

1 **Occurrence and variability of sexual polyembryony in olive cultivars**

2 Carlos Trapero¹, Diego Barranco¹, Antonio Martín², Concepción M. Díez^{1,3}.

3 ¹Departamento de Agronomía, Universidad de Córdoba-Campus de Excelencia Internacional

4 Agroalimentario ceiA3, Edificio C4, Campus de Rabanales, 14014 Córdoba, Spain.

5 ²Instituto de Agricultura Sostenible, IAS-CSIC, 14080, Córdoba, Spain.

6 ³Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, U.C. Irvine, CA, USA.

7

8 **Abstract**

9 The occurrence of spontaneous sexual polyembryony is described and characterized for
10 cultivated olive (*Olea europaea* L.). We screened seeds from 24 olive cultivars and found
11 significant differences in the frequency of polyembryonic seeds between them. Cultivar
12 ‘Cornicabra’ and, especially, ‘Meski’ yielded the highest ratio of polyembryonic seeds (1.6%
13 and 3.0%, respectively), indicating that polyembryony is a low-frequency (0.95% of 5287
14 observed seeds) but cultivar-dependent feature in olive. Polyembryonic seeds consisted of two
15 and eventually three embryos with a normal endosperm. Simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers
16 were used to characterize the nature of the polyembryonic seedlings. DNA profiles indicated
17 that polyembryonic seedlings in olive have a sexual origin because their profiles were identical
18 and distinguishable from the mother parent. Therefore, polyembryony in olive is of a sexual
19 origin and is due to monozygotic cleavage after normal fertilization. To the best of our
20 knowledge, this is the first evidence of polyembryony in olive and its occurrence in a
21 representative number of cultivars.

22 **Keywords:** *Olea europaea*, polyembryonic, twin embryos, monozygotic cleavage, seedlings.

23

24

25

26 **1. Introduction**

27 Polyembryony is defined as the development of multiple embryos within the same
28 seedcoat (Webber, 1940). This phenomenon was discovered by Leeuwenhoek in 1719 and can
29 be divided into two main types based on the cellular origin of the embryogenesis: gametophytic
30 and sporophytic. Gametophytic polyembryony includes apogamety and apospory. Sporophytic
31 polyembryony includes monozygotic cleavage and nucellar, integumental and endospermal
32 polyembryony. All these forms of polyembryony except monozygotic cleavage and
33 endospermal polyembryony are asexual reproduction mechanisms through seeds (apomixis)
34 (Batygina and Vinogradova, 2007; Webber, 1940). It is important to distinguish between
35 polyembryony and the presence of several seeds within the same endocarp. While
36 polyembryony has not been described for olive yet, the presence of double-seeded fruits,
37 originated from different fertilization events in two of the four ovules of the flower (Rapoport
38 and Rallo, 1990), is known in this species (Cuevas et al. 1994). Doubled-seeded fruit is not a
39 common phenomenon in olive and its frequency depends on the mother cultivar (Cuevas and
40 Oller, 2002; Farinelli et al., 2012).

41 Polyembryony is a relevant phenomenon in the breeding of some species, where its
42 occurrence has been reported as relatively frequent. This is the case of citrus species where most
43 apomictic embryos arise from nucellar tissue and therefore bear the same genotype as their
44 female genitor. Polyembryony facilitates the rootstock breeding process (García et al., 1999;
45 Koltunow et al., 1996) and the generation of disease-free citrus plants (Bruno, 1962; Koltunow
46 et al., 1996). This phenomenon is advantageous for breeding other fruit crop species, such as
47 mango (Aron et al., 1998; Knight, 1970; Sauco et al., 2001). Conversely, polyembryony might
48 also be a serious drawback. For instance, apomictic embryos in citrus seriously hinder the
49 identification of true hybrids, which are the product of crossing between different cultivars in a
50 breeding program (Oliveira et al., 2002).

51 Olive breeding has been developed over the last several decades (Bellini et al., 2002;
52 Lavee, 1990; Ozdemir et al., 2013; Rallo et al., 2007). The germination of vast numbers of

53 seedlings within the olive-breeding program carried out by the University of Cordoba, Spain,
54 allowed us to observe for first time cases of polyembryony in olive. This phenomenon might be
55 a possible source of new lines such as haploids or aneuploids that could be useful for breeding
56 and understanding the genetic mechanisms ruling important agronomical characters (Kimber
57 and Riley, 1963).

58 The goal of this study was to describe and characterize the nature of polyembryony in
59 olive as well as its variability in a representative group of olive cultivars.

60

61 **2. Materials and methods**

62

63 *2.1 Plant material and frequency of polyembryony events*

64 Olive seeds were collected from trees grown under homogeneous conditions in the
65 World Olive Germplasm Bank of Cordoba (WOGBC), located in the IFAPA research center in
66 Cordoba, Spain.

67 We first collected open-pollinated seeds from 24 different olive cultivars and screened
68 100–200 of them to assess the occurrence of polyembryony and whether this phenomenon
69 might have a variable frequency among cultivars (Table 1). Seeds were dissected and
70 individually observed under a stereoscopic microscope (Nikon SMZ-2T, Nikon Corporation,
71 Tokyo, Japan) to determine the existence of polyembryonic seeds. We increased the number of
72 the assessed seeds (depending on their availability) in those cultivars showing polyembryony.
73 Subsequently, we sowed seeds of these cultivars and others such as ‘Picual’ that although did
74 not show polyembryony in the first screening, are massively used as genitors in the
75 olive breeding program giving rise to large progenies (Trapero et al., 2011). Seeds were
76 stratified in a mixture of peat, coir and perlite (55:30:15) at 14 °C for 30 days and then grown in
77 a greenhouse at 22 ± 5 °C and continuous light. Polyembryonic seedlings were identified just
78 after germination, transplanted to 1.5-l pots and grown under the conditions described above.

79 The differences in the frequency of polyembryony events between the 24 evaluated
80 cultivars were analyzed by a Pearson's Chi-squared nonparametric test at $P = 0.05$ (Table 1),

81 considering the observed and expected frequencies of polyembryonic seeds in each cultivar.
82 Statistical analyses were performed using the program Statistix 10.0 (Analytical Software,
83 Tallahassee, USA) and taking into account the total number of seeds evaluated and sown (5,287
84 seeds in total).

85

86 *2.2 Genotyping polyembryonic seedlings*

87 With the main goal of determining the sexual or asexual nature of the polyembryony in
88 olive, we analyzed 35 seedlings from 17 polyembryonic seeds with outstanding SSR markers
89 previously used in the characterization of olive germplasm (Díez et al., 2012; Haouane et al.,
90 2011; Trujillo et al., 2013). SSR markers have been successfully used to distinguish apomictic
91 and sexual embryos in other fruit species, such as citrus (Aleza et al., 2010; Oliveira et al.,
92 2002) and almond (Martínez-Gómez and Gradziel, 2003).

93 Total genomic DNA was extracted from completely developed leaves using the CTAB method
94 proposed by Murray and Thompson (1980) with the modifications described by de la Rosa et al.
95 (2002). DNA quality and quantification were assessed by electrophoresis on 0.8% (w/v) agarose
96 gels. Subsequently, the samples were genotyped using six SSR markers: *ssrOeUA-DCA03*,
97 *ssrOeUA-DCA09*, *ssrOeUA-DCA11*, *ssrOeUA-DCA16* and *ssrOeUA-DCA18* (Sefc et al.,
98 2000), and *UDO99-043* (Cipriani et al., 2002). The SSR amplification was performed in a total
99 volume of 20µl, containing 2ng of genomic DNA, 1X supplied PCR buffer (Biotools, Spain),
100 200µM of each dNTP (Roche), 0.25 units of Taq DNA polymerase (Biotools, Spain) and 0.2
101 µM of forward (fluorescently labeled) and reverse primers. The PCR reactions were carried out
102 on a thermal cycler (Perkin-Elmer-9600) using the following program: denaturation at 94°C for
103 5 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 20 s, 50 °C for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension at 72°C
104 for 7 min. Detection of amplification products was carried out with an automated sequencer
105 ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems/HITACHI) using the internal standard
106 GeneScan 400 HD-Rox. Two cultivars, ‘Arbequina’ and ‘Frantoio’, were used as controls in all
107 runs.

108

3. Results and Discussion

In this study we describe for first time the occurrence of polyembryony in olive. This phenomenon was observed when germinating a large number of progenies within the framework of an olive-breeding program. Polyembryony was detected only in eight out of the 24 screened olive cultivars. This cultivar specificity is in agreement with other fruit species in which polyembryony is also a genetically regulated character (Aron et al., 1998; Batygina and Vinogradova, 2007; Kishore et al., 2012).

The frequency of polyembryonic seeds was lower than the phenomenon of double-seeding reported by Farinelli et al. (2012). However, both cases were highly cultivar-dependent. Polyembryony ranged between 3.0% for the cultivar 'Meski' and 0% shown by most of the cultivars (Table 1). In contrast, the frequency of double seeding ranged between 2.4% and 23.7% for the set of cultivars studied by Farinelli et al. (2012).

Despite we dissected a high number of seeds per cultivar we cannot discard the occurrence of polyembryony in the cultivars in which it was not found, given the general low frequency of polyembryonic events. Cultivars 'Meski' and 'Cornicabra' yielded significantly more polyembryonic seeds than the other cultivars according to the Chi-square test at $P = 0.05$ (Table 1). Thus, we highlight these cultivars as the most interesting for future studies about polyembryony in olive.

The majority of the polyembryonic seeds consisted of two embryos (duplet), with one of them typically surrounding the other (Figure 1). The seeds had a regular endosperm covered by a common seedcoat. Only one polyembryonic seed had three embryos (triplet). Although it was not quantified, the biomass partitioning appears to be similar among the embryos for most of the olive polyembryonic seeds. In contrast, in *Citrus* species, an unequal biomass distribution of the embryos is the most usual situation (Kishore et al., 2012).

When both embryos showed equivalent biomass their germination and development were generally similar to those of a regular monoembryonic seedling (Figure 2a). Conversely, when the embryos showed an unequal size, one of them exhibited abnormal development and a

137 low growth rate (Figure 2b). Despite their abnormal size, some of these seedlings were viable,
138 achieving a regular size after the first weeks after germination.

139 We applied SSR markers to determine the sexual or asexual origin of the polyembryonic
140 seedlings in olive. To do so, we genotyped 35 polyembryonic seedlings (16 duplets and 1
141 triplet) using six SSR markers, achieving a consistent result: seedlings from polyembryonic
142 seeds were genetically identical and distinguishable from their mother parent. The six SSR
143 markers amplified correctly, showing most of them heterozygous profiles (Table 2). This
144 pervasive heterozygosity discards the possibility of having haploid genotypes among our
145 polyembryonic seedlings; however, the possibility of aneuploidy cannot be completely ruled
146 out. Aneuploidy was reported in almond, being particularly frequent in polyembryonic seedlings
147 with unequal biomass (Martínez-Gómez and Gradziel, 2003). Flow cytometry or karyotype
148 analyses would be required to assess this possibility in olive because our six SSR markers are
149 way far from covering the 46 olive chromosomes. Aneuploid genotypes might be especially
150 interesting to determine the location, effects and interactions of the genes present on the absent
151 chromosome. However, aneuploidy usually has harmful consequences that make the survival of
152 the seedlings impossible.

153 According to our findings, the polyembryony observed in olive is sexual, resulting from
154 monozygotic cleavage after a normal fertilization. This type of polyembryony, which leads to
155 what often is called “twin embryos”, occurs when the original zygote splits into multiple
156 genetically identical embryos (Batygina and Vinogradova, 2007). This phenomenon has been
157 reported in other tree species, such as almond (Martínez-Gómez and Gradziel, 2003), *Citrus*
158 (Aleza et al., 2010) and *Araucaria* species (Agapito-Tenfen et al., 2012).

159 The occurrence of “twin embryos” and the possibility of finding aneuploidy in olive can be
160 useful for genetic and breeding studies. In addition, studies of twin plants can improve our
161 understanding of how genetic, environmental and stochastic factors impact upon epigenetics,
162 affecting for instance developmental changes such as the transition from juvenile to adult.
163 However, the low frequency of polyembryony events imposes the screening of a large number

164 of progenies even in cultivars particularly prone to exhibit this phenomenon, such as ‘Meski’ or
165 ‘Cornicabra’.

166

167 **Acknowledgements**

168 We thank Professor Luis Rallo for his helpful advices and comments. This research was
169 supported by the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science (project AGL 2007-65184) and by
170 the Andalusian Regional Government (project P10-AGR6573).

171

172 **References**

173 Agapito-Tenfen, S.Z., Steiner, N., Guerra, M.P., Nodari, R.O., 2012. Patterns of polyembryony
174 and frequency of surviving multiple embryos of the Brazilian pine *Araucaria angustifolia*. *Aust.*
175 *J. Bot.* 59, 749–755.

176 Aleza, P., Juárez, J., Ollitrault, P., Navarro, L., 2010. Polyembryony in non-apomictic citrus
177 genotypes. *Ann. Bot.* 106, 533–45.

178 Aron, Y., Czosnek, H., Gazit, S., Degani, C., 1998. Polyembryony in mango (*Mangifera indica*
179 *L.*) is controlled by a single dominant gene. *HortScience* 33, 1241–1242.

180 Batygina, T.B., Vinogradova, G.Y., 2007. Phenomenon of polyembryony. *Genetic*
181 *heterogeneity of seeds. Russ. J. Dev. Biol.* 38, 126–151.

182 Bellini, E., Giordani, E., Parlati, M.V., 2002. Three new olive cultivars obtained by cross-
183 breeding. *Acta Hort.* 586, 221–223.

184 Bruno, A., 1962. A vegetative method for producing virus-free nucellar citrus budwood. *Nature*
185 195, 1121–1122.

186 Cipriani, G., Marrazzo, M.T., Marconi, R., Cimato, A., Testolin, R., 2002. Microsatellite
187 markers isolated in olive (*Olea europaea L.*) are suitable for individual fingerprinting and
188 reveal polymorphism within ancient cultivars. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 104, 223–228.

189 Cuevas, J., Oller, R., 2002. Olive seed set and its impact on seed and fruit weight. *Acta Hort.*
190 586:485-488.

191 Cuevas, J., Rallo, L., Rapoport, H.F., 1994. Procedure to study ovule senescence in olive. *Acta*
192 *Hortic.* 356, 252–256.

193 Díez, C.M., Imperato, A., Rallo, L., Barranco, D., Trujillo, I., 2012. Worldwide core collection
194 of olive cultivars based on simple sequence repeat and morphological markers. *Crop Sci.*
195 52, 211–221.

196 Farinelli, D., Pierantozzi, P., Palese, A. M., 2012. Pollinizer and cultivar influence seed number
197 and fruit characteristics in *Olea europaea* L. *Hortscience* 47: 1430-1437.

198 García, R., Asíns, M.J., Forner, J., Carbonell, E.A., 1999. Genetic analysis of apomixis in *Citrus*
199 and *Poncirus* by molecular markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 99, 511–8.

200 Haouane, H., El Bakkali, A., Moukhli, A., Tollon, C., Santoni, S., Oukabli, A., El Modafar, C.,
201 Khadari, B., 2011. Genetic structure and core collection of the World Olive Germplasm
202 Bank of Marrakech: Towards the optimised management and use of Mediterranean olive
203 genetic resources. *Genetica* 139, 1083–1094.

204 Kimber, G., Riley, R., 1963. Haploid Angiosperms. *Bot. Rev.* 29, 480–531.

205 Kishore, K., Monika, N., Rinchen, D., Lepcha, B., Pandey, B., 2012. Polyembryony and
206 seedling emergence traits in apomictic citrus. *Sci. Hortic.* 138, 101–107.

207 Knight, R.J. J., 1970. Polyembryonic mangos: their unrealized potential. *Proc. Trop. Reg. Am.*
208 *Soc. Hortic. Sci.* 14, 145–155.

209 Koltunow, A.M., Hidaka, T., Robinson, S.P., 1996. Polyembryony in *Citrus*: Accumulation of
210 seed storage proteins in seeds and in embryos cultured in vitro. *Plant Physiol.* 110, 599–
211 609.

212 Lavee, S., 1990. Aims, methods, and advances in breeding of new olive (*Olea europaea* L.)
213 cultivars. *Acta Hortic.* 286, 23–36.

214 Martínez-Gómez, P., Gradziel, T.M., 2003. Sexual polyembryony in almond. *Sex. Plant*
215 *Reprod.* 16, 135–139.

216 Murray, M.G., Thompson, W.F., 1980. Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant DNA.
217 *Nucleic Acids Res.* 8, 4321–4326.

- 218 Oliveira, A.C. de, Garcia, A.N., Cristofani, M., Machado, M.A., 2002. Identification of citrus
219 hybrids through the combination of leaf apex morphology and SSR markers. *Euphytica*
220 128, 397–403.
- 221 Ozdemir, Y., Aktepe Tangu, N., Akcay, M., 2013. Generating omega-3 rich olive oil by cross
222 breeding. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 115, 977–981.
- 223 Rallo, L., Barranco, D., De la Rosa, R., León, L., 2007. The olive breeding program of Cordoba,
224 Spain. *HortScience* 42, 988.
- 225 Rapoport, H.F., Rallo, L., 1990. Ovule development in normal and parthenocarpic olive fruits.
226 *Acta Hortic.* 286, 223–226.
- 227 Saucó, V.G., Martín, M.J.G., Galván, D.F., Torres, A.C., Juárez, J., Navarro, L., 2001.
228 Occurrence of spontaneous tetraploid nucellar mango plants. *HortScience* 36, 755–757.
- 229 Sefc, K.M., Lopes, M.S., Mendonça, D., Rodrigues Dos Santos, M., Laimer Da Câmara
230 Machado, M., Da Câmara Machado, A., 2000. Identification of microsatellite loci in olive
231 (*Olea europaea*) and their characterization in Italian and Iberian olive trees. *Mol. Ecol.* 9,
232 1171–1173.
- 233 Trapero, C., Muñoz-Díez, C., Rallo, L., López-Escudero, F.J., Barranco, D., 2011. Screening
234 olive progenies for resistance to *Verticillium dahliae*. *Acta Hortic.* 924, 137–140.
- 235 Trujillo, I., Ojeda, M.A., Urdiroz, N.M., Potter, D., Barranco, D., Rallo, L., Díez, C.M., 2013.
236 Identification of the Worldwide Olive Germplasm Bank of Córdoba (Spain) using SSR
237 and morphological markers. *Tree Genet. Genomes* 10, 141–155.
- 238 Webber, J.M., 1940. Polyembryony. *Bot. Rev.* 6, 575–598.

239

240 **Figure captions**

241 **Fig. 1** Olive embryos from polyembryonic seeds. The distribution of size between the outer (1)
242 and the inner (2) embryo was found to be similar (a) and different (b)

243

244 **Fig. 2** Polyembryonic olive seedlings emerging from the same seed. Seedlings germinated from
245 similar (a) and different (b) embryo sizes

Table 1. Maternal (cultivar) effects on the frequency of polyembryonic seeds (%).^a

Cultivar	Dissected seeds	Polyembryonic seeds (%)	Chi-Square value ^b
‘Meski’	644	3.0	27.4*
‘Cornicabra’	1343	1.6	5.4*
‘Zaity’	200	1.0	0.0
‘Changlot Real’	629	0.6	0.6
‘Gordal Sevillana’	172	0.6	0.2
‘Manzanilla de Almería’	181	0.6	0.3
‘Empeltre’	206	0.5	0.5
‘Lechín de Sevilla’	200	0.5	0.4
‘Arbequina’	184	0.0	1.7
‘Blanqueta’	100	0.0	0.9
‘Carolea’	100	0.0	0.9
‘Cornezuelo de Jaén’	128	0.0	1.2
‘Frantoio’	100	0.0	0.9
‘Gemlik’	100	0.0	0.9
‘Hojiblanca’	100	0.0	0.9
‘Jabaluna’	100	0.0	0.9
‘Koroneiki’	100	0.0	0.9
‘Manzanilla de Sevilla’	100	0.0	0.9
‘Memecik’	100	0.0	0.9
‘Morisca’	100	0.0	0.9
‘Picual’	100	0.0	0.9
‘Racimal’	100	0.0	0.9
‘Sevillena’	100	0.0	0.9
‘Villalonga’	100	0.0	0.9
Total	5,287	1.0	-

^aOverall Chi-Square value = 51.04 ($P = 0.001$).

^bValues followed by an asterisk correspond to polyembryony frequencies significantly higher than the mean of all cultivars according to Chi-Square test at $P = 0.05$.

a**b**

