Highlights

- Tunable solvents (solvency properties) are obtained from THF:water mixs
- THF:water:NaCl (salting-out) mixs are proposed for liquids and THF:water for solids
- Solvency, extraction efficiency and exclusion of interferents are discussed.
- Methods were applied to the analysis of BPA in urine and OTA in baby-foods

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	Tunable solvency mixtures of tetrahydrofuran:water for efficient and fast
6	extraction/clean-up of trace contaminants
7	
8	Authors: Ana Ballesteros-Gómez*, Soledad Rubio
9	
10	Address: Department of Analytical Chemistry. Institute of Fine Chemistry and
11 12	Nanochemistry. Universidad de Córdoba. Campus de Rabanales. 14071-Córdoba. Spain
13	
14	*corresponding author: e-mail: ana.ballesteros@uco.es
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27 Abstract

In this study, we investigated the potential of mixtures of tetrahydrofuran (THF) and 28 29 water as tunable solvents for the microextraction of contaminants in solid and in liquid 30 matrices. These two miscible solvents have very different dielectric constant and Hildebrand solubility parameters, so that tunable mixtures spanning a wide range of 31 32 dispersion and hydrogen bonding forces could be easily prepared by simply changing their composition. In this way, rapid and more efficient extraction methods can be 33 34 developed. A liquid-liquid and a solid-liquid microextraction method for the determination of bisphenol A (BPA) in urine and ochratoxin A (OTA) in cereal baby 35 36 food were developed as a proof of concept. Both, the chemical composition and the relative solvency of the THF-water mixtures, expressed as Teas solubility parameters, 37 38 were studied in order to gain some insights into the chemical interactions governing analyte extraction. For urine, the salting-out extraction with THF:water and NaCl was 39 evaluated, a process which is still scarcely investigated for analytical purposes. These 40 methods featured good recoveries (above 95%), satisfactory standard deviation (5-6%) 41 and good sensitivity (detection limits of 0.1 μ g L⁻¹ for BPA and of 0.1 ng g⁻¹ for OTA) 42 with the advantages of simplicity, rapidity and low consumption of reagents. Recoveries 43 for other compounds and matrices (bisphenols ad phosphorus flame retardants in dust 44 and in tap water, dyes in tap water and OTA in powder milk) were also assessed to 45 prove the wide potential of these tunable solvent mixtures. 46

- 47
- 48

49 KEYWORDS: tunable solvents; organic aqueous mixtures; microextraction; ochratoxin50 A; bisphenol A.

- 51
- 52 53
- 54

- 56

57 **1. Introduction**

Current trends in the analysis of complex food and biological samples move towards the 58 simplification of sample preparation, and in this respect, major efforts have focused on 59 the development of efficient, green, cost-effective and high-throughput extraction 60 methods [1]. Miniaturization of solvent extraction, a strategy highly encouraged for 61 62 isolation of trace contaminants from food and biological fluids [2], requires the use of solvents with high extraction efficiency, and this need has boosted the search for 63 solvents with tunable properties able to establish mixed mode mechanisms for 64 contaminant solubilization [3]. Among solvents with tunable properties, supercritical 65 66 fluids [4], ionic liquids [5], supramolecular solvents [6] or eutectic solvents [7], have been intensively investigated to increase extraction efficiency for structurally unrelated 67 68 compounds.

Tunability of the solvency properties of conventional solvents, still the most widely 69 70 used extractants, has been traditionally tried by the mixing of solvents [8]. As contaminants cover a wide polarity range, their extraction from both solid and liquid 71 samples is usually carried out by mixtures of water with miscible polar solvents (e.g. 72 methanol, acetonitrile, acetone, etc.), the last application (viz. liquid-liquid extraction, 73 LLE) requiring the production of a biphasic system under the action of salting out 74 agents (both organic and inorganic salts) [9]. However, tunability of the solvency 75 76 properties of these mixtures is quite limited owing to the high polarity of the solvents involved. So, solvents having the highest possible difference in solvency power should 77 78 be more appropriate for producing tunable solvency extractants.

79 In this work, we evaluate the use of mixtures of THF and water as tunable solvency extractants of contaminants, in both liquid and solid samples, based on the substantial 80 difference in dielectric constants ($\varepsilon_{water} = 80.1$, $\varepsilon_{THF} = 7.5$) and Hildebrand solubility 81 parameters ($\delta_{water} = 23.3 \text{ cal}^{1/2} \text{ cm}^{-3/2}$; $\delta_{THF} = 9.5 \text{ cal}^{1/2} \text{ cm}^{-3/2}$) [10]. Thus, the THF values 82 for ε and δ are quite similar to those of very nonpolar water-immiscible solvents such as 83 ethyl acetate ($\varepsilon_{EAc} = 6.0$, $\delta_{EAc} = 9.1$ cal^{1/2} cm^{-3/2}) or chloroform ($\varepsilon_{CHCL13} = 4.8$ $\delta_{CHCL13} =$ 84 9.3 cal^{1/2} cm^{-3/2}), and considerable lower than those for methanol ($\varepsilon_{MeOH} = 32.7, \delta_{MeOH} =$ 85 14.5 cal^{1/2} cm^{-3/2}) or acetonitrile ($\varepsilon_{ACN} = 37.5$, $\delta_{ACN} = 11.9$ cal^{1/2} cm^{-3/2}) [10]. The 86 87 polarity of solvent mixtures could be modulated in a wider range for THF:water 88 mixtures compared to that for ACN:water or MeOH:water, which should favor the

extraction of analytes in a broader polarity interval. Particularly interesting is the fact 89 that two of the three types of intermolecular interactions that contribute to the 90 Hildebrand solubility parameter, namely dispersion (f_d), polar (f_p) and hydrogen 91 bonding (f_h) forces (Teas or fractional solubility parameters), are very different for 92 water and THF. Thus, Teas parameters (%) for water and THF are $f_d = 21$, $f_p = 22$, $f_h = 57$ 93 and $f_d = 55$, $f_p = 19$, $f_h = 26$, respectively [10]. This means that both dispersive 94 95 interactions and hydrogen bonding can be tuned by simply changing the THF:water mixture composition, while polar (dipole-dipole) interactions will remain almost 96 97 constant (see Fig. 1).

98 The tunability of the solvency properties of the mixture THF-water was here explored 99 by the development of a liquid-liquid and a solid-liquid microextraction method for the 100 analysis of bisphenol A (BPA) in urine and ochratoxin A (OTA) in cereal baby food as 101 a proof of concept. For the application to urine, a biphasic system was obtained from 102 THF:water mixtures under the addition of sodium chloride. This separation process has 103 been recently described in the literature [27- 30].

104 BPA (Log K_{ow} 3.32; hydrogen bonds donor/acceptor groups 4) is a known endocrine disruptor with a widespread occurrence in humans [11]. OTA (Log K_{ow} 4.74 hydrogen 105 bonds donor/acceptor groups 9) is a carcinogenic contaminant with a restrictive 106 maximal residue limit of 0.5 ng g^{-1} in baby food [12]. Methods for the extraction of 107 OTA in cereal-based food use mostly conventional solvent extraction (acetonitrile:water 108 mixtures [13-15]), although some alternatives such as pressurized liquid-strategies 109 110 [16,17] and matrix solid phase dispersion [18,19] have been proposed. The volume of organic solvent consumed per sample is usually relatively high (50-250 mL) and further 111 112 clean-up is needed, usually with immunoaffinity columns [14,20]. Regarding the analysis of BPA, conventional SPE [21,22] and on-line SPE coupled to LC-MS/MS 113 have been the most used approaches for its analysis in urine [23]. Some methods using 114 115 selective sorbents, i.e immunoaffinity columns [24] and molecularly imprinted 116 polymers (MIPs) [25,26] have been proposed. The background contamination coming from the use of water and solvents (containing always trace amounts of this ubiquitous 117 contaminant) in the SPE conditioning steps and the high cost of MIPs are common 118 inconveniences in these strategies. 119

Both, the chemical composition and the relative solvency of the THF:water and 120 THF:water (NaCl) mixtures were studied in order to gain some insights into the 121 chemical interactions governing analyte extraction. The solvency tunability of the 122 mixtures was exploited to enhance recoveries and to prevent as much as possible the co-123 124 of matrix interferents (e.g. proteins, polysaccharides, etc.). In this way we obtained certain degree of selectivity that was enough to avoid further clean-up steps. Methods 125 126 were simple, rapid and efficient with low consumption of reagents. The extraction efficiency of other compounds (bisphenols, flame retardants and dyes) and samples (tap 127 128 water, dust, powder milk) was further evaluated to prove the potential of the THF:water mixtures for the extraction of a wide polarity range of compounds. 129

130

147

131 2. Materials and methods

132 2.1. Chemicals

All chemicals were of analytical reagent-grade and were used as supplied. THF, HPLC-133 134 grade acetonitrile, methanol and acetic acid were supplied by Panreac (Sevilla, Spain). Ultra-high-quality water was obtained from a Milli-Q water purification system 135 (Millipore, Madrid, Spain). Sodium chloride, ammonium acetate, ochratoxin A (OTA) 136 and bisphenol A (BPA) and β -glucuronidase/sulfatase H1 enzyme from Helix pomatia 137 were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). The internal standard of BPA (¹³C₁₂-138 BPA) was obtained from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. and was used as method 139 140 internal standard to control potential losses of BPA during sample preparation and fluctuations in the MS performance (e.g. ion suppression and enhancement). Stock 141 standard solutions of BPA and OTA at concentrations of 10 mg L⁻¹ were prepared in 142 methanol and stored in closed glass tubes at 4°C. The deconjugation solution was made 143 from β -glucuronidase/sulfatase H1 enzyme from Helix pomatia (2563300 U g⁻¹) at a 144 concentration of 926 U mL⁻¹ in a buffer of ammonium acetate 1M (adjusted at pH 5). 145

146 Further recovery experiments were done with a) bisphenols: 4,4'Methylenediphenol

(bisphenol F, BPF) and 4,4'-Sulfonyldiphenol (bisphenol S, BPS) that were obtained 148 from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), 4-(4-phenylmethoxyphenyl)sulfonylphenol

149 (BPS-MAE), 4-(4-propan-2-yloxyphenyl)sulfonylphenol (D-8) and 4-(4-hydroxy-3-

prop-2-enylphenyl)sulfonyl-2-prop-2-enylphenol (TGSA) and the internal standards 150

(IS) Bisphenol A-d₆ diglycidyl Ether (BPA-d₆) and bis(4-hydroxyphenyl) Sulfone-d8
(BPS-d₈) which were acquired from Toronto Research Chemicals (Toronto, Canada);
b) phosphorus flame retardants: TPHP (triphenyl phosphate) and TPHP-d₁₅ which were
obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Zwijndrecht, the Netherlands) and bisphenol A
bis(diphenyl phosphate) (BDP) which was obtained from AccuStandard (New Haven,
CT) and c) dyes: Trypan blue (CAS 72-57-1) and Malachite Green (CAS 2437-29-8)
that were obtained from Fluka (Steinheim, Germany).

158 **2.2.** Apparatus

For the analysis of BPA, the LC-MS system used was an AB Sciex 4000 Qtrap® mass 159 160 spectrometer (Foster city, California, USA), with a negative-ion TurboSpray interface 161 coupled to an Agilent 1200 Series LC system (Palo Alto, CA, USA). The stationary 162 phase was a SymmetryShieldTM RP 18 column (particle size 3.5 µm, i.d. 2.1mm, length 50 mm) from Waters (Milford, MA, USA). An Ascentis C18 guard column 163 164 (particle size 3 µm, i.d. 4 mm, length 20 mm) was inserted before the analytical column. 165 Styrene divinylbenzene (DVB) (SDB-XC, disks 47 mm) were obtained from Empore 166 (3M, St Paul, Minnesota, USA). For the analysis of OTA, a liquid chromatographic system (Breeze HPLC, Waters, Milford MA) consisting of a 1525 binary pump, a 717 167 plus automatic injector, a 1500 series column heater and a 2475 multiwavelength 168 fluorescence detector was used. The stationary phase was a Kromasil C₈ (particle size 5 169 µm, i.d. 4 mm, length 25 cm) from Análisis Vínicos (Tomelloso, Spain). A Karl Fischer 170 coulometric tritator from Metrohm (Herisau, Suize) was employed to measure the water 171 172 content in the organic solvent-rich phase after the salting-out process. For sample treatment, an ultracentrifuge MPW-350R (Warsaw, Poland), was used for the 173 174 precipitation of solids in the extracts.

175 2.3. Phase behavior and chemical composition of THF-water-NaCl mixtures

Mixtures (10 mL) of THF and water (0.05-6 M NaCL) were prepared in centrifuge tubes, mixed (30 sec, vortex) and centrifuged (2,000 rpm, 5 min). THF:water ratios varied between 5:95 and 95:5% v/v. Phase behavior was visually determined and, in certain ratios, the volume and composition of the resulting phases were determined. The L-L-S and L-S regions were determined by the NaCl saturation limit. Phase volumes were calculated by measuring their cylindrical volume in the centrifuge tubes (the phase volume height and the internal diameter of the tube were measured with a digital
caliper). Water content (%, w/w) was determined by Karl Fischer coulometric tritation
after proper dilution with methanol. NaCl (%, w/w) was determined gravimetrically
after removal of THF and water by evaporation. THF (%, w/w) was determined by
difference in the ternary mixture THF:water:NaCl.

187 2.4. Determination of OTA in baby food

Cereal-based baby food samples (300 mg) were weighed in a 2 mL Eppendorf 188 microtube. Water (240 µL) and tetrahydrofuran (960 µL) were added to the sample and 189 190 extraction was carried out by vortex shaking for 10 min. Samples were then ultracentrifuged (15,000 rpm, 15°C, 10 min) for precipitation of solids and aliquots of 191 192 20 µL injected in the LC-FL system. The mobile phase consisted in water (A) and acetonitrile (B) containing both 1% v/v of acetic acid at a flow of 1 mL min⁻¹. The LC 193 194 program was as follows: isocratic conditions at 30% B for 5 min, linear gradient from 195 30% to 40% B for 3 min, linear gradient from 40% to 48% B in 15 min, isocratic at 48% for 4.5 min and finally a linear gradient to 100% B in 0.5 min and isocratic at 196 197 100% B for 2 min for elution of hydrophobic matrix components. After that, the ratio was returned to initial conditions for 5 min to re-equilibrate the column for the next 198 199 injection. OTA was monitored at λ_{ex} 334 nm and λ_{em} 460 nm. Quantification was made 200 by external calibration with standards prepared in THF:water 80:20 v/v.

201 2.5. Determination of BPA in urine

202 The urine samples (*n*=8) belonged to pregnant women and children and were previously analyzed by a conventional solid-phase extraction (SPE) method and results published 203 204 elsewhere [31]. Conjugated BPA was analyzed after an enzymatic treatment by adding 625 μ L of the deconjugation solution to 1.25 mL of urine sample containing 5 μ g L⁻¹ of 205 206 internal standard and stored in closed 2 mL glass vials at 37 °C overnight. Samples were then homogenized (vortex) and transferred to Eppendorfs microtubes for 207 208 ultracentrifugation (15,000 rpm, 10 min, 15 °C) and precipitation of solids. Two aliquots 209 of around 1 mL were transferred to 2 mL Eppendorfs tubes. To each aliquot, an amount 210 of 145 mg of NaCl was added and dissolved followed by addition of 650 µL of THF. The samples were vortex-mixed during 5 min and then ultracentrifuged (15,000 rpm, 10 211

min, 15 °C) for phase separation. The upper organic phase of both tubes was evaporated 212 to dryness (N₂, 40°C) and reconstituted with 0.5 mL of methanol:water 50:50 v/v. 213 Aliquots of 30 µL were then injected in the LC-MS system. The mobile phase consisted 214 of water and methanol at a flow rate of 0.4 mL min⁻¹. The gradient elution was 215 216 programmed as follows: linear gradient from 90 to 70% of water for 10 min, linear gradient from 70 to 47% of water for 20 min, then isocratic conditions at 47% of water 217 for 5 min and then reverting to initial conditions allowing 10 min for stabilization. The 218 column and pre-column were operated at 35 °C. Quantitative analyses were performed 219 220 on the Scheduled MRM mode recording the quantitation and confirmation transitions for BPA (227 \rightarrow 132.9; 227 \rightarrow 211.9) and internal standard (239 \rightarrow 144.9; 239 \rightarrow 223.9). 221 The Turbo spray settings were as follows: curtain gas (N₂) 27 psi; ion spray voltage -222 4500 V; temperature 600°C; ion source gas (1) 70 psi and ion source gas (2) 50 psi. Unit 223 224 resolution was used for both Q1 and Q3 quadrupoles. Quantification was made by 225 internal standard solvent calibration and calibration standards prepared in 226 methanol:water 50:50 v/v. BPA concentrations were calculated from the calibration curve obtained by plotting the ratio of analyte peak area to method IS peak area against 227 228 the analyte concentration. Linear regression with a weighing 1/x was selected for 229 quantitation.

With the aim of preventing BPA background contamination, LiChrosolv water was filtered through a Styrene DVB disks and glassware and Eppendorf microtubes were rinsed with methanol several times before their use. As a precautionary measure, an additional column (Water Symmetry® $3.5 \mu m$, $4.6 mm \times 75 mm$) was inserted between the pump and injector in order to trap BPA that could be released from the LC equipment. Contamination blanks were routinely run with each batch of samples and were always below the quantification limit.

237 2.6. Extraction recoveries of other organic contaminants with mixtures THF:water

THF:water mixtures (20, 50 and 80% THF v/v, total volume 1.2 mL) were tested for the extraction of bisphenols (BPs) and phosphorus flame retardants (PFRs) in dust (50 mg, blank sample from a previous study [32]) and OTA in powder milk sample (200 mg, blank sample, bought in a local supermarket in Córdoba, Spain). In the case of milk, water was acidified (0.1M HCl) to favour the precipitation of proteins. BPs and PFRs were fortified at 500 ng g⁻¹ and OTA at 0.5 ng g⁻¹. Samples were vortex shaked for 10 min and then ultracentrifuged (15,000 rpm, 15°C, 10 min). Milk extracts were analysed by LC-FL as described in section 2.4. Dust extracts were diluted 1:1 with methanol and spiked with internal standards (BPS-d₈, BPA-d₆, TPHP-d₁₅, level 200 ng g⁻¹) and aliquots of 5 μ L injected in an LC-MS/MS instrument to estimate the recoveries. Details about the analysis and quantitation of BPS and PFRs can be found in references 32 and 33.

250 Mixtures of THF:tap water (2 M NaCl in water; 30 and 40 % v/v THF, total volume 10 mL) were tested for the extraction of dyes, BPs and PFRs. Tap water was obtained from 251 Córdoba (Spain). For dyes, the sample was fortified either at 50 mg L^{-1} with either 252 Trypan Blue or Cresyl Violet Acetate. The Mixtures were stirred for 10 min (800 rpm) 253 254 and then centrifuged for 15 min (2,000 rpm) to accelerate phase separation. The absorbance of the aqueous solution (after further dilution 1:10 with water) was 255 256 measured in a spectrophotometer (λ = 300-790) for estimating the residual concentration of dyes in the extracted water. Bisphenols and phosphorus flame retardants were 257 fortified at 5 μ g L⁻¹. The samples were vortex-mixed during 5 min and then 258 ultracentrifuged (15,000 rpm, 10 min, 15 °C) for phase separation. The upper organic 259 phase was evaporated to dryness (N^2 , 30°C) and reconstituted with 0.5 mL of methanol 260 (containing 5 μ g L⁻¹ internal standard). Aliquots of 5 μ L were injected in an LC-MS/MS 261 instrument to estimate the recoveries (analysis details in references 32 and 33). 262

263

264 **3. Results and discussion**

265 3.1. Mixtures THF:water for solid-liquid extraction of OTA in baby foods

For the optimization of the extraction of OTA in baby food, cereal samples (0.5 g) were extracted by vortex-mixing for 15 min under different THF:water ratios (total volume of 4 mL). Table 1 shows that the recoveries obtained for OTA were constant for mixtures containing 10-50% v/v water. These values corresponded to Hildebrand values in the range ~11-16 cal^{1/2} cm^{-3/2} and a ratio f_d/f_h in the range of ~1-2. So, both dispersion forces and hydrogen bonds binding interactions play major roles in the extraction of 272 OTA. This behavior is logical given the fact that OTA have both hydrophobic moieties 273 and hydrogen bonds donor/acceptor groups.

274 The mixture composition was also investigated for exclusion of interferences at the 275 lowest THF percentages in order to have the highest possible concentration factors. 276 Clearer extracts and chromatograms with lower background noise were obtained for 277 THF:water ratios of 80:20 compared to those obtained at ratios of 90:10. So, an optimal value of 80:20 v/v THF:water (Hildebrand 12 cal1/2 cm-3/2; $f_h = 32$, $f_p = 20$ and $f_d =$ 278 279 48; $f_d / f_h = 1.5$) was finally selected as optimal as a good balance of dispersion, dipole-280 dipole and hydrogen bond interactions for the extraction of OTA and to avoid the 281 presence of high concentration of lipids, proteins and polysaccharides in the extracts.

282 The ratio of solvent (80:20 THF:water, μ L) to sample (mg) was studied between values of 8:1 and 3:1 and the extraction efficiency kept constant along this range. A ratio 1:4 283 284 (300 mg sample, 960 µL THF, 240 µL water) was selected for a good dispersion of the 285 sample in the extraction solvent during the vortex stirring and to obtain enough volume of extract (~ 0.4 mL). In this way we could operate at a low solvent consumption per 286 287 sample.

Finally, the extraction time (vortex) was studied between 5 and 30 min, being 10 min 288 enough for obtaining maximal recoveries. 289

290

291

3.2. Mixtures THF:water for liquid-liquid extraction of BPA in urine

The production of biphasic systems in aqueous-solvent mixtures under the action of 292 salting-out agents has been widely exploited in bioanalysis [9]. Applications have been 293 294 mainly based on acetonitrile [34-36] because of alcohols and acetone give organic phases with higher water and salt content due to their strong interaction with water 295 through hydrogen bonding [37]. Thus, they usually produce dirty extracts owing to the 296 co-extraction of hydrophilic matrix components. To the best of our knowledge, the 297 salting-out of THF has not been explored in bioanalysis and it is still scarcely 298 299 investigated for analytical purposes, e.g. recent applications have been reported for the determination of diuron in water [27] and sulfonamides in honey [28]. 300

301 3.2.1. Biphasic systems in THF:water:NaCl mixtures: phase behavior, chemical 302 composition and solubility parameters

303 Figure 2 shows the phase diagram obtained for aqueous THF solutions in the presence 304 of increasing concentrations of NaCl, expressed as molar concentration in water. Four regions were obtained as a function of THF and salt concentration. Below the NaCl 305 306 saturation limit (5.75 M, point A in the phase diagram), there were a monophasic region, corresponding to homogeneous solutions of THF and water, and a biphasic 307 308 region, where binary mixtures of these solvents underwent separation and formed two 309 coexisting liquid phases; a THF-rich organic phase at the top and a THF-poor aqueous 310 phase at the bottom. At NaCl concentrations above the saturation limit (point A), further addition of salt resulted in a non-solubilized precipitate, which gave triphasic (L-L-S) 311 312 and biphasic (L-S) regions, respectively. Table S1 shows a power equation to predict the minimal content of THF in the initial solution causing phase separation at different 313 NaCl molar concentrations based on the experimental data. 314

315 In order to gain some insights about the phase behavior of THF-water-NaCl mixtures, the chemical composition of the two coexisting liquid phases was analyzed in ten 316 different points within the biphasic L-L and triphasic L-L-S regions of the phase 317 diagram (points M1-M10 in Figure 2). Table S2 shows the chemical composition of the 318 solution before phase separation (M1-M10) as well as those found for the top organic 319 320 phase (M1T-M10T) and the bottom aqueous phase (M1B-M10B) after phase separation. In all cases, the composition of the THF-rich top phase was quite similar (M1T-M10T). 321 322 Regarding the composition of the aqueous bottom phase, the initial amount of saltingout agent determined the content of THF not undergoing phase separation. Thus, the 323 324 higher the salt content, the lower the percentage of residual THF in the aqueous phase (M1B-M10B). Equations (second order polynomial regression) for the estimation of the 325 326 THF and water content in top and bottom phases were formulated based on the NaCl 327 molar concentration of the initial solution (see Table S1).

The volume of organic phase obtained was dependent on both the initial content of THF and salt, this dependence being more critical as the THF decreased (see Table S2). Thus, the percentage of THF that underwent phase separation ranged from 7 to 60% for samples M1-M3, from 50 to 93% for samples M4-M6 and from 90 to 96% for samples

- M7-M10. This means that the higher the content of THF in the initial solution, the moreeffective solvent separation under the action of the salting-out agent.
- 334 The Hildebrand and Teas parameters were calculated for both the bottom aqueous phase 335 (M1B-M10B) and the top organic phase (M1T-M10T) by averaging their components [10]. The results obtained (Table S3) clearly reflect that the solvency behaviour of the 336 337 top and aqueous phases was quite similar to that of THF and water, respectively (i.e. compare the respective parameter values with those corresponding to the pure solvents 338 339 in the footnote of table S3). Thus, although the increase in salt concentration favoured the salting out of THF to the organic phase and consequently the Hildebrand and f_h 340 341 parameters progressively decreased or increased in the organic and aqueous phase, respectively, all the solubility parameters calculated for both phases were within quite a 342 343 narrow range. So, according to this behaviour, very similar partition coefficients are expected for analytes under application of different THF:water:NaCl ternary mixtures 344 (e.g. M1-M10). On the other hand, preconcentration factors for analytes will be 345 favoured by using the lowest salt and THF concentration since they give the lowest 346 volume of organic phase (see as an example the data included in Table S2). In 347 conclusion, the salting out of THF-water mixtures induced by NaCl follows a 348 349 predictable behaviour. Thus, the composition of the separated phases fit equations that strongly depend on initial salt concentration (table S1), the volume of the organic phase 350 depends on both the salt and THF content in the initial solution (table S2), and the 351 solvency behaviour of both phases varies in a narrow range (table S3). 352

353 3.2.2. Optimization of the extraction of BPA in urine

354 The extraction efficiency for BPA by THF:water mixtures was first investigated in water samples. For this purpose, water spiked with 5 μ g L⁻¹ of BPA was mixed with 355 NaCl (1-6 M) and THF (20-50% v/v, total solution volume 2 mL). Extractions were 356 done in 2mL Eppendorf tubes by vortex-mixing for 5 min. Samples were then 357 358 ultracentrifuged (15,000 rpm, 10 min) and the upper organic phase extracted with a 359 glass Pasteur pipette, made up to 1mL with methanol and its BPA content measured by LC-MS/MS. The internal standard ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -BPA was added (12.5 µg L⁻¹) at this dilution 360 step as injection IS (for correction of possible MS signal fluctuations due to variations 361 362 in MS performance) with the aim of accurately calculate the extraction recoveries.

As shown in Table 2, BPA recoveries were quantitative in the range of THF:water mixtures tested. Results were in agreement with the similar solvency behavior found for the organic and aqueous phases independently of the composition of the initial mixtures (see Table S3). Thus, the upper phase (Hildebrand parameters 10.1-11.7 and f_d/f_h 1.7-1.9) was more hydrophobic than the competing bottom one (Hildebrand parameters 20.2-22.6 and $f_d/f_h \sim 0.5$) but still offered a good balance between dispersion and hydrogen bond interactions for extraction of BPA.

370 Taking into account the high water content of urine (i.e. higher that 95%), phase separation was also observed by mixing urine with THF and salt. The same experiments 371 372 as for water were carried out. Phase behavior of this ternary mixture was similar to that obtained in water, however lower volumes of organic phase were generally obtained 373 and they only approached the volumes found for the THF:water:NaCl mixtures at the 374 375 higher concentrations of THF (see Table 1). The reason was that THF caused the 376 precipitation of urine proteins, which appeared as a white layer at the bottom of the 377 organic phase after the centrifugation step. Due to this phenomenon, the volume of the top organic phase was lower in urine: THF this affecting also the recoveries (see Table 378 379 1). So, a value of 40% of THF was recommended for extraction of BPA in urine in 380 order to ensure quantitative recoveries.

Regarding the ionic strength (1-6 M NaCl), the extraction efficiency slightly increased from 1 (83±1) to 2 M (94±1) and kept constant from here on. A value of 2.5 M NaCl was selected as optimal. The stirring time was optimized between 1 and 10 min. Maximal recovery was obtained after just 1 min, although 5 min was selected to ensure that the extraction equilibrium was reached.

386 3.3. Analytical performance for BPA and OTA determination

The standard solutions for calibration were prepared in THF:water 80:20 v/v for OTA and in methanol:water 50:50 v/v for BPA, the latter containing a concentration of 12.5 μ g L⁻¹ of ¹³C₁₂-BPA. The figures of merits of the methods are summarized in Table S4. The limits of detection and quantification (LODs and LOQs) of the methods were calculated experimentally from blank samples spiked at low levels (0.04-0.5 ng g⁻¹ for OTA and 0.05-0.5 μ g L⁻¹ for BPA) that underwent the optimized extraction protocols. The standard criteria of a signal to noise ratio of 3 and 10 were considered for the calculation of the LODs and LOQs, respectively. LODs and LOQs were 0.1 and 0.2 ng g^{-1} for OTA and 0.1 and 0.2 µg L⁻¹ for BPA. These limits are low enough for the quantification of OTA at the low level established for baby food by the UE (0.5 ng g⁻¹) and for analyzing the BPA levels commonly found in human urine (0.5-20 µg L⁻¹) [11].

The presence of matrix interferences, that could affect the external quantification of OTA, was assessed by the comparison of the slopes of the calibration curves (n = 8) obtained from standards prepared in THF:water with those obtained from extracts of spiked blank from four samples of cereal-based baby food. The differences between the slopes were not statistically significant by applying an appropriate Student's t-test. For the quantification of BPA, the isotope labeled internal standard for BPA was added before the extraction and total recoveries were in the range of 95-108%.

The analytical performance of our method was compared with those reported in the literature (see Tables S6 and S7). Recoveries and precision were within the highest reported values. SUPRAS treatment was advantageous in terms of lower cost and simplicity without the need of further clean-up or multi-steps protocols which are common in many of the reported methods. The organic solvent consumption per sample was reduced to 0.96 mL in baby food (~11-61.6 mL in reported methods) and to 1.3 mL in urine (~3-20 mL in literature).

412 *3.4. Analysis of samples*

Three cereal baby food samples were analyzed before and after spiking at three different concentration levels (0.5, 2 y 5 ng g⁻¹). OTA was only found in one of the samples of cereal food at a concentration of 0.21 ± 0.01 ng g⁻¹. Recoveries were independent on the concentration and were between 96±7 and 109±10 %.

The method for the analysis of BPA was validated with the analysis of eight urine samples by comparison with the results obtained by a standard SPE procedure [31]. As we can see in Table 3 results were not significantly different. Figure S-1 shows the chromatograms of two samples (baby food and urine) containing the target compounds at low levels.

422 3.5. Potential of THF:water mixtures for the extraction of other contaminants

In order to further investigate the potential of THF:water mixtures as tunable solvents 423 424 for a wide variety of compounds and matrices, we investigated extraction recoveries of 425 other five bisphenols (BPS, BPF, BPS-MAE, D8 and TGSA) and two phosphorus flame 426 retardants (TPPH and BDP) in dust and in tap water, two dyes (Trypan Blue and 427 Malachite Green) in tap water and OTA in powder milk. The THF:water ratios tested are based on the previous optimal results for OTA and BPA in baby food and urine, 428 429 respectively. Mixtures of THF:water (20-75% v/v water; extraction phase $f_d/f_h = 0.6-1.5$ see Table 1) were tested for the extraction of solid samples (dust and powder milk). For 430 431 tap water, mixtures of THF:water (NaCl 2M) (60-70% v/v water; extraction organic phase $f_d/f_h \sim 1.7-1.8$; aqueous phase $f_d/f_h \sim 0.5$) were assessed. These compounds greatly 432 varied in polarity (see Table S5) from highly hydrophobic compounds (BDP, log P 433 434 10.4) to medium polar compounds (BPS, log P 1.9) and an anionic (Trypan Blue, log P -1.35) and a cationic compound (Malachite Green, log P 0.62). Recovery results are 435 shown in Tables S8 and S9. Recoveries for Trypan Blue and Malachite Green in water 436 are not included and were below 15% in all the conditions tested. 437

OTA was extracted quantitatively from milk at 80% v/v THF ($f_d/f_h= 1.5$). Results were 438 439 similar than those obtained for cereal-baby food (Table 1), although recoveries dropped 440 faster with the decrease in THF. This is probably due to the need of a high organic 441 solvent percentage for the efficient precipitation of matrix proteins. BPs and PFRs were 442 extracted quantitatively from dust in the whole range of THF tested being the optimal at 443 80% v/v THF too (mean recoveries 91-110%). Regarding the salting-out extraction of tap water, recoveries of BPs and PFRs were maximum at 40:60 v/v THF:water (2M 444 NaCl) with mean recoveries values in the range 70-90% (extraction organic phase f_d/f_h 445 446 ~1.8-1.9).

In general, it can be observed that THF:water mixtures are suitable for medium polar to highly hydrophobic compounds (log P 1.9-10.4). For the most hydrophobic compounds of each class of contaminant recoveries were better at higher f_d/f_h ratios, e.g. for BPS-MAE in dust (log P 4.2) mean recoveries decreased from 91±4 at 80% v/v THF (f_d/f_h = 1.5) to 75±1% at 25% v/v THF (f_d/f_h = 0.6). The extraction efficiency of the most polar compounds of each class were less affected, e.g. recoveries for BPS in dust (log P 1.9) were 95 ± 3 at 80% v/v THF and 92 ± 7 at 25% v/v THF, respectively. Ionic dyes were hardly extracted (recoveries below 15%) in the hydrophobic organic phase of the THF:water (2 M NaCl) mixture, so that ionic polar interferences are not expected to be co-extracted in these processes, which could be advantageous for clean-up purposes.

457 **4. Conclusions**

Tunable solvents can be easily obtained from aqueous organic mixtures featuring substantial differences in dielectric constants and Hildebrand solubility parameters. Values for fractional solubility parameters in the mixture can be modulated in terms of dispersion, polar and/or hydrogen bonding forces by proper choice of the organic solvent and the proportion of their components. In this paper, this strategy has been explored for tetrahydrofuran-water mixtures that have been proved to provide suitable extraction methods for ochratoxin A in cereal baby food and bisphenol A in urine.

Study of the chemical composition of the mixtures in the biphasic systems resulting under addition of salting-out agents, as well as the determination of Teas solubility parameters allow the understanding of the partition of contaminants between phases, thus avoiding the optimization of extraction parameters exclusively based on trial-anderror tests. Likewise, phase behavior of biphasic systems can be predicted through proper equations.

There are many other miscible aqueous organic mixtures, such as dioxane-water, where great differences in their Teas solubility parameters exist and that are also worthy of investigation.

474

475 Acknowledgments

Authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from Spanish MINECO (Project
CTQ2017-83823-R). A. Ballesteros-Gómez acknowledges the funding from Spanish
Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities for a Ramón y Cajal contract (RYC2015-18482).

480 **Conflict of interest**

481 Authors declare no conflict of interest

482 **References**

[1] Clark, K.D., Zhang, C., Anderson, J.L. Sample Preparation for Bioanalytical and
Pharmaceutical Analysis. Anal. Chem. 88 (2016) 11262–11270. doi:
10.1021/acs.analchem.6b02935

[2] Kole P.L., Venkatesh, G, Kotecha, J., Sheshala, R. Recent advances in sample
preparation techniques for effective bioanalytical methods. Biomed. Chromatogr. 25
(2011) 199–217. doi: 10.1002/bmc.1560.

- [3] Yamini, Y., Rezazadeh, M., Seidi, S. Liquid-phase microextraction The different
 principles and configurations. Trends Anal. Chem. 112 (2019) 264-272.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.06.010
- [4] Zougagh, M., Valcárcel, M. Ríos, A. Supercritical fluid extraction: a critical review
 of its analytical usefulness. Trends Anal. Chem. 23 (2004)399-405.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-9936(04)00524-2
- [5] Clark, K.D., Nacham, O., Purslow, J.A., Pierson, S.A., Anderson J.L. Magnetic
 ionic liquids in analytical chemistry: A review. Anal. Chim. Acta, 2016, 934, 9-21.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2016.06.011
- [6] Ballesteros-Gomez, A., Lunar, L., Sicilia, M.D., Rubio, S. Hyphenating
 Supramolecular Solvents and Liquid Chromatography: Tips for Efficient Extraction and
 Reliable Determination of Organics, Chromatographia 82 (2019) 111-124.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-018-3614-1
- 502 [7] Shishov, A.Bulatov, A. Locatelli, M., Carradori, S. Andruch, V. Application of deep
 503 eutectic solvents in analytical chemistry. A review. Microchem. J. 135 (2017) 33-38.
 504 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2017.07.015
- 505 [8] Pollet, P., Hart, R.J., Eckert, C.A., Liotta, C. L. Organic aqueous tunable solvents
- 506 (OATS): A vehicle for coupling reactions and separations. Acc. Chem. Res., 43 (2010)
- 507 1237–1245. https://doi.org/10.1021/ar100036j

- 508 [9] Tang, Y.Q., Weng, N. Salting-out assisted liquid–liquid extraction for bioanalysis.
 509 Bioanalysis. 5 (2013) 1583–1598. https://doi.org/10.4155/bio.13.117.
- 510 [10] J. Burke, in: B. Kanegsberg, E. Kanegsberg (Eds.), Handbook for Critical
 511 Cleaning, CRC Press, New York, 2000, p. 21
- [11] Huang, R.P., Liu, Z.H., Yin, H., Dang, Z., Wu, P.X., Azhu, N.W., Lin, Z. 512 Bisphenol A concentrations in human urine, human intakes across six continents, and 513 annual trends of average intakes in adult and child populations worldwide: A thorough 514 515 literature review. Sci Total Environ 626 (2018)971-981. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.144 516
- [12] Commission regulation (EC) No. 1881/2006 of 19 December (2006) setting
 maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. Official Journal of the European
 Union, L364:5–24.
- [13] Kabak, B. Determination of aflatoxins and ochratoxin A in retail cereal products
 from Turkey by high performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection.
 Food Control 28(2012)1-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.04.043
- [14] Soleimany, F., Jinapa, S., Abas, F. Determination of mycotoxins in cereals by
 liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. Food. Chem. 130 (2012) 1055-1060.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.07.131
- [15] Kresse, M., Drinda, H., Romanotto, A., Speer, K. Simultaneous determination of
 pesticides, mycotoxins, and metabolites as well as other contaminants in cereals by LCLC-MS/MS. J. Chromatogr. B, 1117 (2019) 86-102.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2019.04.013
- [16] Zinedine, A., Blesa J., Mahnine, N., El Abidi, A., Montesano, D., Mañes, J.
 Pressurized liquid extraction coupled to liquid chromatography for the analysis of
 ochratoxin A in breakfast and infants cereals from Morocco. Food Control 21 (2010)
 132-135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2009.04.009
- 534 [17] Osnaya, L.G., del Castillo, J.M.S., Cortes, J.C.M., Vinuesa, J.M. Extraction and 535 analysis of ochratoxin A in bread using pressurised liquid extraction and liquid

- 536 chromatography. J. Chromatogr. A 1113 (2006) 32-36.
 537 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2006.01.130
- [18] Juan, C., Moltó, J.C., Lino, C.M., Mañes, J. Determination of ochratoxin A in
 organic and non-organic cereals and cereal products from Spain and Portugal. Food
 Chem. 107 (2008) 525-530. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.08.019
- 541 [19] Rubert, J., Soler, C., Mañes, J. Optimization of Matrix Solid-Phase Dispersion
- method for simultaneous extraction of aflatoxins and OTA in cereals and its application
 to commercial samples. Talanta 82 (2010) 567.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2010.05.008.
- 545 [20] Ozden, S., Akdeniz, A.S., Alpertunga, B. Occurrence of ochratoxin A in cereal-
- derived food products commonly consumed in Turkey. Food Control (2012) 25:69-74.
- 547 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2011.10.015
- [21] Chen M, Tao L, Collins EM, Austin C, Lu C. Simultaneous determination of
 multiple phthalate metabolites and bisphenol-A in human urine by liquid
 chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. B 904 (2012) 73-80.
- [22] Pouech, C. Kiss, A., Florent, L., Léonard, D., Wiest, L., Cren-Olivé, C., Vulliet, E.
 Human exposure assessment to a large set of polymer additives through the analysis of
 urine by solid phase extraction followed by ultra-high performance liquid
 chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry, 1423 (2015) 111-123.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2015.10.091
- 556 [23] Gallart-Ayala, H., Moyano, E., Galceran, M.T. On-line solid phase extraction fast liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry for the analysis of bisphenol A and 557 (2010)558 chlorinated derivatives in water samples, 1217 3511-3518. its https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2010.03.028 559
- [24] Schöringhumer, K., Cichna-Markl, M. Sample clean-up with sol-gel enzyme and
 immunoaffinity columns for the determination of bisphenol A in human urine J.
 Chromatogr. B 850 (2007) 361-369. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jchromb.2006.12.002
- [25] Mei, S., Wu, D., Jiang, M., Lu, B., Lim, J.M., Zhou, Y.K., Lee, Y.I. Determination
 of trace bisphenol A in complex samples using selective molecularly imprinted solid-

- phase extraction coupled with capillary electrophoresis. Microchem. J. 98 (2011) 150155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2011.01.003
- [26] Nicolucci, C, Rossi, S., Menale, C., del Giudice, E.M., Perrone, L., Gallo, P., Mita,
 D.G., Diano, N. A high selective and sensitive liquid chromatography–tandem mass
 spectrometry method for quantization of BPA urinary levels in children. Anal. Bioanal.
 Chem. 405 (2013) 9139–9148. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-013-7342-y
- [27] Sousa, K.M., Merlo, L.H.Z., Marques, M.N., Cavalcanti, E.B., Souza, R.L., Soares,
 C.M.F., Lima, A.S. Partitioning of diuron in a novel aqueous two-phase system based
 on polyols and tetrahydrofuran. Fluid Phase Equilib. 429 (2016) 325-330.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2016.08.012
- [28] Kadziński, L., Banasiuk, R., Baneckia, B. Determination of ten sulfonamides in
 honey using tetrahydrofuran Salting Out Liquid Liquid Extraction and monolithic silica
 column. LWT Food Sci. Technol. 96 (2018) 7–12.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2018.05.007
- [29] Hirayama, N., Higo, T., Imura, H. Salting-out Phase Separation System of Water–
 Tetrahydrofuran with Co-using 1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium Chloride and Sodium
 Chloride for Possible Extraction Separation of Chloro-complexes. Solvent Extr. Res.
 Dev., Jpn. 21 (2014) 71-76. https://doi.org/10.15261/serdj.21.71
- [30] Xie, Y., Yu, F., Wang, Y., He, X., Zhou, S., Cui, H. Salt effect on liquid-liquid
 equilibria of tetrahydrofuran/water/5-hydroxymethylfurfural systems, Fluid Phase
 Equilib. 493 (2019) 137-143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fluid.2019.04.018
- 586 [31] Casas, M., Valvi, D., Luque, N., Ballesteros-Gomez, A., Carsin, A.E., Fernandez, M.F., Koch, H.M., Mendez, M.A., Sunyer, J., Rubio, S., Vrijheid, M. Dietary and 587 sociodemographic determinants of bisphenol A urine concentrations in pregnant women 588 children. Environ. Int. (2013)589 and 56 10-18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2013.02.014 590
- [32] Dueñas-Mas, M.J., Ballesteros-Gómez, A., Rubio S. Supramolecular solvent-based
 microextraction of emerging bisphenol A replacements (colour developers) in indoor
 dust from public environments. Chemosphere 222 (2019) 22-28.

- [33] Björnsdotter, M.K., Romera-García, E. Borrull, J., de Boer, J. Rubio, S.,
 Ballesteros-Gómez, A. Presence of diphenyl phosphate and aryl-phosphate flame
 retardants in indoor dust from different microenvironments in Spain and the
 Netherlands and estimation of human exposure. Environ. Int. 112 (2018) 59-67.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2017.11.028
- [34] Wang, M., Cai, Z., Xu, L. Coupling of acetonitrile deproteinization and salting-out
 extraction with acetonitrile stacking in chiral capillary electrophoresis for the
 determination of warfarin enantiomers. J. Chromatogr. A 1218 (2011) 4045–4051.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2011.04.067.
- [35] Song, S., Ediage, E.N., Wu, A., Saeger, S.D. Development and application of
 salting-out assisted liquid/liquid extraction for multi-mycotoxin biomarkers analysis in
 pig urine with high performance liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry. J.
 Chromatogr. A 1292 (2013) 111–120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2012.10.071.
- [36] Ahmed, O.S., Ladner, Y., Montels, J., Philibert, L., Perrin, C. Coupling of saltingout assisted liquid–liquid extraction with on-linestacking for the analysis of tyrosine
 kinase inhibitors in human plasma by capillary zone electrophoresis. J. Chromatogr. A,
 1579 (2018) 121–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2018.10.017
- [37] Bastos, M.L., Kananen, G.E., Young, R.M., Monforte, J.R., Sunshine, I. Detection
 of basic organic drugs and their metabolites in urine. Clin. Chem. 16 (1970) 931–940.

Water	THF	R±SD ^a	δ	\mathbf{f}_{d}	f_p	$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{h}}$	f_d/f_h
(%,v/v)	(%,v/v)	(%)					
0	100	31±10	9.5	55	19	26	2.1
10	90	97±9	10.9	51.6	19.3	29.1	1.7
20	80	98±7	12.3	48.2	19.4	32.2	1.5
30	70	100±2	13.6	44.8	19.6	35.3	1.3
50	50	97±2	16.4	38.0	20.5	44.5	0.9
75	25	63±2	19.8	29.5	21.3	49.3	0.6
100	0	21±1	23.3	21	22	57	0.4

Table 1. OTA extraction recoveries (R) in cereal baby food with different mixtures THF:water, and Hildebrand (δ , cal^{1/2} cm^{-3/2}) and Teas fractional (f_d f_p f_h) parameters

an=3, sample size:0.5 g; THF:water total volume: 4 mL; extraction time (vortex): 15 min

THF (%,v/v)	Wat	er	Urine			
	Recovery (%)	Volume	Recovery (%)	Volume (µL)		
	$\pm SD^{a}$	$(\mu L) \pm SD^a$	$\pm SD^{a}$	$\pm SD^{a}$		
20	88±7	75±7	22±15	30±14		
30	85±6	475±30	78±7	260±14		
40	95±3	810±25	95±3	625±35		
50	100±4	900±35	101±1	860±56		

 Table 2. BPA extraction recoveries and organic phase volume after *salting-out*

 separation with different mixtures THF:water/urine (3M NaCl)

THF:water or THF:urine total volume: 2 mL;^{*a*} n=3; extraction time (vortex): 5 min

F []		
Sample code	Microextraction	SPE
1	2.3±0.2	2.3±0.1
2	1.0±0.1	0.7±0.1
3	3.0±0.2	3.1±0.2
4	0.60 ± 0.05	0.6±0.1
5	4.7±0.4	4.6±0.2
6	1.5±0.1	1.51±0.04
7	2.4±0.1	2.31±0.08
8	2.1±0.3	2.2±0.1

Table 3. BPA (μ g L⁻¹) in urine samples (±SD, *n*=3) under the optimal THF:water (NaCl) microextraction method and the standard SPE protocol [31]

n=3, non-significant variances between both methods based on appropriate ttest (homogeneous variance, t_{exp}:0.02-0.6; t_{cri}:2.78); extraction with 2 mL diluted urine (625 µL deconjugation solution + 1.25 mL of sample), 1.3 mL THF and 290 mg NaCl. Samples were vortex-mixed (5 min) and ultracentrifuged (15,000 rpm, 10 min)



Figure captions

- **Figure 1.** Teas graph showing the Hildebrand solubility (δ) and fractional solubility (f_{d} , f_p and f_h) parameters for different THF:water mixtures: (a) 100:0, (b) 90:10, (c) 80:20, (d) 70:30, (e) 50:50, (f) 25:75 and (g) 0:100.
- Figure 2. Phase diagram for THF:water (% v/v) solutions in the presence of NaCl (M)
 in water showing four regions (L, L-L, L-S and L-L-S), the NaCl saturation limit (point
 A) and the composition of the initial THF-water-NaCl mixtures subjected to further
 characterization (M1-M10).



Figure 1



Figure 2

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Tunable solvency mixtures of tetrahydrofuran:water for efficient and fast extraction/cleanup of trace contaminants

Authors: Ana Ballesteros-Gómez*, Soledad Rubio

Address: Department of Analytical Chemistry. Institute of Fine Chemistry and Nanochemistry. Universidad de Córdoba. Campus de Rabanales. 14071-Córdoba. Spain

*corresponding author: e-mail: ana.ballesteros@uco.es

Table S1. Equations for prediction of the minimum THF content required for salting-out in THF:water mixtures, the composition (water and THF contents) of the resulting phases and for the relationship between THF and NaCl contents along the phase diagram

Dependent variable	Equation	\mathbf{R}^2	Independent variables
Mimimum THF content required for salting-out (THF _{minimum} , % v/v in binary mix water:THF)	$\text{THF}_{\text{minimum}} = 28.321 \cdot \text{NaCl}_0^{-0.601}$	0.97	NaCl ₀ (M in water, initial solution)
THF content (% w/w) of the bottom phase after salting-out (THF_B)	$THF_{B} = 0.426 \bullet NaCl_{0}^{2} - 5.900$ • NaCl_{0} + 25.326	0.96	NaCl ₀ (M in water, initial solution)
THF content (% w/w) of the top phase after salting-out (THF_T)	$\begin{split} THF_{T} &= -0.497 \bullet Na{Cl_{0}}^{2} + 5.729 \\ \bullet NaCl_{0} &+ 76.975 \end{split}$	0.95	NaCl ₀ (M in water, initial solution)
Water content (% w/w) of the bottom phase after salting-out (water _B)	water _B = $-0.289 \cdot \text{NaCl}_{0}^{2} + 0.890 \cdot \text{NaCl}_{0} + 75.386$	0.91	NaCl ₀ (M in water, initial solution)
Water content (% w/w) of the top phase after salting-out (water _T)	water _T = $0.576 \cdot \text{NaCl}_0^2 - 6.361$ • NaCl ₀ + 22.269	0.96	NaCl ₀ (M in water, initial solution)

^a Co	mpositi	on befor	e phase	separa	tion			Compos	sition afte	er phase s	eparation			Organic	Phased-
						L	Aqueous ph	ase (bottom)	1		Organic	phase (top)		phase (mI)	out THF
														(IIIL)	(%)
Point	NaCl (M)	THF :water	NaCl (w/w)	THF (w/w)	Water (w/w)	Point	NaCl (w/w)	THF (w/w)	Water (w/w)	Point	NaCl (w/w)	THF (w/w)	Water (w/w)		
M1	2	20:80	8.7	16.6	74.7	M1B	9±1	15.80±0.04	75±6	M1T	1.9±0.2	85.9±0.2	12±1	0.14±0.02	7
M2	4	20:80	16.1	15.3	68.7	M2B	17±2	8.78±0.03	74±5	M2T	1.6±0.3	91.9±0.3	6.5 ± 0.7	1.01 ± 0.03	50
M3	6	20:80	22.3	14.1	63.6	M3B	24.3±0.8	6.54 ± 0.01	69±5	M3T	1.23±0.04	94.20±0.04	4.6±0.5	1.2±0.1	60
M4	2	30:70	7.8	25.5	66.7	M4B	9±1	16.59±0.04	74±6	M4T	2.01±0.09	85.5±0.7	12.5±0.7	1.51±0.06	50
M5	4	30:70	14.5	23.6	61.9	M5B	17±3	7.54 ± 0.02	75±8	M5T	1.71 ± 0.05	91.7±0.4	6.6 ± 0.2	2.40±0.07	80
M6	6	30:70	20.2	22.0	57.7	M6B	25.3±0.4	3.34±0.01	71±5	M6T	1.5±0.1	93.20±0.03	5.3±0.5	2.80±0.05	93
	4	50.50	2.0	45.5	51.0		4.95 0.02	10.50.0.01			1 00 0 02	01.0.0.1	17.1 0.0	15.00	0.0
M7	I	50:50	3.0	45.7	51.3	M/B	4.25±0.03	19.50±0.01	76±4	M/1	1.09 ± 0.03	81.8±0.1	17.1±0.8	4.5±0.2	90
M8	2	50:50	5.8	44.3	49.8	M8B	9±1	13.96±0.05	77±7	M8T	1.4 ± 0.3	88.7±0.3	9.9±0.1	4.51±0.04	90
M9	4	50:50	11.0	41.9	47.1	M9B	17±3	8.87 ± 0.05	74 ± 8	M9T	2.5 ± 0.3	91.7±0.7	5.8 ± 0.7	4.7 ± 0.1	94
M10	6	50:50	15.6	39.7	44.6	M10B	23±1	6.05 ± 0.01	71±7	M10T	2.5±0.1	93.1±0.1	4.4 ± 0.7	4.80±0.04	96

Table S2. THF, water and NaCl (%. w/w) in the aqueous and upper organic phase under different initial mixture composition

^a Solution volume = 10 mL

Initi	Initial mixture			Aqueous phase (bottom)				Organic phase (top)				
cor	npositio	n										
Point	NaCl	THF:	Point	f _d	fp	f _h	Hildebrand	Point	f _d	fp	f _h	Hildebrand
	(M)	water										
M1	2	20:80	M1B	27.5	21.4	51.1	20.7	M1T	51.2	19.3	29.5	11.0
M2	4	20:80	M2B	25.0	21.6	53.3	21.7	M2T	53.0	19.2	27.8	10.3
M3	6	20:80	M3B	24.3	21.7	54.0	22.0	M3T	53.6	19.1	27.3	10.1
M4	2	30:70	M4B	27.8	21.4	50.8	20.5	M4T	51.1	19.3	29.6	11.1
M5	4	30:70	M5B	24.5	21.7	53.8	21.9	M5T	53.0	19.2	27.9	10.3
M6	6	30:70	M6B	22.7	21.8	55.4	22.6	M6T	53.4	19.1	27.5	10.2
M7	1	50:50	M7B	28.6	21.3	50.1	20.2	M7T	49.7	19.5	30.9	11.7
M8	2	50:50	M8B	26.7	21.5	51.8	21.0	M8T	51.9	19.3	28.8	10.8
M9	4	50:50	M9B	25.0	21.6	53.4	21.7	M9T	53.2	19.2	27.6	10.2
M10	6	50:50	M10B	24.0	21.7	54.3	22.1	M10T	53.6	19.1	27.3	10.1

Table S3. Teas fractional (f_d , f_p , f_h) and Hildebrand (cal^{1/2} cm^{-3/2}) parameters in the aqueous and upper organic phase under different initial mixture composition

Teas parameters: water $f_d = 21$, $f_p = 22$, $f_h = 57$; THF: $f_d = 55$, $f_p = 19$, $f_h = 26$; Hildebrand parameter: water: 23.3 cal^{1/2} cm^{-3/2}; THF= 9.5 cal^{1/2} cm^{-3/2}

terear-based b	cerear-based baby rood										
	Instrumental	Instrumental calibration R ²	Method LOD	Method LOO	Precision (RSD %) ^a	_					
	$(\mu g L^{-1})$	(<i>n</i> =7)	LOD	202	(102, 70)						
BPA	0.25-50	0.998	0.1 µg L ⁻¹	0.2 μg L ⁻¹	6%						
OTA	0.02-50	0.998	0.1 ng g ⁻¹	0.2 ng g^{-1}	5%						
0						_					

Table S4. Analytical performance of the methods for the analysis of BPA in urine and OTA in cereal-based baby food

^aThe precision of the methods was evaluated by extracting eleven independent blank samples that were spiked with 0.5 ng g⁻¹ of OTA or 2.5 μ g L⁻¹ of BPA

Compound, CAS	Molecular structure	logP
4,4'-Sulfonyldiphenol (BPS) 80-09-1	но	1.9 ^b
4,4'-Methylenediphenol (BPF) <i>620-92-8</i>	HO	2.91 ^a 2.9 ^b
4-(4-propan-2-yloxyphenyl) sulfonylphenol (D-8) <i>95235-30-6</i>	HO-CH ₃	3 ^b
4,4'-(propane-2,2-diyl) diphenol (BPA) <i>80-05-7</i>	но СН3 ОН	3.32 ^a 3.3 ^b
4-(4-hydroxy-3-prop-2-enylphenyl)sulfonyl-2-prop-2-enylphenol (TGSA) <i>41481-66-7</i>		4.1 ^b
4-(4-phenylmethoxyphenyl) sulfonylphenol (BPS-MAE) 97042-18-7		4.2 ^b
Triphenyl phosphate (TPHP) 115-86-6		4.6 ^b
Ochratoxin A (OTA) 303-47-9		4.74 ^a 4.7 ^b
Bisphenol A bis(diphenyl phosphate) (BDP) 5945-33-5		10.8 ^b
Malachite green 2437-29-8		0.62ª
Trypan Blue 72-57-1		-1.35 ^b

Table S5. Other organic contaminants investigated in this study (name and CAS, structure, and logP)

LogP values obtained from PubChem, ^aexperimental, ^bcalculated

Sample size	Extraction conditions	Clean-up and/or further preparation steps	Total solvent consumption per sample	Mean recovery	Precision	Ref.
50 g	100 mL of acetonitrile water (6:4 v/v); 1 min at high speed (waring blender)	Filtration (filter paper) and dilution of 10mL extract with PBS buffer; filtration (glass microfiber filter) and immunoaffinity column clean-up; evaporation and reconstitution to 1 mL of mobile phase	~61.6 mL	91-92%	<12%	[13]
10 g	40 mL of acetonitrile:water:acetic acid (79:20:1 v/v/v); 60 min orbital shaker	Centrifugation (10 min, 3,000 rpm); dilution 1:1 v/v with acetonitrile:water:acetic acid (20:79:1 v/v/v) and filtration (0.22 μ m)	~31.6 mL	87-88%	<13%	[14]
5 g	20 mL of acetonitrile:water (80:20 v/v); vortexing (2800 rpm); soaking (20 min); 30 min with overhead shaker	Centrifugation (3 min, 3,000 rpm); dilution 1:1 v/v with acetonitrile and filtration (0.2 μ m)	16 mL	70-120%	<20%	[15]
10 g	Pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) with acetonitrile: water ($80:20 \text{ v/v}$) at 40 °C 500 psi and 5 min cycle.	-	Not specified	82%	<11%	[16]
2.5 g	PLE with methanol at 80 °C, 2000 psi and a 5-min cycle.	12 mL extract was evaporated to dryness (rotavapor) and re-dissolved in 2 mL of methanol; this extract was evaporated at 55 °C (N_2) and taken to a final volume of 0 5mL	Not specified	92%	5%	[17]
2.5 g	Matrix solid-phase dispersion (MSPD); 1.5 g C_8 mixed with sample for 5 min using a pestle. Extract packed on a glass column and OTA was eluted with 20 mL methanol:formic acid (99:1 v/v).	Evaporation to 3 mL, filtration (0.45 μ m) and centrifugation (5,000 rpm, 10 min); further filtration and concentration to 0.5 mL (N2 at 45 °C)	~ 20mL	78-89%	4%	[18]
1 g	MSPD; 1 g C_{18} mixed with sample for 5 min using a pestle. Extract packed on a glass column and OTA was eluted with 10 mL acetonitrile	Evaporation to dryness at 35 °C (N_2) and reconstitution to 1 mL with acetonitrile; filtration 0.45 μ m.	~11 mL	64-91%	<19%	[19]
0.3 g	THF:water (20:80 v/v)	Vortex shaking (10 min) and centrifugation (15,000 rpm, 15°C, 10 min)	0.96 mL	96-109%	5%	This study

Table S6. Analytical features of reported methods for the determination of OTA in cereal food in comparison with the present study

Sample size	Extraction conditions	Clean-up and/or further preparation steps ^a	Total solvent consumption per sample	Mean recovery	Precision	Ref.
0.2 mL	Solid-phase extraction (C_{18}); conditioning with methanol and water, washing with water, elution with 1 mL acetonitrile.	Evaporation to dryness (40°C, N ₂), reconstitution with 0.15 mL 0.1% acetic acid in acetonitrile:water (1:9 v/v)	≥3 mL	95-100%	4-6%	[21]
5 mL	Addition of 0.1 mL isopropanol and SPE (polymeric sorbent Strata-X); conditioning with dichloromethane, methanol and water, washing with water, elution with methanol:dichloromethane (70:30 v/vv)	Evaporation to dryness and reconstitution with 0.1 mL methanol:water (80:20 v/v)	20 mL	87-88%	<13%	[22]
1 mL	Online SPE (C_{18} fused core column); conditioning with methanol:water (5:95 v/v), chromatographic separation with acetonitrile:methanol:water (in gradient mode)	-	~ 4 mL	85-100%	3-14%	[23]
10 mL	Immunoaffinity column (for free BPA); washing with of acetonitrile-water (5:95 v/v), elution with acetonitrile:water (40:60 v/v)	Previous dilution of sample with 1.5 mL PBS and pH adjustment to 7.2-7.4; final evaporation to 1 mL (N_2).	~10 mL	78%	3.4%	[24]
3mL	Molecularly imprinted polymer (MIP-SPE); conditioning with methanol (2% acetic acid v/v) and water, washing with water:acetonitrile(40:60 v/v), and elution with methanol.	Concentration up to a final volume of 0.5 mL at 45 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$	~15.4 mL	>94%	≤8.1 %	[26]
1.25 mL	THF:water (20:80 v/v)	Vortex shaking (5 min) and centrifugation (15,000 rpm, 15°C, 10 min)	1.3 mL	95-108%	6%	This study

Table S7. Analytical features of reported methods for the determination of BPA in urine in comparison with the present study

^aAn enzymatic treatment step for determination of for free BPA is included in most methods

Water (%,v/v)	THF (%,v/v)	$f_d/f_h^{\ b}$	OTA ^c	BPS	BPF	D8	BPA	TGSA	BPS- MAE	TPPH	BDP
20	80	1.5	101±4	95±3	104±5	92±4	98±11	99±5	91±4	110±2	109±1
50	50	0.9	65±3	92±3	107±4	84 ± 2	100±3	88±5	82±2	110±1	93±5
75	25	0.6	35±3	92±7	108±4	85±3	106±4	75±8	75±1	92±10	86±10

Table S8. Extraction recoveries ±SD^a of OTA in powder milk (200 mg) and bisphenols and aryl-phosphate flame retardants in dust (50mg) with mixtures of THF:water (total volume 1 mL).

 ${}^{a}n=3$, ${}^{b}water in extraction solvent acidified with 0.1M HCl; extraction time (vortex): 15 min,$

Table S9. Extraction recoveries ±SD^a of and bisphenols and aryl-phosphate flame retardants with mixtures of THF:tap water (NaCl 2 M) (total solution volume 10 mL)

Water (%,v/v)	THF (%,v/v)	$f_d / f_h^{\ b}$	BPS	BPF	D8	BPA	TGSA	BPS- MAE	TPPH	BDP
70	30	~1.7	72±5	57±2	79±5	69±4	81±5	82±5	72±10	60±10
60	40	~1.7-1.8	74±5	70±4	82±5	83±5	80±4	84±5	90±3	80±7

an=3, extraction time (vortex): 5 min

Figure S1. (A) LC-ESI(-)-MS/MS extracted ion chromatogram of BPA in a urine sample extract and (B) LC-FL chromatogram of a cereal baby food sample extract showing OTA (fortified sample).

