CB electrons to form superoxide radicals \(\bullet \text{O}_2^-\) [61, 62]. This would also favour the oxidation of the NO\(_2\) adsorbed molecules. Therefore, it can be summarized that the higher sensitivity of ZnO@SiO\(_2\) samples to NO\(_2\) gas greatly enhances the completion of NO \(\rightarrow\) HNO\(_2\) \(\rightarrow\) NO\(_2\) \(\rightarrow\) NO\(_3^-\) photochemical oxidation process. Thus, the amount of NO\(_2\) toxic molecules which rose into the atmosphere during the photocatalytic reaction is negligible, making the use of De-NO\(_x\) photocatalysts sustainable.

Finally, the potential practical application of these photocatalysts was qualitatively characterized by the evaluation of their reusability on large experiments. Figure 9 shows the diurnal mean values of NO concentration measured at an urban roadside in a highly populated city[6]. Because of the road traffic the NO concentration increases during the daytime, rapidly after sunrise and reaching its maximum level (\(\approx\) 180 ppbs) between 6.00 and 12.00 in the morning. It would seem of interest that De-\(\text{NO}_x\) photocatalysts could serve to abate this main NO\(_x\) peak concentration level. In this sense, the dZn@RHA-4 sample was subjected to four consecutive NO photocatalytic removal experiments run in periods of 6 hours under similar NO concentrations. The data collected (Figure 9) suggest that most of the pollution peak is abated, around 110 ppbs of NO being removed by the photocatalytic action (from a NO inlet concentration of 150 ppb). The photocatalytic efficiency decreased slightly in the next three cycles, probably due to the nitrite/nitrate deposition masking the photoactive sites, thus hindering the photochemical performance, this loss of efficiency being similar to that obtained during a 24 hour light irradiation test (Figure S8). In fact, after the repeated photocatalytic test cycles, the mechanism proposed is again validated by the presence of nitrite/nitrate species in the corresponding FTIR spectra (Figure S9). Thus, after the fourth run, the sample was washed with water (filtered, collected
and dried) in order to eliminate nitrite/nitrate species (as confirmed by FTIR, Figure S9). Even though there was a small amount of decay compared to the 4th run (probably because the washing/drying procedure must be optimized in order to avoid particle aggregations), the efficiency exhibited in the next two runs (5th and 6th) was similar, indicating good reusability. Moreover, the selectivity (data not shown) was maintained around 85%. This qualitative study shows that ZnO@SiO₂ photocatalysts would be highly useful in the abatement of the NO urban pollution. The photocatalysts were prepared by cost-effective and easily scalable methodologies. As the De-NOx performance was similar enough for the four samples studied, avoiding the use of liquid solutions and decreasing the amount of energy used during the calcination process it is the preferred method for a potential industrialization of this synthetic procedure. Thus, the production of a mZn@RHA-2 sample would be preferred in which 20 g are easily obtained in only 3 hours of preparation at lab scale, indicating its favourable scalability.

4. Conclusions

A cost-effective and easily scalable methodology was reported in the preparation of a ZnO photocatalyst. By using simple procedures, liquid to solid deposition or mechanical milling, homogenous zinc acetate/rice husk mixtures were obtained by calcination at 600 °C thus obtaining ZnO@SiO₂ samples. The ZnO particles, aggregated in spheres of different sizes, grow dispersed on the silica skeleton which also acts as a template. In comparison to pure zinc oxide obtained under the same experimental conditions, the use of a silica support enables a lower particle size, 70 – 180 nm, and the highest surface area, 40 – 53 m²·g⁻¹ to be obtained. Concerning the light absorption ability, 3.1 – 3.2 eV band gap was estimated for ZnO@SiO₂ samples.

When they are activated by sunlight, the ZnO@SiO₂ samples act as catalysts in the NO photochemical oxidation. The PCO process occurs through the NO → HNO₂ → NO₂ → NO₃ oxidation steps, as confirmed by the presence of nitrite/nitrate species on the catalyst’s surface after the light induced reaction. High NO conversion values up to 75% are reached because of the high surface area exhibited by ZnO nanoparticles when grown on the silica skeleton, being limited to 50% for
unsupported zinc oxide. It is worth mentioning the excellent De-NOX selectivity values measured with ZnO@SiO₂ photocatalysts, above 90 %, which allows removing approximately 70 % of the NOₓ gases. In comparison, due to its poor selectivity, the NOₓ removal observed for the benchmark product TiO₂ P25 was limited to 35 %. Thus, by using ZnO@SiO₂ samples the amount of NO₂ gases, which rose into the atmosphere during the photocatalytic reaction, is negligible. This minimal appearance of the NO₂ toxic molecules makes the use of De-NOX photocatalysts sustainable. The low emission of NO₂ molecules is associated with the sensitivity of zinc oxide towards this gas. In fact, the preliminary adsorption essays indicated that NO₂ gas is removed in the dark, in the presence of the ZnO@SiO₂ sample. Moreover, this highly selective and sustainable photocatalyst exhibits high efficiency and recovery when operated under experimental conditions similar to NOₓ urban pollution periods (150 ppb NO; 6 hours), thus being proposed as a potential photocatalyst for air remediation. Because of their outstanding results of selectivity, further study will be addressed in the near future to ensure that the photocatalytic process works even more effectively. In this sense, metal doping would be an interesting strategy (preventing the recombination of the charge carriers), as we know that doped ZnO surpasses the photocatalytic efficiency of an un-doped one [63].
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Figure Captions

Figure 1: XRD patterns for dZn@RHA, mZn@RHA and Zn samples.

Figure 2: SEM images for dZn@RHA-2 (a, c) and dZn@RHA-4 (b, d) samples.

Figure 3: SEM images for mZn@RHA-2 (a, c), mZn@RHA-4 (b, d), Zn-2 (e) and Zn-4 (f) samples.

Figure 4: N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherm and pore size distribution for dZn@RHA, Zn@RHA and Zn samples.

Figure 5: Kubelka-Munk transformed reflectance spectra for Zn@RHA (a) and Zn (b) samples.

Figure 6: Nitrogen oxides concentration profiles obtained during the photo-degradation of gaseous NO under light irradiation on dZn@RHA, mZn@RHA and Zn samples.

Figure 7: NO conversion (% blue), NO$_2$ released (% orange), NO$_x$ conversion (% grey) and Selectivity values (% yellow) for dZn-RHA, mZn-RHA, Zn and TiO$_2$-P25 samples.

Figure 8: (a) IR spectra obtained for dZn@RHA-4 sample before and after light irradiation. (b) NO$_2$ concentration profiles obtained in dark condition for Zn-4, dZn@RHA-4 and TiO$_2$ samples. Red line marks the NO$_2$ inlet concentration.

Figure 9: Diurnal distribution pattern of NO gas at urban roadside (grey shading) and NO concentration profile obtained for dZn@RHA-4 sample (o) at different runs during 6 hours of light irradiation (NO inlet concentration: 150 ppb). The sample was washed and dried after the 4th run.
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Abstract

A cost-effective and sustainable De-NOx photocatalyst is prepared using zinc acetate and rice husk. ZnO@SiO$_2$ samples are obtained from the calcination of a homogenised precursor mixture at 600 °C. ZnO nanoparticles (70 – 180 nm) grow aggregated in spheres and well dispersed (40 – 53 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ surface area) covering the silicon skeleton. The corresponding band gap for ZnO@SiO$_2$ photocatalysts was estimated at 3.1 – 3.2 eV. When the samples are irradiated by sunlight in a nitrogen oxide atmosphere the NO → HNO$_2$ → NO$_2$ → NO$_3^-$ photochemical oxidation takes place. In comparison to unsupported ZnO and TiO$_2$-P25, ZnO@SiO$_2$ samples exhibit high NO$_x$ removal values (70 %) and outstanding selectivity (> 90%), the latter related to the sensitivity of zinc oxide towards NO$_2$ gas. This new photocatalyst is easily recyclable and reusable.

Keywords

Zinc oxide, Photocatalyst, Nitrogen oxides
1. Introduction

Semiconductor (SC) photocatalysts have been widely studied as suitable materials for environmental remediation. A multitude of compounds, based mainly on TiO$_2$, ZnO, CdS, Fe$_2$O$_3$ and WO$_3$, were reported for the photocatalytic degradation of a variety of water, air and solid pollutants\[1, 2\]. In the recent years, an increasing interest has arisen in the study of the photochemical oxidation (PCO) of nitrogen oxide gases as a sustainable tool to combat urban air pollution\[3\].

Because of their toxicity, the presence of nitrogen oxides, commonly referred as NO$_x$ – nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$) –, in the urban environment is a high risk for human health\[4\]. Thus, environmental agencies in the USA and European Community limit the hourly NO$_x$ air concentration to 0.1 ppm and 0.2 ppm, respectively. However, these limits are difficult to be attained because the NO$_x$ emissions rates from older vehicles worsen as time goes on\[5\]. In fact, nowadays higher NO$_x$ levels are measured in very populated cities all over the world and thousands of early deaths worldwide are associated with these NO$_x$ emissions\[6, 7\]. Today’s research works have demonstrated the potential applicability of semiconductors in directly removing large amounts of NO$_x$ gases (De-NO$_x$ action) from the urban centres in our cities. In fact, at present, depolluting building materials, using TiO$_2$ as a photocatalytic additive, are integrated in some advanced buildings in an attempt to achieve effective NO$_x$ de-pollution in urban areas\[8\]. In this sense, many researchers pay attention to the preparation of modified titania with enhanced De-NO$_x$ photocatalytic performance\[9-13\].

However, it’s necessary to point out that TiO$_2$ has just been proposed to be classified as possibly causing cancer when inhaled\[14\]. This could limit some of its applications and, therefore, a rapid advance in the study and development of new De-NO$_x$ photocatalysts is desired. g-C$_3$N$_4$, N-(BiO)$_2$CO$_3$, BiOBr, Bi/ZnWO$_4$, or Ni-Bi$_2$O$_3$ among others, were reported as alternative materials towards the photochemical NO abatement\[15-20\]. In this work we study the De-NO$_x$ ability of ZnO, which is not considered to be a threat to consumers health\[21\]. Even though ZnO is a preferred photocatalyst because of its high photosensitivity, mechanical-thermal stability and tuneable morphology, only a few studies have been recently reported for this specific environmental application. Some of them are
devoted to enhancing titanium dioxide De-NO\textsubscript{x} efficiency through the preparation of TiO\textsubscript{2}/ZnO composites\cite{22, 23}. In the case of a pure ZnO photocatalyst, whose preparation proceeded by using amine derivatives or ionic liquids, the NO conversion photo-efficiency was equal to or lower than 50 \%\cite{24-28}. On the other hand, the preparation of ZnO spheres by a carbon template via the one-step process is highly interesting, the resulting compound showing the highest photocatalytic activity\cite{28}. Herein we propose a very simple procedure that allows us to obtain a ZnO efficient photocatalyst by using rice husk ash (RHA) as a support, a strategy successfully employed in the preparation of metals and metal oxides (photo)catalysts\cite{29-32}. Rice husk (RH) is an agricultural waste product, the ash of which contains about 92–95\% silica (SiO\textsubscript{2})\cite{29}, and when used to synthesize silica nanoparticles, offers additional advantages, including greener raw materials, lower costs, and higher sustainability\cite{30}. The physicochemical characterization and photocatalytic activity of different ZnO@SiO\textsubscript{2} samples are presented. Remarkably, as the main novelty, De-NO\textsubscript{x} ZnO based photocatalysts are prepared using a cost-effective and large-scale synthetic procedure and exhibiting the highest NO photo-chemical conversion efficiency and selectivity values reported. Due to the high selectivity values found, the use of photocatalysis proves to be sustainable as a NO abatement process.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Preparation of ZnO supported photocatalyst

The complete characterization of RHA support is provided in Supplementary Information (SI; Figures S1 – S4 and Table S1). With the aim of obtaining a cost-effective and large-scale synthesis procedure in mind, the methodology used for the preparation of a photocatalyst was as simple as possible. Zinc acetate is a very cheap salt that is successfully used in the synthesis of ZnO-based materials\cite{33}. Zn(OAc)\textsubscript{2}·2H\textsubscript{2}O (99.0 \%, Sigma-Aldrich) and RH were used as precursor components, in the 0.65 weight ratio. This ratio was selected following that previously reported as optimum in the preparation of a catalyst on RHA as a support\cite{34}, focussing the attention of this preliminary work in the
preparative methodology. Two methods were chosen in order to enhance the contact between the precursor components:

i) Liquid to solid deposition. 30.73 g of Zn(OAc)$_2$·2H$_2$O was dissolved in 150 mL of milliQ water. Subsequently, 20 g of RH was added to the vessel. After 30 min of magnetic stirring, the paste was dried at 80 °C for 4 days. Later, the product was calcined at 600 °C for 2 and 4 hours. The photocatalyst samples obtained were denominated as dZn@RHA-2 and dZn@RHA-4, respectively.

ii) Mechanical milling. A mixture of 30.73 g of Zn(OAc)$_2$·2H$_2$O and 20 g of RH was milled using a planetary mill (45 min, 300 rpm). The collected product was calcined at 600 °C for 2 and 4 hours. The photocatalyst samples obtained were denominated as mZn@RHA-2 and mZn@RHA-4, respectively.

2.2 Sample characterization

Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence analysis (XRF) was done in a ZSX Primus IV (Rigaku) equipment. The carbon content elemental analysis was determined by using an Eurovector EA 3000 elemental instrument. Crystalline phases were identified by using X-ray diffraction (XRD). The XRD patterns were recorded in a Bruker D8 Discover A25 diffractometer. The crystal size of the samples was determined by means of the Scherrer equation. This equation was calculated with DIFFRACT.EVA V3.1 software. The morphology of the samples was examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). SEM images were obtained with a Jeol JMS-6400 microscope. Particle size distribution was estimated using a Visiolog 6.1 software. Nitrogen absorption isotherms were obtained at 77.4 K on an ASAP 2020 instrument from Micromeritics, from which the specific surface areas were calculated using the multipoint Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) and Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) methods. Diffuse reflectance (DR) spectra were recorded using a Varian Cary 1E spectrophotometer. Thermogravimetry (TGA) was carried out with a Mettler Toledo in air atmosphere (flow: 100 mL min$^{-1}$) from room temperature to 600 °C (heating rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$).
2.3 Photocatalytic activity tests

The photocatalytic activity of the materials towards the oxidation of NO was studied using a protocol similar to the standardised test method developed for the characterisation of air-purification performance, ISO 22197-1 [35]. However, some of the specifications described in this standard were modified in order to obtain better performance with the samples of this study. Thus, the tests were carried out on a 50 mm × 50 mm sample holder placed in a laminar flow reactor [35]. Artificial sunlight irradiation (Solarbox 3000e RH light irradiation box) was obtained with an Xe lamp with controlled irradiance (25 and 580 Wm⁻² for UV and visible, respectively). For each De-NOₓ test, 1.0 g of the sample supported on the sampler holder inside the reactor was used. As a pollutant, a mixture of air/NO was sent to the photoreactor with a NO concentration of 150 ppb (obtained by mixing synthetic air and pure NO). This concentration was chosen because it is representative of NO concentrations found during intense photochemical pollution periods in urban environments [6], having already been successfully adopted in previous research works [15, 36, 37]. The gas mixture was supplied by using a flow rate of 0.30 L min⁻¹ and fixing the relative humidity at 50 ± 5%. The measurement of the concentration of NO, NOₓ and NO₂ gases was carried out using a chemiluminescence analyser (model Environnement AC32M). Each test was repeated three times to obtain average concentration values. The calculated standard deviations were ± 0.3 ppb for NO concentration and ± 1.0 ppb for NO₂ and NOₓ concentrations. The photocatalytic efficiency was evaluated following the NO conversion (%), NO₂ released (%) and NOₓ conversion (%) parameters (defined in SI).
Results and discussion

3.1 Photocatalyst characterisation

ZnO@SiO$_2$ supported photocatalysts were obtained after the calcination of the Zn(OAc)$_2$/RH precursor mixtures. The chemical composition of samples is provided in Table S2. The XRD patterns obtained for the four samples are shown in Figure 1. Only peaks ascribable to hkl reflections of hexagonal structure of ZnO (wurtzite) were detected. The diffraction peaks at 31.5°, 34.2°, 36.1°, 47.3°, 56.4°, 62.7°, 66.1°, 67.7° and 68.9° two theta degrees can be attributed to (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103), (200), (112) and (201) crystal planes, respectively[38]. Apart from its amorphous character, the negligible presence of the silica halo expected at 22° (Fig S5)[39] would be indicative of good zinc oxide covering on the silica support. For comparison purposes, ZnO without the use of RH was also prepared using the same calcination procedure. The ZnO samples thus obtained after 2 and 4 hours of calcination were labelled as Zn-2 and Zn-4, respectively. Their corresponding XRD patterns also show the formation of pure zinc oxide. In the case of silica supported samples, the intensity of diffraction peaks is significantly lower than that observed for Zn-2 and Zn-4 samples. Thus, the smallest crystallite size values were estimated for the ZnO@SiO$_2$ samples, Table 1.

The SEM images for dZn@RHA samples, Figure 2, show ZnO particles growing dispersed on the cob-shaped skeleton, being aggregated in spheres of different sizes. The milling process breaks this skeleton but similar particle aggregation is observed for mZn@RHA samples, Figure 3 (a-d). In both cases, the wurtzite particle size is around 70-180 nm (Figure S6a). For the whole set of samples, the silica support (Supplementary Information) appears completely covered by ZnO particles, as expected from XRD patterns. The extended heating period of up to 4 hours had no significant influence on the morphology of the ZnO crystals. The silica skeleton acts as a support but also as a template influencing the growth of the wurtzite crystals. Thus, without the use of RH, the ZnO is constituted by independent larger amorphous nanoparticles of 140-280 nm, Figure 3 (e,f) and Figure S6b.
The differences observed in ZnO crystallite size and morphology, with and without the use of RH, clearly reveal the influence of rice husk on the ZnO growth. This could be explained by assuming the following crystal growth mechanism. Since the RH decomposes at a lower temperature than the zinc acetate precursor (Figure S1), ZnO crystallizes once the amorphous silica is formed. By considering the appearance of the Zener pinning process[40], the growth of the first ZnO crystals must be restricted by the presence of silica as an inert phase, which is believed to result in tiny discrete particles located in the interface regions between the emergent ZnO nanocrystals. These reduce the radius of the crystallite surfaces, thus increasing the energy required for growth. This would explain the limited size of ZnO crystals and nanoparticles in Zn@RHA samples (Figure S6; Table 1).

**Table 1.** The surface analysis parameters and crystallite size for zinc oxide samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>BET surface area (m²·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>BJH* pore volume (cm³·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>BJH* mesoporous volume (cm³·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>BJH* average pore diameter (nm)</th>
<th>BJH* surface area (m²·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>BJH* mesoporous surface area (m²·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Crystallite size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dZn@RHA-2</td>
<td>49,3</td>
<td>0,11</td>
<td>0,08</td>
<td>8,99</td>
<td>48,5</td>
<td>42,3</td>
<td>23,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dZn@RHA-4</td>
<td>53,5</td>
<td>0,13</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>9,00</td>
<td>56,2</td>
<td>49,5</td>
<td>25,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mZn@RHA-2</td>
<td>40,3</td>
<td>0,11</td>
<td>0,08</td>
<td>9,00</td>
<td>47,1</td>
<td>41,5</td>
<td>32,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mZn@RHA-4</td>
<td>50,7</td>
<td>0,10</td>
<td>0,07</td>
<td>8,66</td>
<td>45,1</td>
<td>38,8</td>
<td>36,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-2</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>17,15</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>62,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn-4</td>
<td>2,8</td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>21,02</td>
<td>1,8</td>
<td>1,4</td>
<td>72,1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined from the N₂ desorption isotherm

Because of the derived dependence on the photocatalytic activity, the preparation of ZnO with a large surface area has been studied extensively [41]. The ZnO exhibits very complex and diversified morphologies, and the manipulation of a desirable structure for the rational tailoring of surface to volume ratios was largely reported. Thus, as way of example, large surface area ZnO photocatalysts were prepared such as spherical shape nanocrystals, flower-like, mesoporous, nanostar, nanofibers, tetrapods, nanorod arrays, porous nanopyramids, porous nanosheets, hollow spheres, nanotube arrays...
and porous films morphologies. Various preparative methods were employed such as capping agent, spray pyrolysis, the solution combustion route, the biotemplate-assisted approach, electrospinning, thermal evaporation, the solution-phase approach, the polyol solution route or chemical deposition [41]. Here we explore the influence of RH on the preparation of ZnO with increased surface area. Thus, in order to establish the surface area and porous structure of the photocatalysts, the corresponding N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured.

In comparison with the bare RHA support (Figure S4), the pore structure changes considerably once ZnO growth covers silica support, Figure 4. The isotherms of ZnO@SiO$_2$ composites exhibited almost the same shape, being similar to BET classification type II. These porous materials are constituted mainly by mesopores in a wide range of sizes (Figure 4 insets). Table 1 summarizes the main surface properties of the ZnO@SiO$_2$ and ZnO samples. The pronounced hysteresis loop observed in the 0.45–0.95 P/P$_0$ range for the RHA sample (Figure S4) is almost closed. These pores are progressively blocked and covered by the ZnO crystals on the surface, thus explaining the significant decrease of N$_2$ adsorption on ZnO@SiO$_2$, as observed for other metal oxides catalysts supported on RHA[42]. The new porous structure formed by wurtzite covering the silica skeleton exhibits a surface area of around 40 - 53 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, being larger for samples obtained after 4 hours of calcination. In spite of the loss of surface area found from RHA to ZnO@SiO$_2$ samples, which was four times lower, the use of a silica skeleton as a support is of high interest. Thus, without the use of RHA the isotherms show a collapsed microstructure for samples Zn-2 and Zn-4, exhibiting negligible surface area values lower than 5 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Figure 4; Table I). From the results commented above, the beneficial effect of RHA as a template, which allows smaller and well dispersed ZnO particles, can be concluded.

The optical properties of the six samples were studied by a UV-visible diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (Figure S7). The acquired diffuse reflectance spectra were converted to the Kubelka–Munk function, [F(R$_c$)hv]$^2$. The band gap energies of the samples are estimated from the tangent lines in the plots of the Kubelka–Munk function vs. the energy of exciting light, as shown in Figure 5. As expected, as SiO$_2$ is as a good insulator material (8 eV; band gap energy) [43], significant changes were not observed
for the composites. The estimated band-gap values were in line with those reported for pure ZnO [28, 44, 45]. Depending on the morphology and particle size of the samples (Table 1), they ranged between 3.1 and 3.2 eV. As previously reported [38] a slight decrease in band-gap values was observed with the crystallite size increase for ZnO@SiO₂ samples.

3.2 De-NOₓ photocatalytic tests

The photochemical ability of ZnO@SiO₂ samples to abate the atmospheric concentration of nitrogen oxides gases was studied. The photochemical De-NOₓ process proved to be efficient when NOₓ gases were removed from the air through their complete oxidation to nitrite/nitrate (NO₂⁻/NO₃⁻) species. This is not a simple process as it involves several intermediate species [3, 46]. Briefly, it can be summarised as follow:

\[ SC + h\nu \Rightarrow e^- + h^+ \] (1)

\[ H₂O + h^+ \Rightarrow H^+ + OH^- \] (2)

\[ NO + 2 OH^- \Rightarrow NO₂ + H₂O \] (3)

\[ NO₂ + OH^- \Rightarrow NO₃^- + H^+ \] (4)

\[ NO + NO₂ + H₂O \Rightarrow 2 HNO₂ \] (5)

\[ O₂ + e^- \Rightarrow O₂^- \] (6)

\[ NOₓ + •O₂^- \Rightarrow NO₃^- \] (7)

Therefore, when sunlight shines on ZnO nanoparticles (semiconductor, SC) the electron in the valence band (VB) acquires the necessary energy to migrate to the conduction band (CB), (reaction 1). The pairs of mobile charges (e⁻ and h⁺) that reach the surface of the semiconductor particles react with the adsorbed water and oxygen molecules (2,6). Thus, the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) – as previously reported for ZnO systems [25, 26] – and the
progressive oxidation of nitrogen oxide gases is initiated (3,4,5,7) [24-26, 28]. During this process, the presence of the intermediate NO₂ gas must be reduced as much as possible (3) because NO₂ is far more dangerous than NO [47]. Figure 6 shows the evolution of the nitrogen oxide concentration profiles recorded for the ZnO@SiO₂ samples as a function of light irradiation time.

In the absence of light irradiation, in the first ten minutes of the test, the concentration of gases stayed constant confirming that neither NO gas adsorption nor any reaction on the ZnO particle surface occurred.

The photochemical oxidation (PCO) process began on the ZnO active sites once the lamp was switched on, as inferred from the sudden decrease observed for NO concentration. This PCO process was kept constant during the 30 min of light irradiation and stopped when the light was turned off, then the NO concentration returned to its initial value. Following this experiment, the decrease in NO concentration values measured during the light irradiation period was related to the amount of removed NO. For comparison purposes, the commercial Aeroxide® TiO₂ P25 (Evonik) was also studied, a material broadly used worldwide as a reference in photocatalysis. In fact, this material is quite similar to the studied samples in reference to band-gap energy, crystal size and surface area (3.18 eV; 23-44 nm; 48.6 m² g⁻¹) [48].

As expected, the NO conversion value was related to the surface area exhibited by the samples, around 75 % for ZnO@SiO₂ samples and TiO₂ P25, and decreasing around 50% for pure ZnO samples, Figure 6a and Figure 7. As previously mentioned, it is worth remarking on the subsequent appearance of the highly toxic NO₂ gas whose amount differs between samples. As is known for other modified titania photocatalysts, and different benchmark TiO₂ samples, the emission of NO₂ increases continuously under light irradiation in the case of the TiO₂-P25 sample, Figure 6b [48]. Thus, the highest slope observed for TiO₂-P25 would indicate that the theoretical full oxidation of NO towards nitrites/nitrates lowers with the irradiation time, thus explaining the noticeably increased amount of NO₂ molecules released during the experiment. This emission was somewhat inhibited in pure ZnO samples. However, it is remarkable that in ZnO@SiO₂ samples the
amount of NO\textsubscript{2} concentration measured is negligible. By considering a sustainable process, the photocatalytic De-NO\textsubscript{x} process must address not only the highest NO conversion values, but also the aim of achieving the highest De-NO\textsubscript{x} selectivity. The selectivity system parameter, \( S \), expresses the ratio of degraded NO fully converted into harmless nitrite/nitrate rather than into toxic nitrogen dioxide, being determined according to the equation:

\[
S(\%) = \frac{([\text{NOx}]_{\text{in}} - [\text{NOx}]_{\text{out}})/[\text{NO}]_{\text{in}}}{([\text{NO}]_{\text{in}} - [\text{NO}]_{\text{out}})/[\text{NO}]_{\text{in}}} \times 100
\]

Therefore, the highest \( S \) values mean the highest amount of nitrogen oxides removed from air.

For a better comprehension of the results obtained, Figure 7 shows the conversion/emission nitrogen oxide values together with the photocatalytic NO\textsubscript{x} abatement selectivity (\( S \)), in the case of ZnO@SiO\textsubscript{2} and should be compared with those obtained for common photocatalysts: ZnO and TiO\textsubscript{2}-P25 samples. The outstanding results with \( S \) values above 90 \% measured for ZnO@SiO\textsubscript{2} samples, allowed a high amount of the whole NO\textsubscript{x} gases, around 70 \%, to be removed. To our knowledge, these high selectivity values are scarcely reported and only for some TiO\textsubscript{2} advanced photocatalysts\([11, 13, 49-52]\]. In fact, due to its poor selectivity, the NO\textsubscript{x} removal observed for TiO\textsubscript{2} P25 is limited to 35 \%. In agreement with our findings, low NO\textsubscript{2} release was reported for a ZnO thin film De-NO\textsubscript{x} photocatalyst\([23]\] associated with the sensitivity of this oxide to NO\textsubscript{2} gas\([53]\]. On the other hand, \( S \) values of ZnO@SiO\textsubscript{2} samples are higher than those of ZnO (samples Zn-2 and Zn-4). The influence of the SiO\textsubscript{2} support on the ZnO crystalline growth allows microstructures with higher surface area and pore volume to be obtained, making the accessibility of reactant molecules to the active sites easier and therefore, facilitating the oxidation of NO and NO\textsubscript{2} molecules. In this sense, the best performance for the NO \( \rightarrow \) HNO\textsubscript{2} \( \rightarrow \) NO\textsubscript{2} \( \rightarrow \) NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} oxidation process (reactions 3 to 7) was easily achieved by the ZnO@SiO\textsubscript{2} photocatalysts. Additionally, the nitrogen oxide gases abatement performance of the last advanced De-NO\textsubscript{x} photocatalysts is compared in Table S3. From this table it is usually observed that the photocatalysts with higher NO efficiency values exhibited low De-NO\textsubscript{x} selectivity and the vice-versa. The NO\textsubscript{x} abatement performance of our ZnO@SiO\textsubscript{2} sample is among the best reported in the last few years for
De-NOx photocatalysts as shown in Table S3, showing very high NO/NOx and S values, in similarity to BiOCl [54].

In order to confirm the mechanism proposed, which implies the selective formation and deposition of nitrite/nitrate onto the surface of the ZnO@SiO$_2$ sample, additional evidences were obtained. FTIR measurement was used to qualitatively detect nitrogen oxide species on the surface before and after photocatalytic reactions, Figure 8a. No bands concerning N–O vibration modes were observed for the pristine sample. However, new absorption bands at 1258, 1327, 1375, 1518 and 1702 cm$^{-1}$ appeared once the sample was subjected to the photocatalytic reaction, confirming the generation of nitrite/nitrate species during the PCO process. The bands located at 1518 and 1370 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to stretching vibrations of nitrate species[55-57], whereas that at 1327 cm$^{-1}$ is ascribed to nitrite ones[57, 58]. The assignation of the band located at 1258 cm$^{-1}$ could be ambiguously done for both nitrate[57, 59] and nitrite[55, 60] species. Finally, the band at 1710 cm$^{-1}$ can be tentatively assigned to the adsorbed NO$_2$ [59]. A more detailed assignment of IR bands attributed to N–O stretching vibrations of surface nitrate (NO$_3^-$) and nitrite (NO$_2^-$) species is a difficult task, since $\nu$(N–O) of different NO$_3^-$ and NO$_2^-$ species fall in the same 1700–1000 cm$^{-1}$ interval and their IR bands are strongly overlapped [55].

On the other hand, to shed light on differences found in De-NOx selectivity values, new evidence was obtained from preliminary NO$_2$ adsorption studies carried out on ZnO@SiO$_2$, ZnO and TiO$_2$-P25 samples. Thus, a mixture of air/NO$_2$ was sent to the reactor with a NO$_2$ concentration around 150 ppb, obtained by mixing synthetic air and pure NO$_2$ (flow rate of 0.30 L min$^{-1}$; R.H. at 50 ± 5). In the absence of light irradiation, NO$_2$ gas was passed over the samples and substantial differences were observed in the evolution of the concentration profile with time, Figure 8b. The NO$_2$ concentration profile for TiO$_2$-P25 is similar to that of a blank test (without the presence of a catalyst). In both cases, the NO$_2$ concentration remained constant at the initial inlet value during the time of the experiment, and therefore, there was no interaction between the gas and the catalyst or chamber surface. However, in the case of zinc oxide samples, lower concentration values for NO$_2$ are measured during the first 30 minutes indicating that gas molecules are probably being adsorbed on their surface. This adsorption is
higher for the dZn@RHA-4 sample because of its larger surface area compared to that of Zn-4. As aforementioned, the high De-NO\textsubscript{x} selectivity found for ZnO@SiO\textsubscript{2} samples would be explained based on their ability to adsorb the recently formed NO\textsubscript{2} gas molecule (reaction 3), facilitating its oxidation to nitrate before being released into the atmosphere. In the other hand, even though ZnO has the same band-gap energy as TiO\textsubscript{2}-anatase (3.2 eV), its conduction band (CB) is situated at a slightly higher energy level, which implies an increase in the reduction ability of the CB electrons to form superoxide radicals •O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-}[61, 62]. This would also favour the oxidation of the NO\textsubscript{2} adsorbed molecules. Therefore, it can be summarized that the higher sensitivity of ZnO@SiO\textsubscript{2} samples to NO\textsubscript{2} gas greatly enhances the completion of NO → HNO\textsubscript{2} → NO\textsubscript{2} → NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} photochemical oxidation process. Thus, the amount of NO\textsubscript{2} toxic molecules which rose into the atmosphere during the photocatalytic reaction is negligible, making the use of De-NO\textsubscript{x} photocatalysts sustainable.

Finally, the potential practical application of these photocatalysts was qualitatively characterized by the evaluation of their reusability on large experiments. Figure 9 shows the diurnal mean values of NO concentration measured at an urban roadside in a highly populated city[6]. Because of the road traffic the NO concentration increases during the daytime, rapidly after sunrise and reaching its maximum level (≈ 180 ppbs) between 6.00 and 12.00 in the morning. It would seem of interest that De-NO\textsubscript{x} photocatalysts could serve to abate this main NO\textsubscript{x} peak concentration level. In this sense, the dZn@RHA-4 sample was subjected to four consecutive NO photocatalytic removal experiments run in periods of 6 hours under similar NO concentrations. The data collected (Figure 9) suggest that most of the pollution peak is abated, around 110 ppbs of NO being removed by the photocatalytic action (from a NO inlet concentration of 150 ppb). The photocatalytic efficiency decreased slightly in the next three cycles, probably due to the nitrite/nitrate deposition masking the photoactive sites, thus hindering the photochemical performance, this loss of efficiency being similar to that obtained during a 24 hour light irradiation test (Figure S8). In fact, after the repeated photocatalytic test cycles, the mechanism proposed is again validated by the presence of nitrite/nitrate species in the corresponding FTIR spectra (Figure S9). Thus, after the fourth run, the sample was washed with water (filtered, collected and dried).
in order to eliminate nitrite/nitrate species (as confirmed by FTIR, Figure S9). Even though there was a small amount of decay compared to the 4th run (probably because the washing/drying procedure must be optimized in order to avoid particle aggregations), the efficiency exhibited in the next two runs (5th and 6th) was similar, indicating good reusability. Moreover, the selectivity (data not shown) was maintained around 85%. This qualitative study shows that ZnO@SiO₂ photocatalysts would be highly useful in the abatement of the NO urban pollution. The photocatalysts were prepared by cost-effective and easily scalable methodologies. As the De-NOx performance was similar enough for the four samples studied, avoiding the use of liquid solutions and decreasing the amount of energy used during the calcination process it is the preferred method for a potential industrialization of this synthetic procedure. Thus, the production of a mZn@RHA-2 sample would be preferred in which 20 g are easily obtained in only 3 hours of preparation at lab scale, indicating its favourable scalability.

4. Conclusions

A cost-effective and easily scalable methodology was reported in the preparation of a ZnO photocatalyst. By using simple procedures, liquid to solid deposition or mechanical milling, homogenous zinc acetate/rice husk mixtures were obtained by calcination at 600 °C thus obtaining ZnO@SiO₂ samples. The ZnO particles, aggregated in spheres of different sizes, grow dispersed on the silica skeleton which also acts as a template. In comparison to pure zinc oxide obtained under the same experimental conditions, the use of a silica support enables a lower particle size, 70 – 180 nm, and the highest surface area, 40 – 53 m²g⁻¹ to be obtained. Concerning the light absorption ability, 3.1 – 3.2 eV band gap was estimated for ZnO@SiO₂ samples.

When they are activated by sunlight, the ZnO@SiO₂ samples act as catalysts in the NO photochemical oxidation. The PCO process occurs through the NO → HNO₂ → NO₂ → NO₃ oxidation steps, as confirmed by the presence of nitrite/nitrate species on the catalyst’s surface after the light induced reaction. High NO conversion values up to 75 % are reached because of the high surface area exhibited by ZnO nanoparticles when grown on the silica skeleton, being limited to 50 % for
unsupported zinc oxide. It is worth mentioning the excellent De-NO\textsubscript{x} selectivity values measured with ZnO@SiO\textsubscript{2} photocatalysts, above 90\%, which allows removing approximately 70\% of the NO\textsubscript{x} gases. In comparison, due to its poor selectivity, the NO\textsubscript{x} removal observed for the benchmark product TiO\textsubscript{2} P25 was limited to 35\%. Thus, by using ZnO@SiO\textsubscript{2} samples the amount of NO\textsubscript{2} gases, which rose into the atmosphere during the photocatalytic reaction, is negligible. This minimal appearance of the NO\textsubscript{2} toxic molecules makes the use of De-NO\textsubscript{x} photocatalysts sustainable. The low emission of NO\textsubscript{2} molecules is associated with the sensitivity of zinc oxide towards this gas. In fact, the preliminary adsorption essays indicated that NO\textsubscript{2} gas is removed in the dark, in the presence of the ZnO@SiO\textsubscript{2} sample. Moreover, this highly selective and sustainable photocatalyst exhibits high efficiency and recovery when operated under experimental conditions similar to NO\textsubscript{x} urban pollution periods (150 ppb NO; 6 hours), thus being proposed as a potential photocatalyst for air remediation. Because of their outstanding results of selectivity, further study will be addressed in the near future to ensure that the photocatalytic process works even more effectively. In this sense, metal doping would be an interesting strategy (preventing the recombination of the charge carriers), as we know that doped ZnO surpasses the photocatalytic efficiency of an un-doped one [63].
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Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary Information (SI) accompanying this paper includes more information about: the characterization of rice husk ash (SEM, XRD, Chemical analysis, N\textsubscript{2} absorption isotherms); Experimental; Thermogravimetric analysis; Particle size distribution.
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**Figure Captions**

**Figure 1:** XRD patterns for dZn@RHA, mZn@RHA and Zn samples.

**Figure 2:** SEM images for dZn@RHA-2 (a, c) and dZn@RHA-4 (b, d) samples.

**Figure 3:** SEM images for mZn@RHA-2 (a, c), mZn@RHA-4 (b, d), Zn-2 (e) and Zn-4 (f) samples.

**Figure 4:** $N_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherm and pore size distribution for dZn@RHA, Zn@RHA and Zn samples.

**Figure 5:** Kubelka-Munk transformed reflectance spectra for Zn@RHA (a) and Zn (b) samples.

**Figure 6:** Nitrogen oxides concentration profiles obtained during the photo-degradation of gaseous NO under light irradiation on dZn@RHA, mZn@RHA and Zn samples.

**Figure 7:** NO conversion (% blue), NO$_2$ released (% orange), NO$_X$ conversion (% grey) and Selectivity values (% yellow) for dZn-RHA, mZn-RHA, Zn and TiO$_2$-P25 samples.

**Figure 8:** (a) IR spectra obtained for dZn@RHA-4 sample before and after light irradiation. (b) NO$_2$ concentration profiles obtained in dark condition for Zn-4, dZn@RHA-4 and TiO$_2$ samples. Red line marks the NO$_2$ inlet concentration.

**Figure 9:** Diurnal distribution pattern of NO gas at urban roadside (grey shading) and NO concentration profile obtained for dZn@RHA-4 sample (o) at different runs during 6 hours of light irradiation (NO inlet concentration: 150 ppb). The sample was washed and dried after the 4$^{th}$ run.
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Figure 5

(a) $\frac{(F(R, \phi_{R_h})^2}{eV^2}$ vs $h_{\nu}$ for $mZn@RHA-2$, $mZn@RHA-4$, $dZn@RHA-2$, and $dZn@RHA-4$.

(b) $\frac{(F(R, \phi_{R_h})^2}{eV^2}$ vs $h_{\nu}$ for $Zn-2$ and $Zn-4$.}
Figure 6

(a) NO concentration / ppb vs. Time / min under light irradiation. 
(b) NO\textsubscript{2} concentration / ppb vs. Time / min under light irradiation. 
(c) NO\textsubscript{x} concentration / ppb vs. Time / min under light irradiation.
Figure 8

(a) Spectral changes before and after light irradiation:
- Transmitance vs. Wavenumber / cm$^{-1}$
- Peaks at 1702, 1518, 1375, 1327, 1258 cm$^{-1}$

(b) NO$_2$ concentration over time:
- Blank, Zn-4, dZn@RHA-4, TiO$_2$
- 
- NO$_2$ adsorption
- Time / min: 0 to 40
Figure 9