Who tempted the woman? Variations of the Edenic episode in Jewish Apocalyptic literature

Popularly known by its considerations about the coming end and the final judgement, Jewish Apocalyptic literature is characterised by an original dualistic worldview in which the problem of evil acquires a preeminent position. Taking the biblical doctrine of reward and punishment as their starting point, the Apocalyptic authors go a step further, delaying the divine response to human acts until the afterlife. This way, their new eschatological perspective erases some of the potential contradictions of the traditional theodicy, like the popular figure of the suffering servant. Nevertheless, the emergence of reflections on the punishment of the wicked and the end of the evil lead to a fundamental question: Which is...
the origin of that evil? According to the first chapters of Genesis, all the elements of the world are created by the only God, the archetype of perfection and goodness. However, experience proves that evil is an undeniable fact of human life, an incongruency that needs to be solved. The following paper focuses on the Apocalyptic responses to this issue with a special interest in some of the reinterpretations of the Biblical story of Adam and Eve that introduced a satanic figure in the episode around the turn of the era.

The Apocalyptic interpretations of the origin of evil

In 1990, Paolo Sacchi stated that “un problema sembra percorrere l'apocalittica giudaica in tutta la sua lunga storia, questo è il problema del Male, visto non come trasgressione e conseguenza della trasgressione, ma come realtà preesistente all'uomo singolo.”

Effectively, the first main interpretation of the origin of evil in Jewish Apocalyptic literature places its introduction on Earth back to the dawn of the primeval history, to the antediluvian age. According to the Book of the Watchers – chapters 1 to 36 of the pseudepigraphical work 1 Enoch (1 En, also known as the Ethiopian Book of Enoch), third century a. C. – a group of angels called the Watchers came down to the earth in the antediluvian age following his leader, called Azazel or Semyaza depending on the passages.

Once on earth, they mingled with beautiful women and taught them different celestial secrets such as metallurgy, cosmetics, divination, or magic practices. As a result of their

---

2 A complete analysis of the origin of evil in Apocalyptic Judaism is offered in Esperanza Macarena García García, El origen del mal en la apocalíptica judía: evolución, influjos, protagonistas. Tesis doctoral (Madrid: Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 2018).

3 Paolo Sacchi, L’apocalittica giudaica e la sua storia (Brescia: Paideia, 1990), p. 168.

4 From the vast research available about the apocalyptic work of 1 Enoch and the influence of the antediluvian patriarch and his message beyond the book, the classic study James C. VanderKam, Enoch: A Man for All Generations (Columbia, SC: University of South Carolina Press, 1995); and more recently, John C. Reeves and Annette Yoshiko Reed, Enoch from Antiquity to the Middle Ages, Volume 1: Sources from Judaism, Christianity, and Islam (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018) should be highlighted.

5 1 En 6:3 identifies Semyaza as the angelic leader, as well as 1 En 6:7; 9:7; and 10:11.87. Nevertheless, the rest of the Book of the Watchers makes reference to another figure, Azazel, proving the composite character of the work.

6 Although it is not the topic of this paper, it is interesting to note that the myth of the Watchers has been generally linked with Greek mythology, mainly with the accounts of the Titanomachy and the Gigantomachy. Nonetheless, the most interesting parallelism may be found in the comparison between the revealing of the heavenly secrets by the Watchers and the story of the Titan Prometheus. In both occasions, the aetiological stories that explain the presence of evil in the world of men share three common elements: disobedience towards the supreme god (Zeus, or the Jewish God); the revealing of knowledge which should be banned from humanity (be it just the fire, be it also the related techniques in Prometheus Bound, similar to the knowledge revealed by the Watchers); and the inclusion of a feminine element (such as the women related to the Watchers, or the newly created Pandora). The fact that these three elements are also shared by the story of Adam and Eve leaves an open door for a more than likely influence by the Prometheus myth in the Apocalyptic interpretations of the origin of evil. See George W. E. Nickelsburg,
impure sexual union, the giants were born: mixed beings that caused and spread evil throughout the world.

In those days, when the children of man had multiplied, it happened that there were born unto them handsome and beautiful daughters. And the angels, the children of the heaven, saw them and desired them; and they said to one another, “Come, let us choose wives for ourselves from among the daughters of man and beget us children.” […] And they took wives unto themselves, and everyone (respectively) chose one woman for himself, and they began to go unto them. And they taught them magical medicine, incantations, the cutting of roots, and taught them (about) plants. And the women became pregnant and gave birth to great giants whose heights were three hundred cubits. These (giants) consumed the produce of all the people until the people detested feeding them. So the giants turned against (the people) in order to eat them. And they began to sin against birds, wild beasts, reptiles, and fish. And their flesh was devoured the one by the other, and they drank blood. And then the earth brought an accusation against the oppressors.  

Then, the archangels, having noticed the terrible situation on earth, denounced it to God, who decided to punish the rebellious angels. In addition, their offspring were destroyed, and the Flood was sent in order to purify God’s creation. Nevertheless, the spirits of the dead giants—sons of immortal beings—remain free on earth until the last judgement, tempting humanity and causing evil until our days. Therefore, in this aetiological myth, evil...
has a heavenly origin. It is explained as a result of the misbehaviour of some angelic figures, as a consequence of their impure acts.

The story of the fallen angels was not only echoed to a greater or lesser extent by the successive works of the Enochic Cycle, but it also had an enormous influence on other apocalyptic works, such as the Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs or the first Christian writings (TestReub 5:1-7; TestNaph 3:1-5; TestNaph 4:1; Jud 5-7; 1 Pet 3:18-22; 2 Pet 2:4-9…). Moreover, its mention by Flavius Josephus in the first century A. D. (vid. Jewish Antiquities I 72-74) could lead us to think of an even greater influence, being probably known—though not necessarily shared—by other groups of Second Temple Judaism.

That being said, although the myth of the Watchers is one of the most popular traditions in Apocalyptic literature, it is not the only aetiology of evil defended by the Jewish writings related to this trend. In contrast to a heavenly explanation of the origin of evil, the story of Adam and Eve—known long before the emergence of apocalyptic Judaism thanks to Genesis 3—states as the earthly one. According to the Biblical source, the first human couple enjoyed an idyllic life in the Garden of Eden until a serpent tempted the woman to eat the forbidden fruit of the tree of knowledge of good and evil. The woman listened to the serpent and ate the fruit, and then Adam did so too. Once God discovered the transgression of his command, he punished them. He cursed the serpent and the ground, and announced the beginning of painful childbirths for women—who, besides the previous punishment, will forever be ruled by their husbands—and the expulsion of humankind from paradise, far away from the tree of life. Since this episode, mortality, pain, and hard work are part of all human life.

It is true that the story of Adam and Eve is not originally an aetiological myth of evil as such, for the Hebrew Bible’s allusions to this tradition are scarce: if established as the main explanation for the spread of evil across the earthly world, abundant references to this episode would be expected when dealing with the problem of evil throughout the verses of Scripture. Rather, it is a paradigmatic myth: the first of so many examples gathered by the Hebrew Bible in which human nature is made manifest, emphasizing that every evil action carried out by men will have its corresponding divine punishment. The episode fits well

---

Fallen Priest: The Problem of Family Purity in 1 Enoch 6-36”, Hebrew Union College Annual 50 (1979), pp. 115-135. On the contrary, Nickelsburg has suggested that the myth responds to a military clash with Hellenism: Nickelsburg, “Apocalyptic and Myth”, pp. 389-391. That being said, the fact is that the Watchers myth has since ancient times been interpreted and transmitted as an aetiological story, not allegorical: John J. Collins, Apocalypticism in the Dead Sea Scrolls (London, New York: Routledge, 1977).


11 Claus Westermann, Genesis (Edinburg: T&T Clark, 1987).

with the Deuteronomistic scheme of sin-punishment-repentance-salvation and the
prophetic warnings about the loss of the earth from transgression, which leads only to
expulsion and exile. Nevertheless, the apocalyptic tradition did not only take this tradition
as a paradigm (as, for example, the book of Jubilees), but also it became an aetiology of evil
in other works: being the first human sin the cause of the following human transgressions,
as well as the origin of sickness and mortality.

From a heavenly to an earthly origin of evil

Traditionally, a diachronic evolution has been defended from a predominance of the
heavenly explanation about the origin of evil in the earliest apocalyptic writings to a
predominance of the earthly one in the first century of the era. This theory could be easily
confirmed by a comparison between the references to both interpretations along three
apocalyptic works: the Book of the Watchers, Jubilees, and the Syriac Apocalypse of Baruch.

Despite the Book of the Watchers is mainly worried about the fall of the angels, the last
chapters of this book describe two heavenly travels of Enoch –the antediluvian patriarch–
where some references to Eden could be found: notably, a brief mention of the tree of Life
i

13

And I came to the garden of righteousness and saw beyond those trees many (other)
large (ones) growing there – their fragrance sweet, large ones, with much elegance, and
glorious. And the tree of wisdom, of which one eats and knows great wisdom, (was
among them). It looked like the colors of the carob tree, its fruit like very beautiful
grape clusters, and the fragrance of this tree travels and reaches afar. And I said, “This
tree is beautiful and its appearance beautiful and pleasant!” Then the holy angel
Raphael, who was with me, responded to me and said, “This very thing is the tree of
wisdom from which your old father and aged mother, they who are your precursors,
ate and came to know wisdom; and (consequently) their eyes were opened and they
realized that they were naked and (so) they were expelled from the garden.”

From my point of view, the lack of some of the main elements in the excerpt –such as the
divine prohibition of eating the fruit or the intervention of the serpent in the transgression
of God’s will– proves that the Edenic episode does not function as an aetiology of evil in
this case. The first couple discovered their nudity, was punished and expelled from the
paradise, but nothing indicates that their acts condemned the rest of human beings to sin.
The fall of the Watchers to earth, their inappropriate teachings to humankind, and the
violence of their offspring play a major role in this book as an explanation of the sin.
Therefore, the heavenly etiology of evil seems to be prevalent in this apocalyptic writing of
the third century a. C.

In the following century, the book of *Jubilees* (*Jub*) also contains some references to both the myth of the Watchers and the Edenic transgression in its rewriting of the first chapters of the Bible. The story of Adam and Eve is included in the first place, *Jub 3:17-35*, and follows almost literally its biblical source. Relating to the fall of the angels, it is also included some chapters later as an interpretation of Gen 6:1-4. The main difference between the *Book of the Watchers* and *Jubilees* is that, in this last book, only 10% of the evil spirits will remain on the Earth after the flood, commanded by their leader, Mastema:

And the Lord our God spoke to us so that we might bind all of them. And the chief of the spirits, Mastema, came and he said, “Lord, Creator, leave some of them before me, and let them obey my voice. And let them do everything which I tell them, because if some of them are not left for me, I will not be able to exercise the authority of my will among the children of men because they are (intended) to corrupt and lead astray before my judgment because the evil of the sons of men is great.” And he said, “Let a tenth of them remain before him, but let nine parts go down into the place of judgment.”

The action of evil spirits on the Earth as an explanation of evil is then mitigated: just a small part of them will survive and torment people. *Jubilees* does not deny the existence of demons and evil spirits that lead humankind to sin, but pays more attention to the question of identity. In this context, the references to both the myth of the Watchers and the story of Adam and Eve in *Jubilees* do not have an etiological character but a paradigmatic function. Jewish people are not like Gentiles, and they must respect God’s rules and commandments. Otherwise, they will be punished as the first couple or the fallen angels were. Therefore, none of the traditions compete against each other or try to deny its validity, but seek to explain how the world works. For the author(s) of *Jubilees*, evil on earth

---

14 Concerning the link between the *Book of the Watchers* and Genesis 6, vid. note 8. Regardless of the dependency (or not) of the Enochic material on the Bible, from the second century a. C. it is common practice to interpret the cryptic verses of Gen 6:1-4 as an allusion to the union of angels and women on earth.

15 It is not clearly said—but implicitly understood in light of the *Book of the Watchers*—that the evil spirits are identified as the spirits of the dead giants, the offspring of the fallen angels.

16 The term *mameth* appears once in the Hebrew Bible (Hos 9:7-8) and could be translated as the common name ‘enmity’ or ‘hostility’. *Jubilees* is the only work from the Second Temple period where this figure is included, although its root could be associated with another evil leader: Satan. Vid. Devorah Dimant, “Between Qumran Sectarian and Non-Sectarian Texts: The Case of Belial and Mastema”, in Adolfo D. Roitman, Lawrence H. Schiffman and Shani Tsof (eds.), *The Dead Sea Scrolls and Contemporary Culture. Proceedings of the International Conference held at the Israel Museum, Jerusalem (July 6-8, 2008)* (Leiden and Boston: Brill, 2010), pp. 235-256, esp. 247-248.


The final step of this diachronic evolution is represented by the references to the origin of evil in the \textit{Syriac Apocalypse of Baruch} (2 Bar), dated from the end of the first century or the beginning of the second century A. D.

And as you first saw the black waters on the top of the cloud which first came down upon the earth; this is the transgression which Adam, the first man, committed. For when he transgressed, untimely death came into being, mourning was mentioned, affliction was prepared, illness was created, labor accomplished, pride began to come into existence, the realm of death began to ask to be renewed with blood, the conception of children came about, the passion of the parents was produced, the loftiness of men was humiliated, and goodness vanished. What could, therefore, have been blacker and darker than these things? This is the beginning of the black waters which you have seen. And from these black waters again black were born, and very dark darkness originated. For he who was a danger to himself was also a danger to the angels. For they possessed freedom in that time in which they were created. And some of them came down and mingled themselves with women. At that time they who acted like this were tormented in chains. But the rest of the multitude of angels, who have no number, restrained themselves. And those living on earth perished together through the waters of the flood. Those are the first black waters.\footnote{2 Bar 56:5-16. English translation by A. F. J. Klijn “2 (Syriac Apocalypse of) Baruch”, in James H. Charlesworth (ed.), \textit{The Old Testament Pseudepigrapha. Volume 1: Apocalyptic Literature and Testaments} (Garden City, New York: Doubleday, 1983), pp. 615-652, espec. 641.}

The works dating from the beginning of the Era deal with the shock of the population facing the destruction of the Second Temple in 70 A. D. The greatest of the unimaginable divine punishments had taken place again, and the people demanded an explanation (and a solution) for the evil they had suffered. For this, many of the pseudepigraphic books of the time refer to known characters who lived a similar situation: the loss of the First Temple (as it is the case of Baruch, the scribe and the secretary of the Biblical prophet Jeremiah). The parallel of the historical contexts allows them to give a clear message of hope: just as the Jewish people survived the destruction of the Temple and the Babylonian Exile, so too the present tribulations will pass and God will forgive his people, who will be again safe under his protection.
Regarding the different interpretations of the origin of evil,20 the previous passage of the *Syriac Apocalypse of Baruch* includes both the myth of the Watchers and the Edenic episode among the symbolic visions presented to the scribe. However, the two interpretations are not held on the same level. The action of the first human couple is clearly placed as the first transgression in God’s creation and established as the starting point—or the origin—of the following negative episodes of Jewish history, including the fall of the angels. There is a degenerative conception of history in which the Edenic episode, being the earlier one underlined.21

The idea that the earthly origin of evil becomes clearly dominant above the heavenly alternative at the beginning of the common era could be finally highlighted in the *Fourth Book of Ezra* (*4 Ezra*). Dated from the same period as the *Syriac Apocalypse of Baruch*,22 this book says nothing of the myth of the Watchers. In contrast, it focuses on Adam’s transgression and introduces a new element in the story, the evil heart:

> For the first Adam, burdened with an evil heart, transgressed and was overcome, as were also all who were descended from him. Thus the disease became permanent; the law was in the people’s heart along with the evil root, but what was good departed, and the evil remained. So the times passed and the years were completed, and you raised up for yourself a servant, named David. And you commanded him to build a city for your name, and in it to offer you oblations from what is yours. This was done for many years; but the inhabitants of the city transgressed, in everything doing as Adam and all his descendants had done, for they also had the evil heart. So you delivered the city into the hands of your enemies.23

As it could be seen, the *Fourth Book of Ezra* is highly influenced by wisdom literature. The evil heart proposed in this book is quite similar to the concept of inclination (*yetser*) included in the deuterocanonical book of Wisdom of ben Sira, and frequently noted by the rabbinic sources. An innate force that leads humankind to sin that could mitigate, in some way, Adam’s guilt in this text: since his birth, every human—even Adam—has in his nature an inclination to evil that cannot be removed. The only “antidote” for the evil heart is the

---

20 Despite 2 Bar 48:42-50 and 56:5-16 could be discussed in relation to the problem of evil, it must be said that this is not actually the main theme of this work, which could be described as a compilation of different lamentations, prayers, dialogues, and Apocalyptic visions.


Torah given by God. Once more, the responsibility of humankind about the existence of evil is underlined.

A parallel line: the angelic presence in Eden

That being said, this line of evolution is not the only one that could be identified in an analysis of the Apocalyptic sources. Following a different path, other writings seem to merge both traditions around the first and the beginning of the second century A.D. I refer to the Apocalyptic references to the story of Adam and Eve which include the mediation or participation of a malevolent angel in the episode. Such is the case in the Greek Apocalypse of Baruch, the Apocalypse of Abraham, and Life of Adam and Eve, as well as some passages from the Book of the Parables and the Slavonic Book of Enoch.

Despite it is not the main topic of the Greek Apocalypse of Baruch (3 Bar), two different excerpts include a reference to the Edenic episode. In both cases, Adam’s eating of the fruit is induced by the persuasion of a misleading angel: Samael. This figure is also mentioned in other Jewish sources such as the Ascension of Isaiah, Deuteronomy Rabba, or Targum Pseudo-Jonathan, and is named Satanael in the Slavonic version of the work. In all the cases, he is identified with a negative power who acts against humankind. According to the fourth chapter of the Greek Apocalypse of Baruch, this angel is responsible for tempting Adam by means of the vine tree in the Edenic episode:

And I said, “I pray you, show me which is the tree which caused Adam to stray.” And the angel said, “It is the vine which the angel Samael planted by which the Lord became angered, and he cursed him and his planting. For the reason he did not permit

---

24 To the diachronic evolution, the sapiential influence of yetser, and the angelic presence in Eden could be added another line of evolution that will not be addressed in this paper: the increasing responsibility of the feminine figures in the origin of evil. Vid. García García, El origen del mal, pp. 197-206.

25 The work could be described as a cosmic Apocalypse which includes the protagonist’s ascent to the different levels of Heaven.

26 The same name as the angelic leader who seeks Adam’s ruin in 2 Enoch (satan plus the theophoric suffix -el, vid. infra).

27 It is noteworthy that Gen 2-3 says nothing about the identification of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil with any specific type of fruit tree. The identification of the forbidden fruit with the apple in Western culture could be due to the Latin translation of the Bible in the fourth century A.D., the Vulgate. When translating the expression “the tree of the science of good and evil”, mali, genitive case of malum, is used for ‘evil’. This term could also refer to ‘apple’. Even if there is not a consensus among the researchers about the veracity of this interpretation, the truth is that the Renaissance representations of this biblical episode will popularize this image by taking as a reference for their works the golden apples of Greek mythology. Vid. Carol Meyers, Rediscovering Eve. Ancient Israelite Women in Context (Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press, 2013), pp. 60-61.
Adam to touch it. And because of this the devil became envious, and tricked him by means of his vine.”

Moreover, the moon is included in a second passage of this work in relation to this episode: a tradition that is not completely developed in the work, but clearly criticised and punished by God.

“Listen, O Baruch: This [the moon] which you see was designed by God to be beautiful without peer. And during the transgression of the first Adam, she gave light to Samael when he took the serpent as a garment, and did not hide, but on the contrary, waxed. And God was angered with her, and diminished her and shortened her days.”

The link between the serpent and a malevolent angel is absent in the biblical narrative but included in both previous passages. The introduction of the angelic action could be seen as mitigation of human responsibility for their expulsion of paradise and mortality. However, the information given about Adam and Eve in the Greek Apocalypse of Baruch is so brief that it is difficult to come to more conclusions.

Likewise, the Apocalypse of Abraham (ApAb) refers to this tradition in chapter 23, identifying the forbidden tree of the garden with the vine too. After a marvellous monstrous description of the serpent, it is said that the animal conceals a disguised supernatural force, just as in the aforementioned work:

And I looked at the picture, and my eyes ran to the side of the garden of Eden. And I saw there a man very great in height and terrible in breadth, incomparable in aspect, entwined with a woman who was also equal to the man in aspect and size. And they were standing under a tree of Eden, and the fruit of the tree was like the appearance of a bunch of grapes of the vine. And behind the tree was standing (something) like a dragon in form, but having hands and feet like a man’s, on his back six wings on the right and six on the left. And he was holding the grapes of the tree and feeding them to the two I saw entwined with each other. And I said, “Who are these two entwined with each other, or who is this between them, and what is the fruit which they are eating, Mighty One, Eternal?” And he said, “This is the world of men, this is Adam

---


29 The representation of the moon as a woman appears in the Hellenistic period, but this passage has no parallels in other Jewish works.

and this is their thought on earth, this is Eve. And he who is between them is the
impiety of their behaviour unto perdition, Azazel himself.”

In this case, the name of the misleading figure is not Samael or Satanael, but Azazel: the
same name as one of the angelic leaders who appears in the Book of the Watchers. As in the
Greek Apocalypse of Baruch, the reason that leads this figure to take part in the transgression is
not included: it is just implied that the fallen angel seeks human perdition.

More information is given in the Greek and Latin versions of Life of Adam and Eve (GrAdEv
and LatAdEv) which focuses on the first couple’s life after leaving paradise, Adam’s illness in his last days, and his subsequent death. According to the Greek version of the work, Adam’s increasing tiredness towards the end of his days is explained as a
consequence of his mortality, acquired as punishment for the transgression committed in the
Garden of Eden:

Adam said to him [to Set]: “When God made us, me and your mother, through whom
I am dying, he gave us every plant in Paradise, but concerning one he commanded us
not to eat of it, (for) we would die by it. And the hour drew near for the angels who
were guarding your mother to ascend and worship the Lord. And the enemy gave to
her and she ate from the tree, since he knew that neither I nor the holy angels were
near her. Then she gave also to me to eat. When we both had eaten, God was angry
with us. [...] And he said ‘Since you have forsaken my covenant, I have submitted
your body to seventy plagues. The pain of the first plague is affliction of the eyes; the
pain of the second plague is of the hearing; and so one after the other all the plagues
shall pursue you.’”

Charlesworth (ed.), The Old Testament Pseudepigrapha. Volume 1: Apocalyptic Literature and Testaments (Garden

32 An interesting passage in ApAb 13:3-12 shows that Azazel could be understood in this work in a similar
way to Mastema in Jubilees: an angelic or satanic figure that tempts humankind and seeks his doom.

33 On one hand, the Greek version of the work—a also known as Apocalypse of Moses—is generally dated around
the second half of the first century A. D. Nevertheless, as it does not include any mention of the
destruction of the Second Temple, materials from the beginning of the century were probably used as a
basis. On the other hand, the Latin version describes the same story as half of the Greek version but
doubles its extension. Although it does not contain any temporal indication and includes several Christian
interpolations, it is normally defended that it reflects Jewish original material dated to the same period as
its Greek counterpart. Vid. Luis Vegas Montaner, “Literatura entre la Biblia y la Misná”, in Guadalupe
Henry Ansgar Kelly, Satan. A Biography (Cambridge, New York: Cambridge University Press, 2006), p. 182, who, on the contrary, affirms that Life of Adam and Eve is a Christian composition dated to the fourth
century A. D.

(ed.), The Old Testament Pseudepigrapha. Volume 2: Expansions of the “Old Testament” and Legends, Wisdom and
Philosophical Literature, Prayers, Psalms, and Odes, Fragments of Lost Judeo-Hellenistic Works (Garden City, New
This description of the episode of Eden is based on Gen 3 but shows certain variants of great relevance. For example, it includes a reference to the angels in paradise before the ingestion of the fruit, guardians of humanity who show in a positive light the Watchers’ original task. Eve’s transgression cannot occur if it is not at the moment when her guardian angels leave paradise to go worship the Lord. Nor is Adam with her at the moment when the “enemy” approaches the first woman. The punishment for transgression is slightly different in this work: the eating of the forbidden fruit not only causes the appearance of mortality, but also the appearance of seventy different plagues or bodily calamities, such as the pain in the eyes or in the ears.35 Thus, Adam and Eve’s transgression is clearly established as an etiology of evil in this account, or at least, of a specific type of natural evil: disease.

On the other hand, according to this work, the devil does not disguise himself as a serpent to plot his plan, but they are both two separate characters within the episode:

“And the devil spoke to the serpent, saying: ‘Rise and come to me, and I will tell you something to your advantage.’ Then the serpent came to me, and the devil said to him, ‘I hear that you are wiser than all the beasts; so I came to observe you. I found you greater than all the beast, and they associate with you; but yet you are prostrate to the very least. Why do you eat of the weeds of Adam and not of the fruit of Paradise? Rise and come and let us make him to cast out of Paradise through his wife, just as we were cast out through him.’ And the serpent said to him, ‘I fear lest the Lord be wrathful to me.’ The devil said to him, ‘Do not fear; only become my vessel, and I will speak a word through your mouth by which you will be able to deceive him.’”36

According to this passage, divine fear causes the serpent to show some hesitation in participating in the devil’s trap. Envy could explain why these two characters act like this: although it is not specified, it seems that both the devil and the serpent have been somehow degraded from their initial status, as the former says, “just as we were cast out through him.”

Although the devil’s fall is not included in the Greek text, the Latin version—which shows a slightly different account—explains in more detail the transgression committed by the angelic figure: when he tried to raise his throne above the one God’s:

The devil replied, “Adam, what are you telling me? It is because of you that I have been thrown out of there. When you were created, I was cast out from the presence of God and was sent out from the fellowship of the angels. When God blew into you the breath of life and your countenance and likeness were made in the image of God, Michael brought you and made (us) worship you in the sight of God, and the Lord

35 ‘Seventy’ is here understood as a symbolic number, as it is also the case of the ‘seventy weeks’ in Dan 9:24.
God said, ‘Behold Adam! I have made you in our image and likeness.’ And Michael went out and called all the angels, saying, ‘Worship the image of the Lord God, as the Lord God has instructed.’ And Michael himself worshiped first, and called me and said, ‘Worship the image of God, Yhwh.’ And I answered, ‘I do not worship Adam.’ And when Michael kept forcing me to worship, I said to him, ‘Why do you compel me? I will not worship one inferior and subsequent to me. I am prior to him in creation; before he was made, I was already made. He ought to worship me.’ When they heard this, other angels who were under me refused to worship him. And Michael asserted, ‘Worship the image of God. But if now you will not worship, the Lord God will be wrathful with you.’ And I said, ‘If he be wrathful with me, I will set my throne above the stars of heaven and will be like the Most High.’ And the Lord God was angry with me and sent me with my angels out from our glory; and because of you, we were expelled into this world from our dwellings and have been cast onto the earth. And immediately we were made to grieve, since we had been deprived of so great glory. And we were pained to see you in such bliss of delights. So with deceit I assailed your wife and made you to be expelled through her from the joys of your bliss, as I have been expelled from my glory.’

Therefore, it is explained that the reason for the angel’s fall is the envy he experiences after man’s creation, which leads him to rebel against God and, later, to take reprisals against the man when his own rebellious action has condemned him to be expelled from heaven. This tradition is surely based on the exegesis of some biblical passages about a rebellion against divination and a heavenly fall as, for example, Isa 14:12-15 and Ezek 28:12-19. Devil’s envy is also noted by other ancient Jewish sources like Wis 2:23-24, is probably behind the description of the episode in the Greek version of Life of Adam and Eve, and also maybe behind the brief references in the Greek Apocalypse of Baruch and the Apocalypse of Abraham.

That being said, two works of the Enochic Cycle dated to the same period provide more references to Adam and Eve in their accounts, even if the heavenly origin of evil is still predominant in this tradition: The Book of Parables (1 En 37-71) and 2 Enoch (2 En, also

---

39 Or even 2 Es 31:3-6, as detailed below.
40 Although this work has been generally dated around the first century A. C./A. D, Józef Milik proposes a Christian origin (third century A. D) given its absence among the Dead Sea Scrolls, as well as its references to the “Son of Man”. He even defends the existence of an Enochic Pentateuch in the first century A. C., where instead the Book of the Parables, the Book of the Giants was included. Vid. J. T. Milik, The Books of Enoch: Aramaic Fragments of Qumrín Cave 4 (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1976), pp. 89-107.
known as the *Slavonic Book of Enoch*).\(^{41}\) Regarding the first work, the angel Gader’el—the third in a list of fallen angels\(^{42}\) seduces Eve in parallel to the action of the serpent in Gen 3, as well as instructing men in the different weapons and mortal blows:

The third was named Gader’el; this one is he who showed the children of the people all the blows of death, who misled Eve, who showed the children of the people (how to make) the instruments of death (such as) the shield, the breastplate, and the sword for warfare, and all (the other) instruments of death to the children of the people. Through their agency (death) proceeds against the people who dwell upon the earth, from that day forevermore.\(^{43}\)

Although Eve’s mention in this passage could be understood as merely anecdotic,\(^{44}\) it is interesting in light of the aforementioned pseudepigraphical passages, as the *Book of the Parables* does not only assert that Eve was seduced by an evil angel, but that this figure is clearly one of the Watchers.

*2 Enoch* goes a step further and identifies Eve’s seducer as the leader of the Watchers or Grigori. Following a similar (if not the same) tradition to the Latin version of *Life of Adam and Eve*, it states that envy is the cause of the satanic intervention in the episode. The angel Satan el—a name that is also linked to the devil in the Slavonic version of the *Greek Apocalypse of Baruch*—is expelled from heaven because he attempted to raise himself to the same level as God (**2 En** 29:4-5). Then, he “lost” the suffix -el that characterises theophoric names and turns into Satan. Once on earth, he sees Adam, the ruler of God’s new creation, and becomes jealous of him. This is why he decides to corrupt humankind through Eve:

> And the devil understood how I wished to create another world, so that everything could be subjected to Adam on the earth, to rule and reign over it. The devil is of the lowest places. And he will become a demon, because he fled from heaven; Sotona [Satan], because his name was Satanael. In this way he became different from the angels. His nature did not change, (but) his thought did, since his consciousness of righteous and sinful things changed. And he became aware of his condemnation and of the sin which he sinned previously. And that is why he thought up the scheme

Nevertheless, this hypothesis has been highly criticised and is generally rejected by the majority of researchers. Vid. Vegas Montaner, “Literatura entre la Biblia y la Misná”, p. 213, f. 5.

\(^{41}\) No manuscripts older than the fourteenth century are known, but the work is generally dated from the first or second century A. D. Vid. Vegas Montaner, “Literatura entre la Biblia y la Misná”, p. 216. Among the current research on *2 Enoch*, it should be pointed out Andrei Orlov’s work, from which From Patriarch to the Youth: The Metatron Tradition in *2Enoch* (Milwaukee: UMI, 2004); and Dark Mirrors. Azazel and Satanael in Early Jewish Demonology (Albany: State University of New York Press, 2011) should be especially highlighted.

\(^{42}\) Contrary to most of the mentions of this myth, the list included in chapter 69 is more interested in the revelation of knowledge by the fallen angels than in their impure sexual union with the mortal women.


\(^{44}\) Eve does not play a relevant role in the Enochic account.
against Adam. In such a form he entered paradise, and corrupted Eve. But Adam he
did not contact.  

Like other studied references, 2 Enoch omits many of the elements of the biblical account,
such as the prohibition of eating, the explicit mention of the character of the serpent, his
conversation with the woman, the ingestion of the fruit by Adam and Eve, or the discovery
of their nakedness. The only consequence of the episode seems to be mortality, albeit
nuanced, since humanity will return to life after the second coming. Similar to other
Enochic works, Adam and Eve’s transgression does not imply the condemnation of
successive generations of men: it is said that God blesses all his creatures and is only critical
of their evil deeds. The story does not act as an explanation of the origin of evil. Indeed,
when the sin of the first couple is mentioned again in chapter 41, their transgression does
not seem to receive a harder consideration than the rest of the faults, either committed by
men or by the angels:

“|And| I saw all those from the age of my ancestors, with Adam and Eve. And I
sighed and burst into tears. |And I said| concerning their disreputable depravity, ‘Oh
how miserable for me is my incapacity |and that of| my ancestors!’ And I thought in
my heart and I said, ‘How blessed is the person who has not been born, or who,
having been born, has not sinned before the face of the Lord, so that he will not come
into this place nor carry the yoke of this place.’”

In addition, a certain notion of the degeneration of history could be observed in 2 En
70:25: “For the devil became ruler for the third time. The first was before paradise; the
second time was in paradise; the third time was after paradise, <and> continuing right up
to the Flood.” The fall of Satanael, his intervention in the episode of Eden, and the fall of
the Watchers are presented as successive episodes in which evil has left a great imprint on
the history of humanity. However, the exact origin of evil does not seem to be of particular
interest to the author, more concerned with emphasizing mankind’s freedom to decide how
they want to act throughout their lives.

45 2 En 31:3-6. English translation by F. I. Andersen, “2 (Slavonic Apocalypse of) Enoch”, in James H.
Charlesworth (ed.), The Old Testament Pseudepigrapha. Volume 1: Apocalyptic Literature and Testaments (Garden
46 In fact, according to Carlos Santos Carretero, the inclusion of the Adamic tradition in this pseudepigrapha
could also be understood as a way to highlight the figure of Enoch: the patriarch, who the angels do
worship, is the one chosen to restore the primeval glory of humanity. Vid. Carlos Santos Carretero,
Apócrifos y Apocalípticos: Angelología y demonología en los libros de Henoc (Tesis Doctoral, Universidad de
48 English translation by Andersen, “2 (Slavonic Apocalypse of) Enoch”, p. 204.
Some reflections about Satan in the New Testament

Lastly, an influence of these new conceptions could be seen in some of the passages of the New Testament, where the Satanic figure is presented as a fallen angel: “And he said unto them, I beheld Satan as lightning fall from Heaven” (Lk 10:18).\(^49\) Named also as Devil (as in Mt 4:1), Beelzebub (Mt 12:24), or Belial (2 Cor 6:15);\(^50\) his assimilation with the figure of the serpent seems to be complete in this corpus:

> And I saw an angel come down from heaven, having the key of the bottomless pit and a great chain in his hand. And he laid hold on the dragon, that old serpent, which is the Devil, and Satan, and bound him a thousand years, and cast him into the bottomless pit, and shut him up, and set a seal upon him, that he should deceive the nations no more, till the thousand years should be fulfilled: and after that he must be loosed a little season […] And the devil that deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone, where the beast and the false prophet are, and shall be tormented day and night for ever and ever.\(^51\)

In this passage, Satan receives a punishment quite similar to the Watchers’ leader in 1 En 10: first enchained and then thrown into the fire where he will burn for eternally.\(^52\) Nevertheless, the identification of an angelic leader with a serpent or dragon is not included in the first account of the myth of the Watchers, but respond to a later development.\(^53\)

Although it is not included in the aforementioned sources, the identification of Satan with the animal of Eden is not illogical. In fact, throughout the Hebrew Bible there are certain passages that point to a struggle between two opposing powers: God and a primordial being represented in the form of a winged and/or sea serpent. This is reminiscent of the surrounding mythologies, where this confrontation is frequent. In the Ugaritic Baal Cycle, this god confronts Leviathan, a sea monster with seven heads and a

\(^49\) Cf. Isa 14:12-15, the aforementioned passage, where the motif of the heavenly fall is also included. Out of the demarcated timeline of this paper, it should be noted that the influence of this “fusion” between the Edenic episode and the Enochic myth of the Watchers through the motif of an angelic fall is also present in other later traditions, such as the story of Simon Magus (as included by Epiphanius of Salamis in Panarion), the references to fallen angels in Pirke de-Rabbi Eliezer or the Midrash of Shemhazai and Azael.

\(^50\) While Belzebub is probably derived from Baal-zebub, god of Ekron in 2 Kgs 1:2, Belial is the habitual name for this figure in the Dead Sea Scrolls. To them, it has to be added another usual name for the Satanic figure in the Christian context: Lucifer (“light-bringer” in Latin), that appears in the Vulgate translation of הָלָל in Isa 14:12. According to Henry Ansgar Kelly, the use of this term in the Latin version of Isa 14 “it is taken to be a reference to some Canaanite Deity used as a poetic metaphor, much as Christian poets used to refer to God and the Saints in terms of Greek and Roman Gods –Zeus and Hera on the one hand and Jupiter and Juno on the other”. See Kelly, Satan, p. 191.

\(^51\) Rev 20:1-3.10 (King James Version).

\(^52\) See also Mt 25:41.

\(^53\) As it could be verified in Ap. Ab 23:4-11 (vid. supra).
serpentine body to which the Scriptures refer in Isa 27:1. Likewise, in the famous Enuma Elish, Marduk—the god of the heavens—confronts Tiamat: the stormy sea, a female being in the form of a dragon or winged serpent; and in Greek mythology, Zeus confronts Typhon, a winged monster of enormous stature with serpents on his lower limbs and dragon heads on his fingers (Theogony 819-869). 54

However, positive characterisations related to this animal are also preserved, such as the serpent god Šaḥa in Akkadian mythology, the Egyptian fertility goddess Renenutet or the Greek god of medicine Asclepius (or Aesculapius for the Romans). His cult as a symbol of protection and welfare was widespread in the eastern environment (cf. Dan 14), so that it reached Israel and was abolished in the time of Hezekiah (2 Kgs 18:1-5). The fact that the serpent was related to a false god whose idolatrous worship had become abominable, would also have contributed to its transformation into a satanic figure. 55 The influence of dualism in Jewish apocalyptic would also have played a role in this change of perspective: it is not difficult to imagine how the stories about the struggle between the serpent and a chief god could have been interpreted in terms of a confrontation between good and evil, God and his adversary. Since Gen 3 already includes the link between the serpent character and the evil motif, the identification between the animal and an evil supernatural being was only a matter of time.

Coming back to the Scriptures, if the New Testament writers are not just taking into account the biblical text but they are also influenced by the traditions highlighted around the turn of the era, could be the mention of the serpent who tempts Eve in 2 Cor 11:3 considered as a reference to Satan too? Only one more excerpt mentions Eve in the New Testament (1 Tim 2:13), but says nothing about the Satanic figure, neither the other passages which focus on Adam (vid. Rom 5:12-21; 1 Cor 15:21-22; 15:45-49). The question, therefore, is open to debate.

Although not referred to Eve, chapter 12 of the book of Revelation includes an interesting portrayal of Satan’s fall where another woman is the objective of his machinations:

And there was war in heaven: Michael and his angels fought against the dragon; and the dragon fought and his angels, and prevailed not; neither was their place found any more in heaven. And the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world: he was cast out into the earth, and his angels were cast out with him […] And when the dragon saw that he was cast unto the earth, he persecuted the woman which brought forth the man child. And to the

---


woman were given two wings of a great eagle, that she might fly into the wilderness, into her place, where she is nourished for a time, and times, and half a time, from the face of the serpent. And the serpent cast out of his mouth water as a flood after the woman, that he might cause her to be carried away of the flood. And the earth helped the woman, and the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed up the flood which the dragon cast out of his mouth. And the dragon was wroth with the woman, and went to make war with the remnant of her seed, which keep the commandments of God, and have the testimony of Jesus Christ.\footnote{Rev 12:7-9.13-17.}

This time, Jesus’ mother –representing the New Jerusalem, the Church– is who suffers the Devil’s attack when he is deprived of his place in Heaven. The passage certainly resembles in this point those references to the fall of the angel in the Latin version of \textit{Life of Adam and Eve} and \textit{2 Enoch}. The motivation for Satan’s actions lies in his expulsion from Heaven and the envy (here implied) generated by the sight of the one who still enjoys divine trust. Apocalyptic traditions are constantly reinterpreted over the years: the motivation for the angelic descent to earth has shifted from an impure desire for women to an unbridled envy for the birth of the first man, being later applied to a dualistic opposition against the Messiah and the forces of good. Satan’s action in the world shows great freedom, identifying him as the author of evil and the tempter of mankind (cf., for example, Mk 4:15; Acts 5:3; 1 Cor 7:5; 1 Pet 5:8; or 1 Jn 3:8; and espec. Mt 4:11; Mk 1:12-13; and Lk 4:1-13 in regard to Jesus).

\textit{Conclusion}

To sum up, some Jewish references to the Edenic episode towards the beginning of the Common Era link the character of the serpent with a satanic figure. Named differently depending on the sources, the roots of this figure can be found in the Biblical term \textit{שָטָן} (\textit{satan}): a common name for “enemy” or “adversary” (1 Sam 29:4; 2 Sam 19:23; 1 Kgs 5:18; 11:14.23.25; Ps 109:6.) which in postexilic times becomes a reference to a supernatural power: one of the angels of the celestial court (as it appears, for example, in the book of Job). Nevertheless, the aforementioned pseudepigrapha and New Testament excerpts do not only take into account this biblical background but could be also inspired by the Watchers’ tradition. The Devil is presented as a fallen angel that –like the Watchers– acted against the order established by God in his creation and was punished because of it. He was expelled from heaven and came down to earth, where he looked for the ruin of God’s new favourite. There is a significant difference between the Satanic figure in Job and the New Testament: the fall of this angel allows him to abandon his role as a punisher of mankind under God’s command and to become free to act according to his will. In addition, it is interesting to note that the forbidden tree is –according to Genesis 3– the
tree of knowledge of good and evil: Satan and the Watchers share a transmission of knowledge to humankind which is linked with the introduction of evil on earth. Although traditionally the myth of the Watchers and the story of Adam and Eve have been defined as two opposite aetiologies, the studied sources demonstrate that the distinction between the celestial and earthly origin of evil is not always so clear. The inclusion of a celestial figure in the Edenic episode implies that a superhuman force is involved in the human decision of eating the forbidden fruit. Therefore, to what extent could Adam or Eve be made responsible for their acts if they were influenced by a superior being? The boundaries between the two apocalyptic interpretations seem to blur in the first centuries A.D.
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que animan a la humanidad a buscar un conocimiento superior y cuyos actos llevan a la introducción y difusión del mal en el mundo. Por lo tanto, el principal objeto de este artículo es analizar las referencias apocalípticas más tardías al relato de Adán y Eva, comparándolas con el mito de los Vigilantes y mostrando, de este modo, el posible sincretismo entre ambas tradiciones.
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