Highlights

- Genetic variation of *Quercus ilex* promotes differences in drought tolerance among ecotypes
- *Q. ilex* ecotypes, grown under identical environmental conditions, exhibit significant variation in morphological and physiological traits.
- Variables related to seasonal precipitations and evapotranspiration showed the higher correlation with ecotypes response.

The Authors: Rafael M^a Navarro-Cerrillo; Francisco José Ruiz Gómez, Roberto J. Cabrera-Puerto, Rafael Sánchez–Cuesta; Guillermo Palacios Rodriguez, José Luis Quero Pérez

1	Growth and physiological sapling responses of eleven Quercus ilex ecotypes
2	under identical environmental conditions
3	
4	Rafael M ^a Navarro-Cerrillo ^{1*} ; Francisco J. Ruiz Gómez ¹ , Roberto J. Cabrera-Puerto ¹ ,
5	Rafael Sánchez–Cuesta ¹ ; Guillermo Palacios Rodriguez ¹ , José Luis Quero Pérez ¹
6	¹ Department of Forest Engineering, Laboratory of Dendrochronology, Silviculture and
7	Global Change-DendrodatLab- ERSAF. University of Cordoba. Campus de Rabanales, Crta.
8	IV, km. 396, E-14071 Cordoba. Spain.
9	
10	*To whom correspondence may be addressed.
11	Rafael M. Navarro-Cerrillo
12	University of Cordoba
13	Crta. IV, km. 396, 14071, Cordoba, Spain
14	E-mail: rmnavarro@uco.es Phone: 0034 957218657

16 Abstract

Studies with holm oak indicate that genetic variation may result in substantial differences in 17 drought tolerance among its ecotypes. However, few trials have studied this variation under 18 common environmental conditions. This study aimed to assess physiological and 19 20 morphological responses of holm oak saplings for 11 ecotypes that represent a longitudinal transect across south-central Spain (Andalusia). Drought resistance was assessed by 21 measuring growth, xylem water potential, chlorophyll fluorescence, and photosynthesis. 22 Possible relationships among morphological and physiological responses across ecotypes 23 were determined using Pearson product-moment correlations and multiple linear regressions. 24 The response variables were used in multivariate analyses including discriminant function 25 analysis, principal component and cluster analyses. Last, we used sparse Partial Least Squares 26 regression (sPLS) to analyse the relationships between the morpho-physiological responses 27 and biophysical parameters of the parent locations. Our results indicate that O. ilex ecotypes 28 growing in a common garden setting exhibited substantial variation in morphological and 29 physiological traits. At the end of the growth trial (65 months post-planting), basal diameter, 30 leaf area, and midday water potential were higher in Q. ilex ecotypes from western sites 31 compared to eastern sites across Andalusia. PCA and clustering revealed clear morphological 32 and physiological differentiation in response to gradients of geographical and ecological 33 variation in ecotype origin. Variables that were related to the water regime of the ecotypes, 34 such as seasonal precipitation and evapotranspiration, showed stronger correlations with 35 ecotype responses. Consequently, eastern ecotypes were more likely to spread in response to 36 projected increases in temperatures and declines in summer precipitation; however, western 37 ecotypes would likely decrease in response to hotter and drier summers. 38

- 39 Key words: Drought tolerance, provenance, chlorophyll fluorescence, water potential, holm
- 40 oak, afforestation

42 Introduction

The holly or holm oak (Quercus ilex) grows in parts of southern Europe, Asia Minor, and 43 North Africa, surrounding the Mediterranean Sea. Forests of this evergreen species grow on a 44 range of substrates and in semi-arid and sub-humid climates of the Mediterranean region, 45 although their optimal development occurs under annual rainfall regimes of 350-700 mm and 46 mean minimum temperatures ranging between -2 and 10 °C (Barbero et al., 1992). Total 47 forest cover is estimated to be about 3.9 million hectares, and has great ecological importance 48 in the southern Mediterranean Basin (García-Nogales et al., 2016). Holm oak populations are 49 often fragmented, resulting in prolonged isolation and complex geographic patterns of genetic 50 variation (Guzmán et al., 2015). Mediterranean savanna-like agrosylvopastoral systems 51 (dehesas in Spain, montados in Portugal and the terroir of France) are managed and highly 52 manipulated systems (Joffre et al. 1999), which may help explain the observed genetic 53 54 variability from location to location.

Quercus ilex L. subsp. ballota (Desf.) Samp. is the subspecies of holm oak that is native to 55 Spain. It is one of the most widely planted trees in reforestation and afforestation sites, 56 especially within the southern part of the country. These areas cover more than 82 755 ha in 57 Andalusia, particularly in abandoned agricultural lands. Holm oak has been selected for 58 afforestation because of its high drought tolerance (Barbeta & Peñuelas, 2016) and plasticity 59 of response to varying edaphic conditions (Laureano et al., 2016). In southern Spain, holm 60 oak forests occur over a wide range of rainfall regimes, i.e., from drought-affected marginal 61 lands with annual rainfall as low as 260 mm to wet climates with annual rainfall over 1000 62 mm. These populations may be particularly suitable for forest conservation and afforestation 63 projects that place a premium on stress tolerance over growth rate. Although several studies 64 have investigated the relevant seedling traits in nurseries prior to out-planting (Villar-65

Salvador et al., 2004), physiological and morphological analysis of these populations and their responses to water deficits and identification of the traits conferring drought resistance are required (Costa-Saura et al., 2016), once the plants (saplings) have become established in the field after several years.

70 Adaptation of species to geographic variation in the environment often depends upon genetic variation among seed sources. Genetic inventories using biochemical and DNA markers have 71 demonstrated that genetic variability is geographically structured. Variation in holm oak is 72 greatest in France (Lumaret et al., 2002; Vitelli et al., 2017) and Spain (Guzmán et al., 2015; 73 García-Nogales et al., 2016), most likely due to the genetic structure of populations and their 74 historical management. Genetic studies, together with ecological information, have been used 75 to define seed orchards of holm oak in several countries. In general, seed sources from drier, 76 inner portions of this species' range show greater pre-adaptation to drought than populations 77 originating close to the coast (Matías et al., 2010). Studies with holm oak indicate that genetic 78 variation may promote significant differences in drought tolerance among ecotypes (Peguero-79 Pina et al., 2014). However, the production of *Q. ilex* nursery stock suffers from a low degree 80 81 of genetic variability, which may limit the success of more drought tolerant Q. ilex ecotypes in restoration and reforestation programs. 82

Quercus ilex occupies habitats that receive low amounts of precipitation, but elevated levels of annual radiation (Quero et al. 2006); under planting stress, seedlings may undergo morphological and physiological changes, such as leaf morphology and transpiration reduction, by decreasing the area of exposed leaf surface. Variation in stem and leaf morphology, seedling physiology, phenological stages, and growth have been reported among holm oak populations that were raised from different seed sources (Gratani et al., 2003; Gimeno et al., 2009; Peguero-Pina et al., 2014), but relatively little information exists 90 concerning genetic variation in the major components of drought resistance in this species.

There is increasing interest in using species' traits to predict ecotype responses to 91 environmental change (Niinemets, 2015, Cavender-Bares et al., 2016). This approach has 92 been used in studies of Quercus ilex responses to drought (Fusaro et al., 2017), climate 93 94 gradients (García-Nogales et al., 2016; Peguero-Pina et al., 2014) and other forms of disturbance (Chiatante et al., 2015). It has been proposed that attention be focused on plant 95 organs such as leaves to facilitate functional comparisons of plants, since leaves are the most 96 well-studied plant organs (Wright et al., 2004; Pérez-Harguindeguy et al., 2013). Leaf traits 97 are strongly correlated among populations and species. This implies that multiple traits are 98 99 associated with a singular trade-off in function (Wright et al., 2004).

Therefore, a wide range of ecophysiological responses is expected in *Q. ilex* ecotypes 100 101 originating from habitats of differing moisture regimes, when grown under identical field 102 conditions. In previous studies, differences in growth, leaf gas-exchange, and xylem water potential were observed, suggesting that the genetic diversity of *Q. ilex* ecotypes leads to 103 differences in establishment success (Gratani et al., 2003; Pesoli et al., 2003). This differential 104 response indicates that Q. ilex possesses highly effective physiological plasticity and can thus 105 adapt to different environmental conditions (Gimeno et al., 2009; Peguero-Pina et al., 2014). 106 107 Yet, the genetic sources of *Q. ilex* plasticity have been explored to a lesser degree than those of other forest species (Michaud et al., 2005; Valero-Galván et al., 2011, 2012) in long-term 108 field trials. Hence, the results lead to reliance upon particular genotypes or ecotypes. 109 110 Consequently, analysis of the physiological and morphological responses of *Q. ilex* ecotypes under identical environmental field conditions is crucial to the characterisation of the species 111 and the selection of the more drought-tolerant genotypes (ecotypes) among the provenances. 112 113 We hypothesised that the more drought-resistant Q. ilex ecotypes might be less susceptible to water stress, possibly due to lower rates of photosynthesis and growth; i.e. a more
conservative resource-use strategy (sensu Valladares et al., 2000).

The aim of this study was to assess how morphological and physiological traits of 11 Quercus 116 *ilex*ecotypes affect their performance under identical environmental conditions. Therefore, in 117 118 this study we proposed and tested three non-exclusive hypotheses: i) Are there differences in morphological and physiological traits among *Q. ilex* ecotypes? ii) Are *Q. ilex* populations 119 from drier habitats more drought-tolerant than those from moister ones? iii) Can we identify, 120 several years after establishment, which populations and plant traits may warrant further 121 ecotype selection for the improvement of *Q. ilex* drought tolerance for forest conservation and 122 afforestation programs in southern Spain? We expect that ecotypes responses vary according 123 to the environmental conditions of populations' origin. 124

125 Materials and methods

126 Plant material and growth conditions

Since 2009, the University of Córdoba has hosted a collection of 11 different ecotypes of Q. 127 ilex. Each one consists of a group of plants grown from acorns that were collected from 128 controlled seed sources provided by the Andalusia Forest Department (southern Spain, Table 129 1, Figure S1 Supplementary material). The ecotypes that were included in this study were 130 selected according to their potential use in afforestation under drought conditions, covering a 131 wide range of habitats in southern Spain (region of Andalusia). To establish this collection, 132 one-year-old seedlings were grown with standard nursery practices (300-cm³ containers and 133 peat-vermiculite [3:1 v:v] as substrate). Average seedling height and basal diameter, measured 134 just before planting, were 14.66 ± 0.81 cm and 3.45 ± 0.31 mm, respectively (means and 135 standard errors, N=50), with no significant differences according to the origin of the acorns. 136

For the purposes of this study, we assumed that nursery cultivation and its environmentaleffects during subsequent growth were minimal.

Seedlings were planted in a common garden at the experimental farm of Hinojosa del Duque-139 Andalusian Institute for Research and Training in Agriculture, Fisheries, Food and Ecological 140 Products (IFAPA, Córdoba, Spain, 38°29'46"N, 5°6'55"W, 543 masl) (Figure 1). This site has 141 an average annual rainfall of 459.4 mm and a dry thermo-Mediterranean climate, with hot and 142 dry summers and warm winters (Meteorological Station of IFAPA Hinojosa del Duque: 143 https://www.juntadeandalucia.es/agriculturaypesca/ifapa/ria). There are 120-150 biologically 144 dry days, according to the xerothermic index of Gaussen (Emberger, 1963). The site was 145 cropped periodically thereafter and left fallow during the 2008-2009 growing seasons, 146 supporting a mixture of native and introduced herbaceous species that are associated with 147 agricultural bottomlands. The plantation was established in February 2009. The planting area 148 was sub-soiled to a a depth of more than 60 cm using a riper with a single tine, and soil clods 149 were broken up using a spring harrow and culta-mulcher to provide a more level surface for 150 planting. The planting was done by hand in a rectangular plot (150 x 75 m), following a 151 systematic spatial pattern of distribution for each ecotype, with a density of 1250 plants ha⁻¹. 152 A total of 1188 plants were distributed in the plot with a plantation grid of 4 x 2 m, grouping 153 different ecotypes (36 rows x 36 columns) in adjacent columns. Supplementary irrigation was 154 provided during the first three years to ensure seedling establishment. 155

Eleven ecotypes were considered in the trial; ecotype is a fixed effect with 10 degrees-offreedom (*df*) for analysis of variance (ANOVA). Six replicate individuals per ecotype were randomly selected from across the plantation (sample size of N = 66). A series of soft and hard traits were measured (Pérez-Harguindeguy et al., 2013). Among the soft traits, we included anatomical-morphological features of plants (i.e. leaf area, leaf thickness and specific leaf area), and among the "hard" traits, we included physiological attributes (i.e. midday water potential, photosynthetic assimilation, stomatal conductance, transpiration, chlorophyll fluorescence, and concentration of photosynthetic pigments).

164 *Leaf morphology*

In March 2009, at the time of planting, all transplanted Q. ilex seedlings were tagged. In 165 August 2014, 65 months after planting (average survival = 61 %), average seedling size 166 (height and basal diameter) and leaf morphological features (Table 2) were measured 167 simultaneously. To make the measurements comparable, leaves in the same position were 168 chosen and six replicate plants from each family were analysed. Leaf area (LA, cm²) was 169 measured with Image-Pro Plus software (Media Cybernetics, Inc, Rockville, MD, USA). A 170 piece of the blade was then cut, avoiding the main leaf veins, and leaf thickness (LT_h, mm) 171 was measured with an adapted electronic Calliper. The leaves were oven-dried at 80 °C for 172 three days and their specific leaf area (SLA, cm² g⁻¹) was calculated by dividing their LA by 173 their dry masses. 174

175 *Field xylem water potential and gas-exchange measurements.*

The midday (Ψ_m) leaf water potential was measured in a 2-h window around solar noon 176 (11:20-13:20 h UTC, 13:20-15:20 h CET), using a pressure chamber (SKPM 1400, Skye 177 Instruments) (Scholander et al., 1965). Measurements of field xylem-water potential were 178 taken for six plants of each family (a total of 66 seedlings) on 28 and 29 August 2014. Mean 179 (plus standard error) climatic values during the measurement periods were 34.5 ± 0.8 °C, 11.5 180 \pm 2.9 % RH, 1.1 \pm 0.1 km h⁻¹ wind speed, 5.27 \pm 0.35 mm day⁻¹ evapotranspiration (ETo), 181 and 23.7 ± 0.2 MJ m⁻² total solar irradiance (TSI). In combination with the water potential 182 measurements, the photosynthetic rate (A, μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹), stomatal conductance (Gs, mol 183 $H_2O \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$), and transpiration rate (T_r, mmol $H_2O \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) were measured on fully expanded 184

sun leaves at medium height, using a portable infrared CO₂ gas analyser (LiCor Li6400XT, Li-Cor, Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) fitted with a 6-cm² leaf cuvette. The measurement conditions were 390 ± 1.7 ppm CO₂, flow of 300 ± 1.2 cm³ min⁻¹, and PPFD > 1000 µmol (photons) m⁻² s⁻¹; this value was enough to light-saturate this species (Quero et al., 2006). The measurements were taken on 28-29 August 2014 during the morning (10:00–12:00, CET), when photosynthetic rates are at their maximum.

191 Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements

Instantaneous chlorophyll fluorescence yield (Ft) of light-adapted plants and quantum yield of 192 photosystem II (QY) were measured with a portable fluorometer (FluorPen FP100, Photon 193 Systems Instruments, Drasov, Czech Republic). The measurements were recorded on three 194 south-facing leaves on six seedlings per family, at field temperature around solar noon (13:00-195 15:00 h CET). To calculate F_t, leaves exposed to sunlight were saturated for 3 s with actinic 196 light pulses (1000 µmol (photons) m⁻² s⁻¹) to reduce all PSII reaction centres, to obtain 197 maximum fluorescence $(F_{m'})$. The minimal fluorescence $(F_{n'})$ was measured by applying far-198 red light. For QY measurement, leaves were exposed to a single saturating light pulse (3000 199 μ mol (photons) m⁻² s⁻¹) and the variable fluorescence ($F_v = F_m - F_o$) was determined (Orekhov 200 et al., 2015). 201

202 *Photosynthetic pigment contents*

203 Concentration of photosynthetic pigments in leaves was estimated using UV-Vis 204 spectrophotometry. A total of 12 leaves for each plant (6 plants per ecotype), which were 205 located on the south side of the plants and well illuminated, were collected and immediately 206 frozen in liquid nitrogen. For each leaf, two disks of 0.5 cm² were excised, avoiding leaf 207 veins, and for the mixed pool of 24 disks per plant, three technical replicates of 1 cm² were 208 ground at -20 °C; pigments were extracted in 2 ml of chilled 100 % acetone in the presence of 209 25 mg of sodium ascorbate (Abadía and Abadía, 1993). Afterwards, the absorbance of each 210 replicate was measured at 661.6, 644.8, and 470 nm using an EVOLUTION 201 UV-Vis 211 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). The concentrations 212 of photosynthetic pigments in the solution were calculated using the equations described by 213 Lichtenthaler (1996). Finally, the contents of total chlorophyll (Chl_a + Chl_b, μ g cm⁻²), 214 chlorophyll ratio (Chl_R = Chl_a/Chl_b), and carotenoids (Ca, μ g cm⁻²) in the leaves were 215 calculated, adjusting for the final volume of extraction solvent.

216 Statistical analysis

Prior to statistical analysis, we examined all variables for normality using the Kolmogorov-217 Smirnov test (with Lilliefors correction). Homoscedasticity was analysed by the Levene test 218 of variance (P > 0.05). When the variables were not normal, different transformations were 219 applaid. Water potential responses did not follow a normal distribution, despite the 220 application of several transformations. The results in the tables are shown as means with their 221 standard errors for the untransformed variables. The morphological and physiological 222 variables were analysed 65 months after planting with one-way ANOVA, and the Kruskal-223 Wallis test for variables with a non-normal distribution. When a global difference among the 224 provenances was detected, means were separated by Scheffe's multiple range test for unequal 225 sample sizes (P = 0.05) for normal and homoscedastic variables and by Nemenyi test (equal 226 replicates per group) for ranked variables (Sokal and Rohlf, 1995). Differences among 227 treatments were considered significant at a significance level of P = 0.05. 228

Possible relationships among the morphological and physiological responses across genotypes were determined using Pearson's correlation coefficients (*r*) and non-parametric Spearman's rank correlation (\underline{r}_s) at a significance level of 5% ($P \le 0.05$). The *Q. ilex* ecotypes are not distributed randomly; they exhibit a longitudinally dependent distribution(see Table 1 andFig. S2). The response of each trait was regressed on longitude and elevation.

The response-associated variables were then used in a multivariate and discriminant analysis. 234 All variables satisfying conditions of normality, homoscedasticity and bivariate correlations 235 greater than 0.3 (P < 0.05), except for those exhibiting collinearity, were included in the PCA 236 to select the most representative ones, according to Sleighter et al. (2010). Selected variables 237 were identified by an optimal PCA solution that included Bartlett's sphericity test, a KMO 238 (Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin) test, communalities, correlations with principal components, and 239 maximum explained variance (Martínez-González et al., 2006). With variables accounting for 240 the maximum fraction of variance in the original dataset, families were subjected 241 agglomerative hierarchical cluster analysis (unsupervised clustering, Ward's method) based 242 upon squared Euclidean distance. Clustering included all selected variables (Table 6) plus 243 water potential. The original dataset was initially divided into k blocks (each observation as a 244 separate cluster), which were merged to form successively larger and fewer clusters. At each 245 iteration, squared Euclidean distance was calculated between the resulting clusters. The abrupt 246 change (i.e. the maximum slope derivative) in the sequential difference in squared Euclidean 247 distance (Δ SED) plotted against iteration step provided the stopping criterion for determining 248 optimal cluster size and number (Supplementary material, Figure S4). We tested the 249 separation of the groups that were identified in the cluster analysis using discriminant 250 function analysis (DFA), by quantifying the relationship between the resulting cluster 251 classification (categorical variable) and the set of response variables (Tabachnick and Fidell, 252 2006). Correlations between CDF scores and response variables provided information that 253 identified the most relevant variables contributing to cluster separation. The ecotypes were 254

represented in a two-dimensional ordination using CDF1 and CDF2 scores to detect the presence of gradients in their respective variables.

Finally, we used sparse partial least-squares regression (sPLS) (Chun and Keleş, 2010, Hair et 257 al., 2012, Chung et al., 2012) to analyse the relationships between the morpho-physiological 258 259 response variables and the biophysical parameters of the parent location of each group (edaphic, topographic, and climatic parameters, Table S1 Supplementary material). We chose 260 this approach because, even with many parameters (as are required for estimating moderating 261 effects), PLS supports sample sizes that are smaller than covariance-based structural equation 262 modelling techniques (Hair et al., 2012). We used Partial Least Squares (PLSs) regression to 263 reduce the problems of multicollinearity and the "curse of dimensionality" (sensu Bellman, 264 1957). Under the principle of sparsity (Chun and Keles, 2010), a subset of the predictive 265 variables was identified that were assumed to drive the underlying process, thereby avoiding 266 the noise that would be incurred by inclusion of irrelevant variables in he PLS regression 267 through direction vectors. 268

The soft thresholding parameter and number of latent variables were established by cross-269 validation for all the direction vectors, giving η =0.5 and K=3, respectively. Because PLS is 270 based on a non-parametric estimation procedure, we applied bootstrapping with 5000 271 resamples for the significance tests. The environmental variables that were used in the sPLS 272 as the predictor matrix (n = 63) included climatic (n = 44), topographic (n = 8) and edaphic (n = 63)273 = 11) variables (Table S1) that were obtained from the Andalusian Environmental 274 Information Network (REDIAM). The climatic variables were averaged for the period 1971 275 to 2000. The climatic variables were averaged for the period 1971 – 2000. Response matrix 276 was composed of ecotype means (n = 11) for the six variables that were selected using PCA, 277 together with water potential (Ψ_m). Point-biserial correlations (r_{pb}), together with product-278

moment correlations between all variables involved in PLS analysis (Table 1 and Table S3) and the projected matrices, were represented in a Correlation Circle to evaluate the association between ecotypes (defined by the response variables) and single site characteristics (the predictor matrix). Partial Least Squares regression predictor matrix scores were represented as a biplot, and were compared with DFA results to evaluate relationships between origin site factors with the ecotype groupings.

All statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.4.0 (R Development Core Team, 2012).

287 **Results**

288 Morphological and physiological responses

Means and results of univariate ANOVA for all morphological and physiological responses 289 that were measured 65 months after planting are summarised in Table 3. Sapling height was 290 greatest (significantly so) in the Pozoblanco (Poz) ecotype (149.0 cm) and least in ecotypes 291 Almería (A) (87.8 cm) and Pozo-Alcón (Po) (89.0 cm) ($F_{10,55} = 3.04$, P = 0.04). A similar 292 response was observed for collar diameter, the values being lowest for the same ecotypes, 'A' 293 and 'Po' (13.4 mm and 14.0 mm, respectively), and highest for ecotypes Puerto Serrano (Ca2) 294 and Poz (28.6 mm and 27.2 mm, respectively, $F_{10.55} = 6.253$, P < 0.001). Leaf features 295 showed less sensitivity to ecotype origin. Significant differences were observed only for leaf 296 area ($F_{10.55} = 2.98$, P = 0.005), with the greatest value for the 'Poz' ecotype (4.1 cm²). Further, 297 leaf thickness ($F_{10,55} = 1.48$, P = 0.174) and SLA ($F_{10,55} = 0.69$, P = 0.721) did not differ 298 significantly among ecotypes. 299

The mean photosynthetic rate was highest (19.3 µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) in ecotype A, but declined to 7.2 µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ for the Po ecotype, with significant differences among ecotypes (F_{10} , $_{55} = 3.33$, P = 0.002); there was a similar response for stomatal conductance ($F_{10, 55} = 5.09$, P ≤ 0.001) and transpiration ($F_{10-55} = 2.96$, P = 0.005) (Table 4). Ecotype had a stronger effect on sapling water potential ($H_{10} = 34.82$, P < 0.001), ecotypes A (-2.3 MPa) and G (-2.5 MPa) showing the highest values and Po (-4.2 MPa), H1, H2 and S1 (-4.1 Mpa) the lowest (Table 4).

During this same period, the fluorescence variables did not differ significantly (F_t , $F_{10,55} =$ 1.86, P = 0.074; QY, $F_{10,55} = 0.87$, P = 0.567) (Table 4). However, the pigment concentrations showed significant differences among the ecotypes (Table 4). The Benamahoma (Ca1) ecotype had the greatest concentrations of Chl_b and $\alpha \& \beta$ carotenes (7.3 µg cm⁻² and 8.9 µg cm⁻², respectively) and Segura (S) the lowest (1.9 µg cm⁻² and 3.5 µg cm⁻², respectively). Ecotype also had a strong effect on the chlorophyll ratio (Chl_R) ($F_{10,55} = 5.47$, P<0.001) (Table 4).

Therefore, it is possible to use growth (height and collar diameter) and physiological variables (A, Gs, T, Ψ_m , and pigments) to separate the sapling response groups. However, this univariate approach does not account for the correlation among the variables.

317 Correlation among response variables

The correlation analysis of the physiological and morphological variables provides an 318 indication of how much each variable can contribute to the analysis (Table 5). In general, the 319 320 physiological variables were not significantly correlated with the morphological ones, except in the case of QY and F_t. The gas-exchange and photosynthesis variables (A, Gs, and Tr) were 321 strongly intercorrelated (P < 0.01), as were those of the photosynthetic pigments group (Chl_a, 322 Chl_b, and Ca, P < 0.01). The strongest correlations (P < 0.01) for $\Psi_{\rm m}$ were with A (r = 0.6), 323 Gs (r = 0.6), Tr (r = 0.6), F_t (r = 0.4), and Chl_R (r = -0.4). Additionally, there was a significant 324 325 correlation between the fluorescence variables and the morphological and physiological variables (F_t vs LA, r = 0.2; F_t vs Gs, r = 0.3, F_t vs Tr, r = 0.3; QY vs TH, r = 0.3; QY vs 326

SLA, r = 0.3; QY vs A, r = 0.5; QY vs Gs, r = 0.4; QY vs Tr, r = 0.4). Leaf area (LA) was significantly correlated with the Chl_R, while the correlation with each pigment concentration was not significant.

The effects of latitude, longitude, and elevation were evaluated for all ecotypes that were 330 331 included in the study (data not shown). The relationships with longitude and elevation were significant in every case. There was a strong inverse correlation between these two variables 332 (r = -0.761; P < 0.01), a result of the distribution of selected stands in Andalusia; more 333 westerly stands were located at lower elevations. Therefore, for comparison with the other 334 data sets generated or used in this study, we focus only on longitude (see Figure S2, 335 Supplementary material, for elevation data). Mean basal diameter, LA, and Ψ_m estimates were 336 significantly higher in Q. ilex ecotypes that were located at increasing longitude, compared 337 with those of ecotypes that were located at decreasing longitude (P < 0.001; Figure 2). 338 However, the Gs, T_{r} , and Chl values showed the inverse response to longitude (P < 0.001; 339 Figure 2). 340

341 *Clustering and classification analysis*

Based upon the optimal PCA solution, the selection of the six most relevant variables was 342 made following analysis of Pearson correlations, communalities, and the structure matrix 343 (Table 6). PCA of the individual cases revealed the presence of a gradient in the ecotype 344 variables. For these variables, five natural groups were identified from the abrupt change in 345 sums-of-squares error (Δ SSE) plotted against the sequence of agglomeration iterations during 346 the hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) of all individual cases (Supplementary material, 347 Figures S3 and S4). A first division distinguished a group composed mostly of individuals 348 belonging to western ecotypes (clusters 3, 4, and 5, squared Euclidean distance D = 480), 349 although it also included three individuals of eastern origin that were grouped in an isolated 350

cluster (cluster 4, D = 273.6). At the other geographic extreme, the two corresponding groups that were comprised of eastern ecotypes were separated (clusters 1 and 2, D = 313.7), one cluster being formed by ecotypes Almería (A) and Granada (G) (group 1) and the other by Valdepeñas (P) and Segura (S) (cluster 2, D = 242.3).

355 When clustering was carried out for the family average data (Figure 2), the classification fitted the previous analysis well. Eastern ecotypes (clusters 1 and 4, A, G, S, and P) were 356 clearly separated from the four most western ecotypes (H1, H2, S1 Ca1, Ca2, and Poz, 357 clusters 2 and 3); also, one transitional group was identified, corresponding to a 358 geographically intermediate ecotype, Po (cluster 5). The large differences between the eastern 359 ecotypes (clusters 1-4) and the rest (D = 70.0) are noteworthy; the distances were almost 360 double those of the next clustering step, between the extreme western ecotypes (cluster 2) and 361 the transitional group (2-3 to 5, D = 35.5). The plot in the re-scaled squared Euclidean 362 distance (Figure 2) shows clearly the relative importance of these differences. 363

Finally, DFA tested the degree to which the natural groupings (obtained by clustering) could 364 be separated using the morphological and physiological measurements. Globally, the 365 classification that was implemented by DFA provided a significant fit to the data (Wilks' 366 Lambda: F $df_1 = 12$, $df_2 = 10.88$, P < 0.001). Total variation in the data was explained by only 367 three discriminant functions (Supplementary material, Table S1). The first two functions 368 provided significant fits (CDF1: $\chi^2 = 42.94$, P < 0.001; CDF2: $\chi^2 = 22.35$, P < 0.001; CDF3: 369 $\chi^2 = 5.73$, P=0.057). The discriminant scores that were estimated for the two significant 370 functions (Figure 3) clearly distinguished the geographical groupings of the ecotypes. The 371 first two axes could be interpreted as gradients in morphological and physiological conditions. 372 CDF1 was strongly correlated with $\Psi_{\rm m}$ (r = 0.77), while CDF2 was correlated with Chl_R (r = 373 0.78) and F_t (r = 0.71). For CDF3, QY was the principal source of variation (r = 0.96). Leaf 374

375 carotenoid concentration exhibited the lowest correlation with the CDF axes, and was376 significant only with CDF3.

The two axes that were delineated by the first and second canonical discriminant functions (CDF1 and CDF2) accounted respectively for 64.3 and 32.3 % of total variation. Good separation of the ecotypes was achieved by CDF1, while CDF2 improved their separation. The first two canonical variables were clearly able to distinguish among the five ecotype groups matching the HCA groupings: Almería- Granada; Segura-Valdepeñas; Pozo Alcón; Puerto Serrano-Pozoblanco; and Corteconcepción-Calaña-Almadén-Benamahoma. There was little overlap among them.

384 Environmental predictors

Investigation of how specific ecotypes respond at a common garden location as a function of 385 the environmental conditions of their origin may help to predict potential impacts of different 386 growing conditions and subsequent ecotype responses. Up to 63 environmental variables were 387 used to perform sPLS regression. Following a sparsity test of the predictor matrix, the 388 ecotypes were related to 47 environmental variables (Table S2, Supplementary material), 389 given their importance in driving ecosystem processes. This analysis not only provided 390 information on how the environmental conditions at the origin may influence the distribution 391 of Q. ilex ecotypes, but also identified those variables that might be functionally relevant at 392 particular sites. 393

The Pearson's correlation coefficients (*r*) are represented in a circle of correlations (Figure 4, A). Variables related to the water regime, such as seasonal precipitation, topographic wetness index, and some evapotranspiration characteristics of the site of origin of the ecotypes showed the same trends in the projected matrix as the Ψ_m of common garden plants, influencing the distances between cases in the same direction. A similar trend was shown by F_t and LT_h , which were both strongly correlated with Ψ_m , QY, Tr, and Chl_R (all of these measurements were related to photosynthetic activity), influencing the separation of the projected matrix in the same way as topographic and edaphic characters, thereby defining the exposure of the sites of origin. As expected, the SLA did not influence the variation among the data; finally, the temperature-related variables were mostly correlated with the projected matrix in the same way as the total leaf carotene content (Ca).

The seasonal evapotranspiration rate, excluding summer potential evapotranspiration (PET), 405 showed highly significant correlations with the photosynthetic traits and pigment variables 406 (Supplementary material, Table S2). High levels of evapotranspiration were correlated 407 negatively with Tr and Chl_R and positively with water potential, fluorescence, and carotene 408 contents, with average monthly evapotranspiration of the spring season being the most 409 strongly correlated. The mean and minimum temperatures of the coldest month were 410 correlated with pigments in the same way as evapotranspiration. High temperatures and PET 411 ratios at the site of origin of the populations led to high carotenoid contents and low Chl_R. The 412 temperature of the warmest month influenced positively the foliar thickness and the 413 fluorescence of the ecotypes. The quantum yield and SLA of the ecotypes were not correlated 414 with any biophysical variable of the sites of origin of the populations. 415

The ecotypes showed a pattern of grouping that similar to what was predicted by DFA, with some interesting differences. Almería-Granada had scores that were related to low Ψ_m and low topographic wetness index (TWI), water-holding capacity (WHC), and seasonal precipitation. The Granada ecotype, however, was located at an intermediate position in the ordination, due to the influence of climatic and biophysical variables. The Corteconcepción-Almaden-Calañas and Segura-Valdepeñas ecotypes and their associations (clusters of HCA) were represented in a distribution similar to that in the DFA biplot representation, being well separated in the projected space. Nevertheless, three ecotypes (Pozo Alcón, Pozoblanco, and Benamahoma) were represented by slightly different plot coordinates, given that they were distributed randomly at the centre of the plot. The case of Puerto Serrano is of note, noteworthy, since the influence of biophysical factors led to positioning of this ecotype near the most western ones. Also, Benamahoma had high values of the water regime variables and Ψ_m , but the influence of low-temperature-related values and high values of topographic and edaphic properties led to its shift to the centre of the plot, near to the transitional ecotypes.

430

431 **Discussion**

Holm oak (Quercus ilex L.) is the most abundant Quercus tree species in the Mediterranean 432 433 region, covering a large ecological gradient across the western Mediterranean Basin (Gratani et al., 2003; Martin-St. Paul et al., 2012). This ecological variability has led to high 434 intraspecific variation, with ecotype diversity manifested in the morphological (Ramírez-435 Valiente et al., 2009; Valero-Galván et al., 2011), ecophysiological (Peguero-Pina et al., 436 2014), and biochemical parameters (Valero-Galván et al., 2011; 2013) that were measured. 437 Many factors contribute to the high potential of Q. ilex to adapt to different environmental 438 conditions, which often depends on genetic variation among seed sources (Pesoli et al., 2003). 439 These adaptive features have probably been driven by the local environment from which the 440 ecotypes originate (Corcuera et al., 2004; Sánchez-Vilas and Retuerto, 2007), contributing to 441 a differential response to environmental conditions. Regarding drought responses, differences 442 have been observed in several controlled experiments (Sánchez-Gomez et al., 2006; Laureano 443 et al., 2008). Nevertheless, most studies of Q. ilex variability have been developed using a 444 limited number of populations (Varone et al., 2015), conducted under artificial controlled 445 conditions (García-Nogales et al., 2016) or using a biochemical approach (Valero-Galván et 446 al., 2011). In this study, we present the morphological and physiological responses under 447

448 natural conditions of *Q. ilex* ecotypes from seeds of different provenances that were located in

449 Andalusia, several years after planting, once the saplings were well established.

450 *Comparative morphology and physiology of Q. ilex ecotypes*

Differences among the Q. ilex ecotypes were found for diameter, height, and leaf area. Yet 451 ANOVA did not clearly differentiate ecotypes based upon their geographical origin (Table 4). 452 Ecotypes from the driest localities (Almería-A and Sierra del Pozo-Po) showed the smallest 453 diameter and height, which can be related to slower growth that can be advantageous for 454 survival (Sánchez-Gómez et al., 2006). The observed variation among ecotypes seems to be 455 driven largely by the adaptation of a given ecotype to longitude and elevation (temperature 456 and precipitation). Diameter and leaf area increased with longitude (Figure 1, $R^2 = 0.52$, P < 0.52457 0.05, $R^2 = 0.39$, P < 0.05, respectively). Low values of leaf area may be considered as an 458 advantageous morphological mechanism for adaptation of the semiarid ecotypes to 459 Mediterranean dry-summer conditions (Valladares et al., 2008; García-Nogales et al., 2016). 460 Smaller and more sclerophyllous leaves are better adapted to higher radiation and 461 temperatures (Costa-Saura et al., 2016). Regarding SLA and leaf thickness, our results show 462 less plasticity in the differences among ecotypes than for leaf area, plant height, or stem 463 diameter, something that was not anticipated as SLA frequently exhibits greater plasticity 464 than other morphological traits (Quero et al., 2008). This low variability of SLA is related to 465 leaf thickness, which - together with leaf area - determines SLA. Hence, when leaves grow 466 under identical natural conditions, variations in leaf thickness tend to be minimised, at the 467 expense of SLA. By contrast, the variability in leaf area was significant. This reflects 468 ecological differences among localities, which result in higher physiological efficiency in the 469 presence of specific stress factors. At the western sites, the favourable environmental 470 conditions led to greater variability in leaf morphology, enabling these ecotypes to attain 471

higher growth rates (Table 3, see stem diameter). The consistency of this geographic cline in *Q. ilex* is strongly suggestive of its global adaptive significance (Sánchez-Gomez et al., 2006;
Peguero-Pina et al., 2014). Several authors have described in situ variation in morphological
traits with latitude and elevation within *Q. ilex* (Costa-Saura et al., 2016; García Nogales et
al., 2016) and greater growth of *Q. ilex* ecotypes from increasing longitudes has been reported
(Laureano et al., 2016).

The physiological traits measured behaved similarly, showing statistical differences among 478 ecotypes (Table 4). All ecotypes showed low values of water potential (ranging from -2.2 to -479 4.3 MPa), which has been recognised as a common feature of *Q*. *ilex* populations (Sala and 480 Tenhunen, 1994; Varone et al., 2015). The Ψ_m values reflect the Mediterranean climate in 481 which the ecotypes grow. This was particularly evident for some ecotypes growing in sites 482 with severe water stress (e.g. Almería and Granada with Ψ_m much lower than -2.3 MPa; 483 Table 4, Figure 1, $R^2 = 0.28$, P < 0.05), in contrast with the ecotypes from moderate climate 484 conditions (e.g. Pozoblanco and Huelva with Ψ_m below -4.0 MPa, Table 4), which showed 485 the most negative values of water potential. Ecotypes of Q. ilex from drier sites typically have 486 a higher water potential, because elevated temperatures induce an increase in photosynthetic 487 488 and drought tolerance (Varone et al., 2012).

Similarly, concerning photosynthesis, the values of photosynthetic assimilation of the eastern ecotypes were higher than those of ecotypes from the western limits, indicating earlier stomatal regulation of the water losses (Brodribb and Hoolbrook, 2003), consistent with the observed lower values of water potential. In contrast, higher values of photosynthesis in the eastern ecotypes imply a great capacity to take advantage of favourable conditions of soil availability and low water potential, to maintain net carbon assimilation for longer. Fleck et al. (1998) reported similar stomatal conductance and photosynthetic rates in *Q. ilex* leaves in

summer. In our study, the higher photosynthetic rates in eastern ecotypes were almost entirely 496 due to higher Gs. The conductance (Gs) and transpiration (Tr) decreased with increasing 497 longitude of the ecotype origin (Figure 1, $R^2 = 0.34$, P < 0.05, $R^2 = 0.38$, P < 0.05). A trend 498 towards increasing rates of net photosynthesis with increasing latitude of origin has been 499 observed in several plants species (Reich et al., 1996). The studies by Martin-St. Paul et al. 500 (2012) suggest that a greater rate of photosynthesis in eastern ecotypes is an adaptation to a 501 short growing season. Also, higher values of net photosynthesis in ecotypes of O. ilex from 502 increasing longitudes were supported by a higher pigment concentration in leaves (Figure 1, 503 $R^2 = 0.75$, P < 0.05) with no changes in SLA. Although photosynthetic rates tended to be 504 higher in the ecotypes that are adapted to lower precipitation, these ecotypes grew less, 505 suggesting the down-regulation of photosynthesis in *Q. ilex* subsp. *ilex* during the summer 506 (Ogaya and Peñuelas, 2006). 507

Other plant traits that are useful for the study of the differences among ecotypes are those 508 derived from chlorophyll fluorescence, consistent with the conclusions of Varone et al. 509 (2015). All ecotypes had similar behaviour from a photochemical point of view (Table 4, 510 Figure 1), with no significant differences in instantaneous fluorescence or quantum yield. The 511 values of photochemical traits decrease under drought conditions (Varone et al., 2012), an 512 expression of the down-regulation of the efficiency of light-energy capture (Abadía and Gil-513 Pelegrín, 2005). The ecotypes with lower instantaneous fluorescence were Almería (A), 514 Granada (G), and PozoAlcón (Po): this may be a consequence of the photochemical 515 adjustment of *Q. ilex*, to avoid damage to the photosynthetic apparatus during the summer 516 (Ogaya and Peñuelas 2006). In contrast, the ecotypes from the western sites (Benamahoma, 517 Ca1, Calañas, H1, and Almadén, S1) had the highest photochemical efficiency, confirming 518 that they are well adapted to high temperatures. It has been proposed (Niinemets et al., 2005; 519

Peguero-Pina et al., 2009) that elastic adjustment may be a common process through which plants adapt to water-deficient environments, to decrease susceptibility to photodamage. However, in our case, the limited impact of summer climatic conditions on the photosynthetic apparatus might be associated with a lack of water stress at the experimental field location (Baldocchi and Xu, 2007). Varone et al. (2015) showed that the photosynthetic efficiency in non-stressed plants was similar among ecotypes that could be clearly differentiated under stress conditions.

This absence of photo-oxidative stress in our Q. ilex ecotypes was consistent with the 527 maintenance of stable Chl concentrations (Table 4). As has been observed previously, O. ilex 528 maintains high Chl concentrations throughout the year (Gratani et al., 1998), although there is 529 a decrease from July to September (Faria et al., 1998). The photosynthetic pigment 530 concentrations varied among ecotypes, with plants from eastern populations (Granada, G, 531 Valdepeñas, P. Segura, S) having lower levels of pigments (Chl_b and α and β -carotenes) and 532 higher Chl_R (Chl_a/Chl_b ratios), suggesting a reduction in the size of the light-harvesting 533 antenna. Similar photosynthetic pigment concentrations have been previously reported in O. 534 ilex (Faria et al., 1998; Morales et al., 2002). 535

536 *Ecotype clustering*

The results of the morphological and physiological analyses apparently confirm a differentiation among the ecotype groups of Q. *ilex* in southern Spain. In fact, cluster analysis of the Q. *ilex* ecotypes revealed a clear morphological and physiological differentiation as a response to the geographical and ecological gradient of variation in the origins of the ecotypes (Figure 1).

This differentiation was confirmed when multivariate analysis was applied using morphological and physiological traits (Table 6, Table S1, Figure 2, and Figure 3). A very high degree of mutual dissimilarity characterised the three ecotype groups that were derived from cluster analysis, based upon morphological and physiological characters. The values of all dimensional/counted, transformed, and observed characters exhibited continous, albeit weak, trend of variation. Previous work (Valero et al., 2011) using morphological, chemical, and proteomic variation in acorns, showed the presence of significant groups of populations.

Cluster analysis clearly delineated three groups of ecotypes. The first group (clusters 2 and 3) 549 includes families from the area of Sierra Morena, growing in acid soils (Jiménez Sancho et 550 al., 1996). These ecotypes were characterised by higher values of morphological traits (height, 551 diameter, and leaf area) and higher pigment concentrations (chlorophyll b and carotenoids) 552 than the remaining ecotypes. The efficiency of biomass accumulation, resulting in greater 553 growth, could be influenced by their natural distribution in a more favourable ecological 554 niche. In this study, a positive response to warm and wet site conditions was evident, as was 555 the case of ecotype S1, which showed higher values of Ψ_m than the other ecotypes of this 556 group, but with lower variability than the eastern ecotypes. 557

The second group is composed of the ecotypes from the most eastern sites, which are 558 dominated by semi-arid climates (less than 500 mm of rainfall, concentrated in short periods, 559 and higher temperatures). The eastern ecotypes were classified together with individuals 560 growing on calcareous substrates and limestone on steep mountains (Jimenez-Sancho et al., 561 1996). The members of this group exhibited contrasting results for their morphological traits, 562 but they displayed a marked trend in their physiology, with higher photosynthetic rates, low to 563 intermediate pigment contents, and low values of Ψ_m . These ecotypes show strong control in 564 the face of summer drought, but also demonstrated the strongest positive response to the 565 better conditions of the common garden. This might give them a competitive advantage under 566 warmer conditions. This argument is strengthened by the PLS values, providing further 567

evidence of the influence of the key climatic factors (temperature in the dry season, 568 evapotranspiration, and water regime, Figure 4A) on the growth of eastern ecotypes of *Q. ilex*. 569 The third group corresponds to cluster 5, Pozo Alcón (Po). This ecotype is located in the Betic 570 mountains (Sub-betic System). The fact that this ecotype did not form a clearly 571 572 distinguishable group, given that it was included in clusters with ecotypes from eastern and western sites, not only confirms the high variability of *Q*. *ilex*, but also indicates that not all 573 ecotypes with the same physiological response necessarily have the same appearance. The 574 ecotype of group 3 was characterised by low values of the photosynthetic traits and more 575 negative water potential, the morphological traits being insufficient to characterize the 576 577 ecotype.

578 Multivariable analysis of environmental predictors

The analysis of sPLS resulted in the selection of 47 environmental predictors related to different characteristics that influenced the phenotypic behaviour of the ecotypes. The increases in the temperatures and evapotranspiration rates were correlated with the increments of maximum fluorescence, leaf carotene content, and water potential, and with the decreases in transpiration rate and relative content of chlorophyll a.

The local climatic conditions influenced the physiological differences among the saplings, in 584 agreement with the results of Varone et al. (2015). The differences between ecotype grouping 585 in the projected spaces are due to the effect of biophysical variables in the PLS projected 586 matrices. Thus, ecotypes such as Benamahoma or Puerto Serrano showed characteristics in 587 the common garden that do not correspond to the trends shown in the circle of correlations. It 588 is reasonable to assume that Benamahoma shows a genetic pattern similar to that of the 589 cluster 2 ecotypes, given that biophysical conditions of their native stands were similar. Our 590 data were not sufficient to explain the influence of the biophysical variables on genetic 591

expression, but an in-depth analysis of the differences between DA and sPLS demonstrated
that the morphological and physiological characteristics of the progeny could be influenced
by the environmental conditions of the parental population to different degrees.

595 Afforestation

596 The importance of plant provenance in ecological restoration and biological conservation has been shown by several studies (Jimenez-Sancho et al., 1996; Doherty et al., 2017). 597 Traditionally, seeds are collected from local sources to produce seedlings to be planted in the 598 same population area. However, in our study, eastern ecotypes represent a more suitable seed 599 source for reforestation programs in a context of global change as they are better adapted, 600 given model projections for hotter and drier summers, and exhibit more rapid recovery of 601 their growth rate following extreme weather events. Additionally, afforestation programs need 602 to adopt appropriate adaptation measures to respond to the threats of climate change. Eastern 603 ecotypes would be likely to spread in response to the rising temperatures and declining 604 summer precipitation that have been projected; however, the distribution of western ecotypes 605 seems to be more likely to decrease in response to hotter and drier summers (Box and Choi, 606 607 2000). This is consistent with previous observations in Spain, where the Q. ilex distribution is limited by summer drought and soil nutrient availability (Benavides et al., 2016). 608

609 Acknowledgements

This project was funded through the QUESRCUSAT (CLG2013-40790-R) and ENCINOMICA (BIO2015-64737-R) projects. We also acknowledge the financial and institutional support of the University of Cordoba-Campus de Excelencia CEIA3. We thank to IFAPA-Hinojosa del Duque for their unconditional support during seedling establishment and management. Two anonymous referees helped to improve a previous version of the manuscript.

616 **References**

- Abadia, J., Abadía, A., 1993. Iron and plant pigments, in: Barton, L., Hemming, B. (Eds.),
 Iron Chelation in Plants and Soil Microorganisms. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, pp. 327343.
- 620 Abadía A, Gil-Pelegrín E. 2005. Seasonal changes in photosynthesis and photoprotection in a
- 621 *Quercus ilex* subsp. *ballota* woodland located in its upper altitudinal extreme in the Iberian 622 Peninsula. Tree Physiol. 25, 599–608.
- 623 Baldocchi, D., Xu, L., 2007. What limits evaporation from Mediterranean oak woodlands -
- the supply of moisture in the soil, physiological control by plants or the demand by the
- atmosphere? Adv. Water Resour. 30, 2113–2122.
- Barbero, M., Loisel, R., Quzel, P., 1992. Biogeography, ecology and history of Mediterranean
 Quercus ilex ecosystems. Vegetatio 99–100, 19–34.
- Barbeta, A., Peñuelas, J., 2016. Sequence of plant responses to droughts of different timescales: lessons from holm oak (*Quercus ilex*) forests. Plant Ecol. Divers. 9(4), 321-338.
- 630 Benavides, R., Escudero, A., Coll, L., Ferrandis, P., Ogaya, R., Gouriveau, F., Valladares, F.,
- 2016. Recruitment patterns of four tree species along elevation gradients in Mediterranean
 mountains: Not only climate matters. Forest Ecol. Manag. 360, 287-296.
- Bellman, R.E., 1957. Dynamic Programming. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ,
 USA.
- Box, E.O., Choi, J., 2000. Estimating species-based community integrity under global
 warming, with special reference to the western Mediterranean region. Phytocoenologia 30,
 335-352.
- Brodribb, T.J., Holbrook, N., 2003. Stomatal closure during leaf dehydration, correlation with
 other leaf physiological traits. Plant Physiol. 132, 2166-2173.

- Cavender-Bares, J., Ackerly, D.D., Hobbie, S.E., Townsend, P.A., 2016. Evolutionary legacy
 effects on ecosystems: biogeographic origins, plant traits, and implications for management in
 the era of global change. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 47, 433-462.
- 643 Chiatante, D., Tognetti, R., Scippa, G. S., Congiu, T., Baesso, B., Terzaghi, M., Montagnoli,
- A., 2015. Interspecific variation in functional traits of oak seedlings (Quercus ilex, Quercus
- *trojana, Quercus virgiliana*) grown under artificial drought and fire conditions. J. Plant Res.
 128, 595-611.
- Chun, H., Keleş, S., 2010. Sparse partial least squares regression for simultaneous dimension
 reduction and variable selection. J. R. Stat. Soc. B, 72(1), 3-25.
- Chung, D., Chun, H., Keles, S., 2012. An Introduction to the 'spls' Package, Version 1.0.
 University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI. 10 pp. Vignette.
- Corcuera, L., Camarero, J.J., Gil-Pelegrín, E., 2004. Effects of a severe drought on *Quercus ilex* radial growth and xylem anatomy. Trees Struct. Funct. 18: 83–92.
- 653 Costa-Saura, J.M., Martínez-Vilalta, J., Trabucco, A., Spano, D., Mereu, S., 2016. Specific
- leaf area and hydraulic traits explain niche segregation along an aridity gradient inMediterranean woody species. Perspect. Plant Ecol. 21, 23-30.
- Doherty, K.D., Butterfield, B.J., Wood, T., 2017. Matching seed to site by climate similarity:
 Techniques to prioritize plant materials development and use in restoration. Ecol. Appl. 27,
 1010-1023.
- Emberger, L. 1963. Bioclimatic map of the Mediterranean zone: explanatory notes (Vol. 21).UNESCO-FAO.
- Faria, T., Silvério, D., Breia, E., Cabral, R., Abadia, A., Abadia, J., Chaves, M., 1998.
 Differences in the response of carbon assimilation to summer stress (water deficits, high light
 and temperature) in four Mediterranean tree species. Physiol. Plantarum 102, 419-428.

- Fleck, I., Hogan, K., Llorens, L., Abadía, AS., Aranda, X., 1998. Photosynthesis and
 photoprotection in *Quercus ilex* resprouts after fire. Tree Physiol. 18, 607–614.
- Fusaro, L., Salvatori, E., Manes, F., 2017. Effects of nitrogen deposition, drought and their
 interaction, on functional and structural traits of *Fraxinus ornus* L. and *Quercus ilex* L. Plant
 Biosyst. 151, 174-189.
- García-Nogales, A., Linares, J.C., Laureano, R.G., Seco, J.I., Merino, J., 2016. Range-wide
 variation in life-history phenotypes: spatiotemporal plasticity across the latitudinal gradient of
- the evergreen oak *Quercus ilex*. J. Biogeogr. 43, 2366-2379.
- Gimeno T.E., Pías B., Lemos-Filho J.P., Valladares, F., 2009 Plasticity and stress tolerance
 override local adaptation in the responses of Mediterranean holm oak seedlings to drought
 and cold. Tree Physiol. 29, 87–98.
- Gratani, L., Meneghini, M., Pesoli, P., Crescente, M., 2003. Structural and functional
 plasticity of *Quercus ilex* seedlings of different provenances in Italy. Trees Struct. Funct. 17,
 515–521.
- Gratani, L., Pesoli, P., Crescente, M., 1998. Relationship between photosynthetic activity and
 chlorophyll content in an isolated *Quercus ilex* L. tree during the year. Photosynthetica 35,
 445-451.
- Guzmán, B., López, C., Forrest, A., Cano, E., Vargas, P., 2015. Protected areas of Spain
 preserve the neutral genetic diversity of *Quercus ilex* L. irrespective of glacial refugia. Tree
 Genet. Genomes 11, 1-18.
- Hair, J.F., Ringle, C., Sarstedt, M., 2012. Partial least squares: The better approach to
 structural equation modeling? Long Range Plann. 45, 312–319.
- Jimenez Sancho, M.P., Díaz-Fernández, P.M., Iglesias Sauce, S., De Tuero, M., Gil Sánchez,
- 587 J.L., 1996. Las Regiones de Procedencia de *Quercus ilex* L. en España. ICONA, Madrid.

- Joffre, R., Rambal, S., Ratte, J.P., 1999. The dehesa system of southern Spain and Portugal as
 a natural ecosystem mimic. J. Agrof. 45, 57-79.
- Laureano R.G., Lazo Y.O., Linares J.C., Luque A., Martínez F., Seco J., Merino J., 2008. The
- 691 cost of stress resistance: construction and maintenance costs of leaves and roots in two
- 692 populations of *Quercus ilex*. Tree Physiol. 28, 1721–1728.
- Laureano, R.G., García-Nogales, A., Seco, J., Linares, J.C., Martínez, F., Merino, J., 2016.
- 694 Plant maintenance and environmental stress. Summarising the effects of contrasting elevation,
- soil, and latitude on *Quercus ilex* respiration rates. Plant Soil 409, 389-403.
- Lichtenthaler, H.K., 1996. Vegetation stress: an introduction to the stress concept in plants. J.
- 697 Plant Physiol., 148, 4-14.
- Lumaret, R., Mir, C., Michaud, H., Raynal, V., 2002. Phylogeographical variation of
 chloroplast DNA in holm oak (*Quercus ilex* L.). Mol. Ecol. 11, 2327–2336.
- Martin-St. Paul, N.K., Limousin, J.M., Rodríguez-Calcerrada J., 2012. Photosynthetic
 sensitivity to drought varies among populations of *Quercus ilex* along a rainfall gradient.
 Funct. Plant Biol. 39, 25–37.
- Martínez-González, M.A., Faulín Fajardo, F.J., Sánchez Villegas, A. 2006. Bioestadística
 amigable. Ed. Díaz de Santos. Madrid, Spain.
- Matías, L., Zamora, R., Mendoza, I., Hódar, J.A., 2010. Seed dispersal patterns by large
 frugivorous mammals in a degraded mosaic landscape. Restor. Ecol. 18(5), 619-627.
- Michaud, H., Toumi, L., Lumaret, R., Li T., Romane, F., Di Giusto F., 1995. Effect of
 geographical discontinuity on genetic variation in *Quercus ilex* L. (holm-oak). Evidence from
 enzyme polymorphism. Heredity 74, 590–606.
- 710 Morales, F., Abadía, A., Abadía, J., Montserrat, G., Gil-Pelegrín, E., 2002. Trichomes and
- 711 photosynthetic pigment composition changes: responses of Quercus ilex subsp. ballota

- 712 (Desf.) Samp. and *Quercus coccifera* L. to Mediterranean stress conditions. Trees Struct.
 713 Funct. 16(7), 504-510.
- Niinemets, Ü., 2015. Is there a species spectrum within the world-wide leaf economics
 spectrum? Major variations in leaf functional traits in the Mediterranean sclerophyll *Quercus ilex*. New Phytol. 205(1), 79-96.
- Niinemets, Ü., Cescatti, A., Rodeghiero, M., Tosens, T., 2005. Complex adjustments of
 photosynthetic potentials and internal diffusion conductance to current and previous light
 availabilities and leaf age in Mediterranean evergreen species *Quercus ilex*. Plant Cell
 Environ. 29, 1159–1178.
- Ogaya R, Peñuelas J., 2006. Contrasting foliar responses to drought in *Quercus ilex* and
 Phillyrea latifolia. Biol. Plantarum 50, 373–382.
- Orekhov, D., Yakovleva, O., Goryachev, S., Protopopov, F., Alekseev, A., 2015. The use of parameters of chlorophyll a fluorescence induction to evaluate the state of plants under anthropogenic load. Biophysics 60(2), 263-268.
- Peguero-Pina J., Sancho-Knapik, D., Barrón E., Camarero J.J., Vilagrosa, A., Gil-Pelegrín, E.,
- 2014. Morphological and physiological divergences within *Quercus ilex* support the existence
 of different ecotypes depending on climatic dryness. Ann. Bot-London 114, 301–313.
- 729 Peguero-Pina, J.J., Sancho-Knapik, D., Morales, F., Flexas, J., Gil-Pelegrín, E., 2009.
- 731 Mediterranean evergreen oaks under severe drought stress. Funct. Plant Biol. 36, 453–462.

Differential photosynthetic performance and photoprotection mechanisms of three

- 732 Pérez-Harguindeguy, N., Díaz, S., Garnier, E., Lavorel, S., Poorter, H., Jaureguiberry, P.,
- 733 Bret-Harte, M., Cornwell, W., Craine, J., Gurvich, D., Urcelay, C., Veneklaas, E., Reich, P.,
- Poorter, L., Wright, I., Ray, P., Enrico, L., Pausas, J., de Vos, A., Buchmann, N., Funes, G.,
- 735 Quétier, F., Hodgson, J., Thompson, K., Morgan, H., Steege, H., van der Heijden, M., Sack,

- L., Blonder, B., Poschlod, P., Vaieretti, M., Conti, G., Staver, A., Aquino, S., Cornelissen, J.,
- 737 2013. New handbook for standardised measurement of plant functional traits worldwide.
 738 Aust. J. Bot. 61, 167–234
- Pesoli, P., Gratani, L., Larcher, W., 2003. Responses of *Quercus ilex* from different
 provenances to experimentally imposed water stress. Biol. Plantarum 46, 577-581.
- Quero, J.L., Villar, R., Marañón, T., Zamora R., 2006. Interactions of drought and shade
 effects on seedlings of four *Quercus* species: physiological and structural leaf responses. New
 Phytol. 170, 819-834.
- 744 Quero, J. L., Villar, R., Maranon, T., Murillo, A., Zamora, R., 2008. Plastic response to light
- and water in four Mediterranean *Quercus* species (Fagaceae). Rev. Chil. Hist. Nat. 81, 373385.
- R Core Team. (2012). R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 749 Ramírez-Valiente, J.A., Valladares, F., Gil, L., Aranda, I., 2009. Population differences in
- 750 juvenile survival under increasing drought are mediated by seed size in cork oak (*Quercus*
- 751 *suber* L.). Forest Ecol. Manag. 257, 1676-1683.
- Sala, A., Tenhunen J.D., 1994 Site-specific water relations and stomatal response of *Quercus ilex* in a Mediterranean watershed. Tree Physiol. 14, 601–617.
- Sánchez-Gómez, D., Valladares, F., Zavala, M.A., 2006. Performance of seedlings of
 Mediterranean woody species under experimental gradients of irradiance and water
 availability: trade-offs and evidence for niche differentiation. New Phytol. 170, 795-806.
- 757 Sánchez-Vilas, J., Retuerto, R., 2007. Quercus ilex shows significant among population
- variability in functional and growth traits but maintains invariant scaling relations in biomass
- 759 allocation. Int. J. Plant Sci. 168, 973–983.

- Sleighter, R., Zhanfei, L., Jianhong X., Hatcher, P.. 2010. Multivariate statistical spproaches
 for the characterization of dissolved organic matter analyzed by ultrahigh resolution mass
 spectrometry. Envir. Sci. Tech. 44, 7576–7582.
- Sokal, R., Rohlf, F., 1995. Biometry: The principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological
- Research, 3rd edition, W.H. Freeman and Company, New York.
- Tabachnick, B.G., Fidell, L.S., 2006. Using multivariate statistics. 5th edition, Pearson. Social
 Class.
- 767 Valero-Galván, J., González-Fernández, R., Navarro-Cerrillo, R.M., Gil-Pelegrín, E., Jorrín-
- Novo, J., 2013. Physiological and proteomic analyses of drought stress response in holm oak
- 769 provenances. J. Proteome Res. 12, 5110-5123.
- 770 Valero-Galván, J., Jorrín, J., Gomez, A., Ariza, D., Garcia-Olmo, J., Navarro Cerrillo, R.,
- 2012. Population variability based on the morphometry and chemical composition of the
 acorn in holm oak (*Quercus ilex* subsp. *ballota* [Desf.] Samp.) Eur. J. For. Res. 131, 893-904.
- 773 Valero-Galván, J., Valledor, L., Navarro-Cerrillo, R.M., Pelegrín, E. G., Jorrín-Novo, J.V.,
- 2011. Studies of variability in holm oak (*Quercus ilex* subsp. *ballota* [Desf.] Samp.) through
- acorn protein profile analysis. J. Proteomics 74, 1244-1255.
- Valladares, F., Martinez-Ferri, E., Balaguer, L., Perez-Corona, E., Manrique, E., 2000. Low
 leaf-level response to light and nutrients in Mediterranean evergreen oaks: a conservative
 resource-use strategy? New Phytol. 148, 79-91.
- Valladares, F., Zaragoza-Castells, J., Sánchez-Gómez, D., Matesanz, S., Alonso, B.,
 Portsmuth, A., Atkin, O., 2008. Is shade beneficial for Mediterranean shrubs experiencing
- periods of extreme drought and late-winter frosts? Ann. Bot-London 102, 923-933.
- Varone, L., Ribas-Carbo, M., Cardona, C., Gallé, A., Medrano, H., Gratani, L., Flexas, J.,
- 783 2012. Stomatal and non-stomatal limitations to photosynthesis in seedlings and saplings of

- Mediterranean species pre-conditioned and aged in nurseries: different response to water
 stress. Envir. Exp. Bot., 75, 235–247.
- Varone, L., Vitale, M., Catoni, R., Gratani, L., 2015. Physiological differences of five holm
- 787 oak (Quercus ilex L.) ecotypes growing under common growth conditions were related to
- native local climate. Plant Spec. Biol. 31, 196-210.
- 789 Villar-Salvador, P., Planelles, R., Enriquez, E., Rubira, J.P., 2004. Nursery cultivation
- regimes, plant functional attributes, and field performance relationships in the Mediterranean
- oak *Quercus ilex* L. Forest Ecol. Manag. 196, 257-266.
- Vitelli, M., Vessella, F., Cardoni, S., Pollegioni, P., Denk, T., Grimm, G., Simeone, M., 2017.
- 793 Phylogeographic structuring of plastome diversity in Mediterranean oaks (Quercus Group
- *ilex*, Fagaceae). Tree Genet. Genomes 13, 3.
- 795 Wright, I.J., Reich, P.B., Westoby, M., Ackerly, D.D., Baruch, Z., Bongers, F., Flexas, J.,
- 796 2004. The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Nature 428(6985), 821-827.





Figure 1: Linear regressions between longitudinal geographic coordinates (Long) in decimal
format (ETRS89) and *Quercus ilex* leaf traits. Ø = collar diameter (mm); LA = eaf area (cm²);
photosynthetic assimilation, Gs = stomatal conductance (mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹); Tr = transpiration
(mmol H₂O m⁻² s⁻¹); Ψ_m = midday water potential (MPa); ClR = chlorophyll a/b ratio.



Rescaled Squared Euclidean distance



Figure 2: HCA dendrogram of the 11 ecotypes. Numbers identify clusters based upon a
natural 5-group division. The bold dashed line shows the approximate rescaled squared
Euclidean distance that was selected to delineate the aforementioned clusters. See Table
2 for ecotype descriptions.



17

Figure 3: Ordination of the 11 ecotypes in two-dimensional discriminant space; the position of each ecotype was based on its mean scores that were predicted by the first and second canonical discriminant functions (CDF). The value that is in parentheses along each axis is the percentage variance explained by each CDF. The boxes below the variance explained are variables that are significantly correlated (P < 0.05) with each axis. Values in the legend correspond to clusters that were identified by HCA (Figure 2). See Table 2 for ecotype descriptions.



Figure 4: PLS weights plot. A: Pearson's correlation coefficients (*r*). Black lines correspond to response variables, light grey lines correspond to predictors. B: Scores of each case in the projected observation matrix (T). Ecotype classifications correspond to groups formed by HCA (Figure 2). See Table 2 for ecotype descriptions.

and average annual rainfall (P) (see ecotype locations in Figure S2, Supplementary Material). ო

4

			Coordinates	MASL	T_{max}	$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{min}}$	Ь
Cod.		Ecotype id. / Region. Province	(ETRS89)	(m)	(°C)	(°C)	(mm)
	Υ	Almería / Sierra de Alhamilla. Almería	36°59′N, 2°05′W	1241	25.2	8.9	277.9
More eastern	\mathbf{N}	Segura /Sierra de Segura. Jaén	38°17′N, 2°36′W	643	23.1	4.4	795.4
	\mathbf{Po}	Pozo Alcón / Sierra del Pozo. Jaén	30°17′N, 2°36′W	643	24.1	4.4	795.4
	Ч	Valdepeñas / Sierra Sur. Jaén	37°30'N, 3°56'W	618	24.8	5.9	556.3
	IJ	Granada / Arenas del Rey. Granada	36°57′N, 3°54′W	489.3	24.7	11.5	489.3
	Poz	Pozoblanco / Valle de los Pedroches. Córdoba	38°22′N, 4°54′W	618	26.8	8.1	612.7
	Ca1	Benamahoma / Sierra de Grazalema. Cádiz	36°45′N, 5°27′W	649	24.9	9.8	1263.6
	Ca2	Puerto Serrano / Sierra de la Nava. Cádiz	36°54′N, 5°31′W	373	25.5	9.5	1000.5
	$\mathbf{S1}$	Almadén / Sierra Norte. Sevilla	37°52'N, 6°05'W	482	26.4	9.5	722.1
→	H2	Corteconcepción / Sierra de Aracena. Huelva	37°54′N, 6°30′W	369	26.3	5.5	845.6
More western	H1	Calañas / Andévalo Oriental. Huelva	37°52′N, 6°51′W	184	26.5	10.5	635.7

~

S

Code	Variable	Description	Units
ΗT	Total Height	Height from root collar to upper bud	cm
Ø	Diameter	Diameter of individuals at the root collar	шш
LA	Leaf area	Mean of measured area of 10 leaves per tree	cm^{2}
LTh	Leaf thickness	Mean of measured leaf blade of 10 leaves per tree	шш
SLA	Specific leaf area	Ratio between LA and leaf dry weight	$cm^2 g^{-1}$
Α	Photosynthesis rate	Mean estimated A of tree leaves	μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹
Gs	Stomatal conductance	Mean estimated Gs of tree leaves	mmol $H_2O m^{-2} s^{-1}$
Tr	Transpiration rate	Mean estimated Tr of tree leaves	mmol $H_2O m^{-2} s^{-1}$
$\Psi_{\tt n}$	Midday water potential	Water potential of trees measured at solar midday	MPa
F_{t}	Instantaneous Fluorescence	Maximum light-adapted fluorescence of chlorophyll	Dimensionless
QY	Quantum yield	Photosystem II efficiency (F_v, F_m^{2-1})	Dimensionless
Chl_a	Chlorophyll a	Mean estimated Chla concentration of tree leaves	µg cm ⁻²
$\operatorname{Chl}_{\mathrm{b}}$	Chlorophyll b	Mean estimated Chlb concentration of tree leaves	µg cm ⁻²
Carot	α and β carotenes	Mean estimated carotenes concentration of tree leaves	μg cm ⁻²
Chl_R	Chlorophylls ratio	Ratio between Chlb and Chlb (Chla Chlb ⁻¹)	Dimensionless

Table 2. Variables measured in common garden phenotypic experiment in Hinojosa del Duque (southern Spain).

~

Table 3. Morphological variables of ecotypes of Quercus ilex that were established in the common garden phenotypic experiment in Hinojosa 10

11 del Duque (southern Spain). Ecotypes are ordered according to Table 1.

Ecotype	Height (cm)	Diameter (mm)	Leaf Area (cm²)	Leaf Thickness (mm)	SLA (cm ² g ¹)
Υ	$87.8\pm10.3^{\rm b}$	13.4 ± 1.0^{b}	$2.30\pm0.33~^{ab}$	0.99 ± 0.30	35.51 ± 1.81
\mathbf{N}	129.4 ± 13.7^{ab}	21.2 ± 2.1^{ab}	$2.29\pm0.45~^{ab}$	1.23 ± 0.12	32.59 ± 2.52
Po	89.0 ± 9.7^{ab}	$14.0 \pm 2.6^{\mathrm{b}}$	3.33 ± 0.53 ^{ab}	1.16 ± 0.23	32.89 ± 3.39
Р	125.0 ± 14.8^{ab}	20.9 ± 1.5^{ab}	$2.68\pm0.29~^{\rm ab}$	1.33 ± 0.17	36.53 ± 2.24
Ð	101.4 ± 13.1^{ab}	19.5 ± 2.4^{ab}	$3.47\pm0.51~^{ab}$	1.69 ± 0.17	32.91 ± 0.92
Poz	$149.0\pm13.7^{\mathrm{a}}$	27.2 ± 1.6^{a}	4.31 ± 0.51^{a}	1.69 ± 0.19	35.72 ± 0.96
Ca1	102.6 ± 9.2^{ab}	19.3 ± 0.8^{ab}	2.16 ± 0.27^{b}	1.60 ± 0.13	33.27 ± 1.13
Ca2	134.0 ± 4.4^{ab}	28.6 ± 0.9^{a}	$3.51\pm0.28{}^{ab}$	1.42 ± 0.27	34.67 ± 0.47
S1	125.8 ± 9.7^{ab}	27.1 ± 1.7^{a}	3.74 ± 0.42 ^{ab}	1.41 ± 0.24	35.70 ± 0.90
H2	121.5 ± 20.1^{ab}	22.6 ± 3.4^{ab}	$4.10\pm0.40~^{ab}$	1.63 ± 0.06	33.34 ± 0.32
H1	127.5 ± 8.5^{ab}	25.9 ± 3.1^{a}	$4.07\pm0.64~^{\rm ab}$	1.16 ± 0.10	35.52 ± 1.37

Table 4. Physiological variables of ecotypes of Quercus ilex that were established in the common garden phenotypic experiment in Hinojosa del Duque (southern Spain).

		Stomatal Conductance	Transpiration		Inst.	Quantum				
Ecotype	Photosynthesis (µmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	(mmol H ₂ O m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	(mmol H ₂ O m ⁻² s ⁻)	Water Pot. (MPa)	Fluorescence (a.u.)	Yield (a.u.)	Chlorophyll a (µg cm ⁻²)	Chlorophyll b (µg cm ⁻²)	a&β-carotenes (µg cm ⁻²)	Chlorophyll ratio
F	19.35 ± 5.07^a	$0.30\pm0.05^{\rm a}$	$9.64\pm1.46^{\rm ~ab}$	$-2.3\pm0.02^{\circ}$	$1.252.9 \pm 205.7$	0.58 ± 0.04	17.84 ± 5.36	5.05 ± 2.23^{ab}	7.04 ± 1.35^{ab}	4.29 ± 0.66^{abc}
Cal	8.31 ± 1.43^{b}	$0.10\pm0.02^{\rm c}$	4.21 ± 0.64^{b}	-3.9 ± 0.12^{abc}	$1.860.7 \pm 49.5$	0.54 ± 0.01	16.35 ± 2.96	7.34 ± 0.34^{a}	8.99 ± 0.60^{a}	$2.30\pm0.46^{\rm d}$
Ca2	$14.17\pm1.97^{\mathrm{ab}}$	0.18 ± 0.02^{abc}	$6.49\pm0.83~^{ab}$	$\textbf{-3.9}\pm0.07^{abc}$	$1.545.7 \pm 115.3$	0.57 ± 0.02	17.10 ± 3.44	5.84 ± 0.74^{ab}	$8.35\pm1.05^{\rm a}$	2.88 ± 0.20^{bcd}
G	19.64 ± 1.18^{a}	0.29 ± 0.02^{ab}	10.61 ± 0.50^{a}	-2.2 ± 0.39^{bc}	$1.393.4 \pm 79.2$	0.56 ± 0.03	9.56 ± 2.12	2.22 ± 0.59^{b}	$4.09\pm0.58^{\rm b}$	4.50 ± 0.21^{ab}
IH	8.67 ± 1.16^{b}	$0.11\pm0.02^{\circ}$	$4.81\pm0.68^{\rm b}$	-4.1 ± 0.12^{a}	$1.746.8 \pm 109.0$	0.50 ± 0.03	18.33 ± 2.06	6.97 ± 0.67^{a}	$9.18\pm0.73^{\rm a}$	2.65 ± 0.14^{cd}
H2	$12.93\pm1.21^{\rm ab}$	$0.15\pm0.01^{\circ}$	$6.66\pm0.62~^{ab}$	-4.1 ± 0.09^{a}	$1.874.2 \pm 160.4$	0.49 ± 0.01	16.13 ± 0.24	5.83 ± 0.48^{ab}	$8.40\pm0.17^{\rm a}$	$2.89\pm0.26_{bcd}$
Ρ	12.21 ± 2.78^{ab}	0.18 ± 0.04^{abc}	$8.46\pm1.92~^{ab}$	-4.0 ± 0.17^{ab}	$1.682.7 \pm 121.9$	0.53 ± 0.06	8.52 ± 2.41	$2.24\pm0.81^{\rm b}$	$4.02\pm0.62^{\mathrm{b}}$	4.08 ± 0.27^{abc}
Po	7.23 ± 2.92^{b}	$0.11\pm0.05^{\circ}$	$5.25\pm2.19~^{ab}$	-4.3 ± 0.16^{a}	$1.533.9 \pm 129.4$	0.47 ± 0.04	13.91 ± 2.85	4.19 ± 1.16^{ab}	6.46 ± 1.15^{ab}	3.76 ± 0.50^{abcd}
Poz	11.79 ± 2.55^{ab}	$0.16\pm0.03^{\rm bc}$	5.52 ± 0.95 ^{ab}	-4.0 ± 0.18^{ab}	$1.813.8 \pm 189.4$	0.56 ± 0.06	14.30 ± 3.12	4.41 ± 1.10^{ab}	6.90 ± 1.25^{ab}	3.55 ± 0.31^{abcd}
S	$15.07\pm2.16^{\mathrm{ab}}$	0.20 ± 0.03^{abc}	$8.53\pm1.17~^{\rm ab}$	$-3.3\pm0.31^{\mathrm{abc}}$	$1.770.1 \pm 136.7$	0.57 ± 0.04	8.41 ± 1.48	$1.97 \pm 0.40^{\rm b}$	$3.58\pm0.57^{\mathrm{b}}$	4.62 ± 0.63^{a}
SI	8.38 ± 1.33^{b}	$0.10\pm0.02^{\circ}$	$4.58\pm0.86^{\rm b}$	-4.1 ± 0.13^{a}	$1.834.6 \pm 182.3$	0.53 ± 0.04	15.19 ± 1.24	6.59 ± 0.62^{a}	8.37 ± 0.50^{a}	2.37 ± 0.18^{d}

Table 5. Correlations between morphological and physiological variables that were measured in Quercus ilex saplings. Correlation coefficients below the diagonal are Pearson correlations (r); those above the diagonal are Spearman rank correlations (p). Correlations significantly greater than zero are in bold. Variable descriptions are in Table 2.

	ΤH	Ø	LA	LTh	SLA	A	Gs	Tr	Ψ.	F _t	QY	Chla	Chl	Carot	Chl _R	Lat	Long	MASL
HI	:	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷		÷	-0.202	÷	÷	:	:	÷	:	÷	÷	:
0	0.730**	:	:	:	:	:	:	÷	-0.051	:	÷	:	:	:	:	÷	:	:
LA	0.399**	0.274*	:	÷	÷	÷	:	÷	0.131	÷	÷	÷	÷	:	:	÷	÷	:
LTh	0.105	0.072	0.149	:	:	:	÷	:	0.171	÷	:	:	:	÷	:	÷	÷	:
SLA	0.043	0.097	0.123	-0.229	:	÷	÷	:	0.057	÷	÷	:	:	:	÷	÷	÷	÷
V	0.182	0.073	-0.037	0.105	-0.089	:	:	:	-0.637**	:	÷	÷	÷	:	:	÷	÷	:
Ğ	0.171	0.033	-0.072	0.061	-0.088	0.935**	:	÷	-0.696**	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	:	÷
Tr	0.137	0.046	-0.177	0.005	-0.036	0.839**	0.912**	:	-0.607**	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	:	÷
÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	÷	:	:	-0.443**	0.126	0.123	0.287*	0.309*	-0.441**	0.131	0.434*	-0.300*
Ŀ	0.214	0.225	0.256^{*}	-0.147	0.223	-0.227	-0.301*	-0.258*	:	:	÷	÷	÷	:	÷	÷	÷	÷
QY	0.344**	0.24	0.102	-0.016	0.303*	0.500**	0.477**	0.432**	:	0.223	:	÷	÷	:	:	÷	÷	:
Chla	0.121	0.138	0.184	-0.033	-0.054	0.024	-0.028	-0.198	:	0.025	0.12	:	÷	:	:	÷	÷	:
Chl	0.115	0.18	0.23	0.018	-0.06	-0.154	-0.247	-0.405**	:	0.148	-0.00	0.860**	:	:	÷	÷	÷	÷
Carot	0.107	0.209	0.239	0.04	-0.062	-0.178	-0.267*	-0.412**	:	0.109	-0.005	0.905**	0.932**	:	:	÷	÷	÷
Chl _R	-0.070	-0.168	-0.257*	-0.055	-0.008	0.392**	0.513**	0.592**	:	-0.321*	0.229	-0.339**	- **607.0-	-0.561**	:	÷	:	:
Lat	0.289^{*}	0.157	0.212	0.011	0.022	-0.145	-0.182	-0.086	:	0.272*	-0.090	-0.168	-0.204	-0.186	0.131	:	÷	÷
Long	0.260^{*}	0.513*	0.383**	0.182	0.086	-0.265*	-0.391**	-0.368**	:	0.312*	-0.127	0.309*	0.527**	0.567**	-0.625**	-0.047	:	:
MASL	-0.275*	-0.478**	-0.387**	-0.151	0.009	0.230	-0.351**	0.239	:	-0.250	0.165	-0.077	-0.208	-0.248	0.370	-0.107	-0.761**	:
*= Signifi	cant correls	ation at P <	< 0,05															

**= Significant correlation at P < 0,01

Table 6: Results of principal component analysis (PCA) of morphological and physiological that were selected for further multivariate analysis. † Variables that exhibited the highest correlations on each component. Correlations significantly greater than zero are in bold.

		Con	nponent ma	ntrix		Loadings	
	Communalities	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC1	PC2	PC3
TH	0.633	0.026	0.756 †	0.246	-0.281	0.806	0.088
Tr	0.789	0.849 †	0.197	0.169	0.554	0.425	-0.262
F_t	0.942	-0.381	0.584	-0.676†	0.049	0.212	0.979
QY	0.731	0.391	0.757 †	0.063	0.149	0.813	0.182
Carot	0.827	-0.696†	0.22	0.543	-0.992	0.266	-0.268
Chl_R	0.780	0.872 †	-0.125	-0.062	0.790	0.041	-0.187
			Explaine	d Variance	37.75 %	26.48 %	14.14 %

Cumulative Variance 37.75 % 64.23 %

78.37 %

Table S1: Canonical discriminant function (CDF) analysis of five groups that were based a
priori upon HCA and which include as predictors the variables that are listed in the table.
The values within the table are correlations between the individual variables and
discriminant scores for a particular CDF axis. *Significant correlations (r < 0.05) are shown
in boldface type.

	Canonical D	iscriminant F	unction Axis
	CDF1	CDF2	CDF3
TH	-0.013	0.331	-0.626*
Ψ_m	0.699*	-0.498	-0.513
Ft	0.081	0.717*	-0.208
QY	-0.115	0.262	0.958*
Carot	0.21	-0.309	0.380*
Chl_R	-0.223	0.783*	-0.581
Eigenvalues	29.914	14.976	1.598
Expl. Variance	64.3 %	32.2 %	3.4 %
Cum. Variance	64.3 %	96.6 %	100 %

Table S2: Predictors that were included in PLS (N = 63) regression and their correlations with the response variables (based upon Pearson's product-moment correlations), after sparsity analysis and selection of predictor variables (X matrix).

		Response Matrix	
		hR a A h	
Var	Description	CI 001	
Clay	Average Clay content		
Sand	Average sand content	····	
CEC	Cation Exchange capacity		Legend
WRC	Water retencion capacity	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	— (0.7 (-h-)
eto CCE wood	Canopy Cover Fraction of wooded area (%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r < 0.7 (abs)
	Tanographic watness index		1 < 0.8 (abs)
n sun	Nitrogen content (%)	-	1 × 0.0 (abs)
n_sup nh	Soil pH		\overline{O} n < 0.05
ff f	Soil fine material content (%)		$x_{n < 0.01}$
tp e w	East -west orientation (degrees)		CHOP 0,01
tp expo	Slope exposition degree (sunligth and shade)		
tp_slope	Mean slope (%)		
tp_dsr_w	Direct solar radiation - winter		
tp_dsr_a	Direct solar radiation - autmn		
tp_dsr_sp	Direct solar radiation - spring		
tp_dsr_su	Direct solar radiation - summer		
tp_s_n	South-North orientation (degrees)		
etp_ann	Annual mean of monthly potential evapotranspiration (mm)		
etp_win	Average monthly potential evapotranspiration - winter (mm)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
etp_sum	Average monthly potential evapotranspiration - summer (mm)		
etp_spr	Average monthly potential evapotranspiration - spring (mm)	·····	
etp_aut	Average monthly potential evapotranspiration - autumn (mm)	·····	
etr_win	Annual Average monthly real evapotranspiration (mm)	····· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···	
etr_sum	Average monthly real evapotranspiration - summer (mm)		
etr aut	Average monthly real evapotranspiration - spring (mm)		
etr_ann	Average monthly real evapotranspiration - autumn (mm)		
denth	Effective soil depth (cm)	······································	
elevation	Mean elevation (MASL)		
maxt ann	Annual mean for Maximum temperatures (°C)		
maxt aut	Average Maximum temperatures - autumn (°C)	<u> </u>	
maxt_spr	Average Maximum temperatures - spring (°C)	Ŏ	
maxt_sum	Average Maximum temperatures - summer (°C)		
maxt_win	Average Maximum temperatures - winter (°C)		
meant_ann	Annual average mean monthly temperatures (°C)		
meant_aut	Average mean autumn temperatures (°C)	*	
meant_spr	Average mean spring temperatures (°C)		
meant_sum	Average mean summer temperatures (°C)		
meant_win	Average mean winter temperatures (°C)		
mint_ann	Annual mean for Minimum temperatures (°C)		
mint_aut	Average Minimum temperatures - autumn (°C)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
mini_spr	Average Minimum temperatures summer (90)		
mint_sum	Average Minimum temperatures - summer (°C)		
tmaxahs an	Average annual of monthly Absolute Maximum Temperature (°C)	·····	
tmaxabs_au	Average monthly Absolute Maximum Temperature - Autumn(°C)		
tmaxabs sp	Average monthly Absolute Maximum Temperature - spring (°C)		
tmaxabs su	Average monthly Absolute Maximum Temperature - summer(°C)	<u> </u>	
tmaxabs wi	Average monthly Absolute Maximum Temperature - winter (°C)		
ta	Mean annual temperature (°C)		
tminc	Average minimum temperatures of the coldest month (°C)	Ö 👬	
tmaxw	Average maximum temperatures of the warmest month (°C)		
tmw	Average temperatures of the warmest month (°C)		
tmc	Average temperatures of the coldest month (°C)		
osc2	Total thermal oscilation (°C)		
oscl	Average Annual of thermal oscilation (°C)		
etott	Average evapotranspiration annual (mm)		
ptt	Average Precipitation Annual (mm)	·	
p_sp	Average Precipitation Spring (mm)	····	
p_su	Average Precipitation Summer (mm)		
p_au p_win	Average Precipitation Muturin (mm)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
P_win	Average r recipitation winter (iiiii)		

Table S3: Final selection	of predictor matrix after sparsity analysis (N=47).	
Code	Description	

Code	Description
	Climatic
eto	Mean annual reference evapotranspiration (mm)
etr sum	Average monthly real evapotranspiration - winter (mm)
etr_spr	Average monthly real evapotranspiration - summer (mm)
etr_aut	Average monthly real evapotranspiration - spring (mm)
etr_ann	Average monthly real evapotranspiration - autumn (mm)
elevation	Mean elevation (MASL)
maxt_ann	Annual mean for Maximum temperatures (°C)
maxt_aut	Average Maximum temperatures - autumn (°C)
maxt_spr	Average Maximum temperatures - spring (°C)
maxt sum	Average Maximum temperatures - summer (°C)
maxt win	Average Maximum temperatures - winter (°C)
meant sum	Average mean summer temperatures (°C)
meant win	Average mean winter temperatures (°C)
mint ann	Annual mean for Minimum temperatures (°C)
mint aut	Average Minimum temperatures - autumn (°C)
mint spr	Average Minimum temperatures - spring (°C)
mint sum	Average Minimum temperatures - summer (°C)
mint win	Average Minimum temperatures - winter (°C)
tmaxabs an	Average annual of monthly Absolute Maximum Temperature (°C)
tmaxabs au	Average monthly Absolute Maximum Temperature - Autumn(°C)
tmaxabs sp	Average monthly Absolute Maximum Temperature - spring (°C)
tmaxabs su	Average monthly Absolute Maximum Temperature - summer(°C)
tmaxabs wi	Average monthly Absolute Maximum Temperature - winter (°C)
ta	Mean annual temperature (°C)
tmaxw	Average maximum temperatures of the warmest month (°C)
tmw	Average temperatures of the warmest month (°C)
tmc	Average temperatures of the coldest month (°C)
etott	Average evapotranspiration annual (mm)
ptt	Average Precipitation Annual (mm)
p sp	Average Precipitation Spring (mm)
p su	Average Precipitation Summer (mm)
раи	Average Precipitation Autumn (mm)
p win	Average Precipitation Winter (mm)
	Topographic
CCF wood	Canopy Cover Fraction of wooded area (%)
- TWI	Topographic wetness index
tp e w	East -west orientation (degrees)
tp expo	Slope exposition degree (sunlight and shade)
tp slope	Mean slope (%)
tp dsr w	Direct solar radiation - winter
tp dsr a	Direct solar radiation - autmn
tp dsr sp	Direct solar radiation - spring
tp s n	South-North orientation (degrees)
	Edaphic
Clav	Average Clay content
Sand	Average sand content
denth	Effective soil depth (cm)



19

Figure S1: Location of the common garden collection in Andalusia, southern Spain (top left). Location of Hinojosa del Duque in relation to other cultural and physical features (middle left). Aerial view of the IFAPA facility (upper right). The two lower panels summarise trends in annual and seasonal meteorological data.



Figure S2: Locations of provenance or ecotype populations of holm oak that are included in
the Hinojosa del Duque collection.



Figure S3: Dendrogram of ecotypes (n = 11, denoted by abbreviations included in Table 1) and individuals (6 per ecotype) that were measured, compared and agglomerated into increasing larger groups using Ward's method. Five natural groups (each identified by number and circled) emerged from the analysis. Dashed lines running perpendicular to the X-axis show the approximate Rescaled Squared Euclidean Distance between adjacent individuals, groups of individuals and larger clusters.



37

Figure S4: A plot of the difference in sums-of-squares error (Δ SSE) that is associated with the Squared Euclidean Distance between clusters versus agglomeration step (Iteration). At step 1, each observation (n = 66) is its own cluster and the Squared Euclidean Distance is the mean value across all individuals. With each increasing iteration, cluster size becomes larger and cluster number becomes smaller along the X-axis. Natural groupings coincided with the abrupt change in (Δ SSE), i.e., the maximum derivative of the slope of curve between iterations 56 and 57 (Circled).