



Health risk assessment of heavy metal concentration in muscle of *Chelon auratus* and *Chelon saliens* from the southern Caspian Sea

Shima Bakhshalizadeh ·
Adeleh Rostamzadeh Liyafoyi · Francesco Fazio ·
Rafael Mora-Medina · Nahúm Ayala-Soldado

Received: 11 May 2022 / Accepted: 13 September 2022 / Published online: 2 November 2022
© The Author(s) 2022

Abstract Heavy metals are one of the most serious pollutants in aquatic ecosystems, and their accumulation in fish products causes harmful effects on human health. In this context, we set out to determine the concentrations of heavy metals in the muscle of two fish species of commercial interest, *Chelon auratus* and *Chelon saliens* on the south coast of the Caspian Sea. We aimed to assess the degree of environment contamination in this area and to estimate the potential risk to human health derived from the consumption of fish. The mean concentrations of the different metals analysed were very varied in both species. In fact, some concentrations exceeded the permissible limits for the protection of human health for Cd and Pb, and some values of As were above those

referenced by other authors in same species in the Caspian Sea. None of the estimated daily intake values exceeded the tolerable intake based on the consumption under consideration. Nonetheless, the accumulative hazard values evidenced a potential risk to human health, Pb and Hg being those giving a higher target hazard quotient. The cancer risk from exposure to As from fish consumption in children was above the “acceptable” risk to life. Thus, in view of the accumulative nature of heavy metals, a moderate and non-abusive fish consumption in this area, particularly in children, would be recommendable.

Keywords Environmental monitoring · Food safety · Heavy metals pollution · Human health hazard

S. Bakhshalizadeh (✉)
Department of Marine Science, Caspian Sea Basin
Research Center, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran
e-mail: sh.bakhshalizadeh@guilan.ac.ir

A. R. Liyafoyi
Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Natural Resources,
University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran

F. Fazio
Department of Veterinary Science, Polo Universitario
Dell’Annunziata, University of Messina, Messina, Italy

R. Mora-Medina · N. Ayala-Soldado (✉)
Department of Anatomy and Comparative Pathology
and Toxicology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
University of Córdoba, Córdoba, Spain
e-mail: nahum.ayala@uco.es

Introduction

Over the past few decades, fish consumption has considerably increased as this food is an important source of proteins of a high biological value, some vitamins and minerals, and omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (Bosch et al., 2016; Copat et al., 2018). Despite the benefits derived from its consumption, fish products can accumulate different pollutants, consequently triggering harmful effects on human health (Mozaffarian & Rimm, 2006). These pollutants sometimes exceed the limits permitted by the

different legislations, although this does not always represent a human health hazard (Copat et al., 2013).

Due to their anthropogenic activity and their natural emissions, heavy metals are recognized as being one of the most serious pollutants in aquatic ecosystems, and they are causing concern worldwide. That concern stems from their intrinsic properties, such as their toxicity, persistence in the environment, non-degradability, bioaccumulative capacity and high potential for accessing and biomagnifying throughout the food chain (Cai et al., 2019; Häder et al., 2020; Pan et al., 2016; Zhong et al., 2018).

The Caspian Sea, with a surface of approximately 370,000 km², is the largest inland sea in the world. This sea and its coastal areas are considered to be one of the world's most valuable ecosystems due to their unique biodiversity (Lattuada et al., 2019). The alternation of shallow areas with different depressions, together with a wide range of salinities, provides it with a huge variety of biological niches with different depressions, giving rise to a great diversity of species (Bastami et al., 2017). Unfortunately, in recent years, the health of this ecosystem has been weakened, mainly due to anthropogenic pressures like, for example, the extraction of petrol and mining activity (Lattuada et al., 2019; Poorbagher et al., 2017).

Very many scientific articles have used fish as bioindicators of environmental pollution (Naigaga et al., 2011; Authman et al., 2015; Vaseem & Banerjee, 2016; Plessl et al., 2017; Łuczyńska et al., 2018). In addition, Yancheva et al. (2015) reported in their research that muscle tissue was most appropriate for the evaluation of human health risks. In this respect, we set out to determine the concentrations of heavy metals in the muscle of two fish species of commercial interest, *Chelon auratus* and *Chelon saliens*, on the south coast of the Caspian Sea, with two main objectives: to assess the degree of environment contamination in this area; and to estimate the potential risk to human health derived from the consumption of this fish.

Material and methods

A total of 49 fish from two different species, 20 *Chelon auratus* and 29 *Chelon saliens*, were obtained randomly in the southern area of the Caspian Sea. Before taking samples, the animals were cleaned with

distilled water to remove any dirt or possible external substances that might pollute them. Muscle samples were taken from each individual for the determination of the metals, with each muscle sample weighing 1 g. The instrument used to cut the muscle tissue was previously washed with 1% nitric acid. The sampling was carried out in accordance with the European protection rules for animals used for scientific purposes (Directive 2010/63).

The heavy metals analysed were as follows: Al, As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sn, Tl, V and Zn. For their quantification, an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) was used. With respect to the preparation of the samples for their analysis, each sample, individually, was homogenized and digested in a digestion solution with 15 ml of 65% nitric acid (HNO₃) and hydrogen peroxide heated on a plate at 200 °C. When the sample reached a volume of 5 mL, before drying it and after cooling, the content was decanted into Falcon tubes and diluted in deionized water (Milli-Q Millipore 18.2 MΩ / cm of resistivity) until reaching 30 mL. The analytical blanks were processed in the same way, and the concentrations were determined using standard solutions prepared in the same acid matrix.

Metal pollution index

The Metal Pollution Index (MPI) was calculated to indicate the total content of metal elements accumulated in the muscles sampled in both species. For this purpose, the following equation was applied (Usero et al., 2005):

$$\text{MPI} = (Cf_1 \times Cf_2 \dots Cf_n)^{1/n}$$

where Cf_n is equal to the concentration of the metal n in the sample.

Evaluation of human health risk

To estimate the potential risk to human health from fish consumption, the following indicators were calculated: the Estimated Daily Intake (EDI), comparing it with Tolerable Daily Intake (TDI) recommended by the joint Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization (FAO/WHO) Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA); the Target Hazard Quotient (THQ), with the aim of evaluating any possible

warnings with regard to adverse effects and Cancer Risk (CR) for As.

Estimated daily intake

All the risk limits and factors were calculated for adults by assuming the mean daily world intake (IR) of fish *per capita* of 40 g/day (FAOSTAT, 2022), and a body weight (BW) of 70 kg (USEPA, 2000). For children (between 3 and 6 years), the IR was established at 20 g/day and a BW of 14.5 kg.

The EDI (µg/g/day) was calculated by using the following formula:

$$EDI = C \times IR / BW$$

where *C* is the concentration of metal (mg/kg wet weight), IR is the mean daily intake and BW is the body weight.

Non-carcinogenic risk and carcinogenic risk

The risk factors were reckoned by basing them on the directives of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) (USEPA, 2000). In accordance with this guide, we took for granted that the dose ingested was equal to that of the pollutant absorbed, and that cooking did not have any effect on the concentration of heavy metals (USEPA, 1989; Cooper et al., 1991). Also, As can be found in the environment and in living beings in two forms, both organic and inorganic As. The latter is the most toxic form and its percentage over total As is highly variable depending on the type of food (EFSA, 2009; Jomova & Valko, 2011). The same as Copat et al. (2013), to calculate the equations, we assumed that inorganic As represented 3% of the total.

The non-carcinogenic risk was assessed by calculating the THQ. Indeed, the THQ values were evaluated for each heavy metal separately and were calculated as per the following equation:

$$THQ = (C \times IR \times EF \times ED) / (BW \times AT \times RfD)$$

When the THQ is over 1, i.e. higher than the reference dose, adverse effects may appear.

The accumulative risk to health was assessed by adding the THQ value of each metal, expressed as Total Target Hazard Quotient (TTHQ), as follows:

$$TTHQ = THQ1 + THQ2 \dots THQn$$

The higher the TTHQ value, the higher the level of concern.

The CR was calculated only for As as it is the only metal that is cancerigenous orally. For this, the Oral Slope Factor (OSF) has been established at 1.5 mg/kg/day for that metal by USEPA (IRIS, 2022). If the CR is above the acceptable risk to life of 1×10^{-5} , the value considered by the USEPA (2000), indicates a greater probability of 1 individual out of 100,000 developing cancer. The equation is expressed as follows (USEPA, 1989):

$$CR = (EF \times ED \times IR \times C \times OSF) / (BW \times AT)$$

In the previous equations, EF is the exposure frequency (365 days/year); ED is the exposure duration (adults: 70 years; children: 6 years); IR is the mean daily intake (adults: 40 g/day; children: 20 g/day); *C* is the mean concentration of metal in fish (mg/kg wet weight); RfD is the reference oral dose (mg/kg/day); BW is the body weight (adults: 70 kg; children: 14.5 kg); AT is the average exposure time (it is equal to EF×ED), and OSF is the Oral Slope Factor (mg/kg/day). The RfD provided by the online database of the EPA's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) (IRIS, 2022) for As, Cd, Cr, Hg, Mn, Ni, Sn, Tl, V and Zn, are 3×10^{-4} ; 1×10^{-3} ; 3×10^{-3} ; 1×10^{-4} ; 1.4×10^{-1} ; 2×10^{-2} ; 5×10^{-3} ; 8×10^{-5} ; 9×10^{-3} and 3×10^{-1} , respectively. Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) from USEPA's Generic Tables indicate an RfD of 3×10^{-4} , 4×10^{-2} and 7×10^{-1} for Co, Cu and Fe, respectively (USEPA, 2021). The RfD of Pb is 5×10^{-4} (EFSA, 2010) and that of Al is 1.43×10^{-1} , used by Zioła-Frankowska et al. (2021).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis of the data was made using SAS/STAT Software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). A variance analysis (ANOVA) was performed on a non-parametric path in order to establish the statistical differences in the concentration of the different

metals between species. A value of $P < 0.05$ was taken as being significant.

Results and discussion

Heavy metals concentrations

The medians, means and standard deviations of all the metals analysed are shown in Table 1. The statistical analysis of the concentrations revealed that only Cd presented statistically significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between species. To be specific, a higher concentration of this metal was found in the *Chelon saliens* samples. However, it could be seen that the concentrations of the different metals were very varied and gave from the highest to lowest concentrations in this order: Fe > Zn > Cu > Al > Mn > As > Ni > Pb > V > Cr > Co > Sn > Cd > Hg > Tl. The average ranges, without distinguishing between species were: Fe (0.001–71.562 mg/kg); Zn (1.025–33.645 mg/kg); Cu (0.701–9.921 mg/kg); Al (0.055–86.875 mg/kg); Mn (0.001–12.567 mg/kg); As (0.191–6.031 mg/kg); Ni (0.009–8.396 mg/kg); Pb (0.029–0.867 mg/kg); V (0.004–24.783 mg/kg); Cr (0.002–0.754 mg/kg); Co (0.015–3.500 mg/kg); Sn (0–0.923 mg/kg); Cd (0.012–0.835 mg/kg); Hg (0.002–0.139 mg/kg) and Tl (0–0.171 mg/kg).

It is important to highlight that metals like Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Ni, Mn, Sn and Zn are essential for physiological processes so they are normally present in the tissues at certain concentrations, and their total absence in the organisms causes irreversible and severe damage to the vital functions (Zoroddu et al., 2019). Nevertheless, these metals could trigger toxic effects if their exposure dose exceeds a certain level (Mertz, 1981). All the concentrations found for these metals were placed within the range of concentrations (mg/kg) previously found by Sheikhzadeh and Hamidian (2021) in the Caspian Sea: Cr (0.00–10.01), Co (0.00–1.34), Cu (0.00–160.39), Fe (2.1–1343.5), Mn (0.1–15.7), Ni (0.09–8.1), Zn (1.72–219.22).

On the other hand, metals like As, Cd, Hg and Pb are considered as being non-essential since they do not possess any known biological function (Zoroddu et al., 2019). Arsenic intake disables the function of enzymes, some significant anions and cations, transcriptional events in cells, and causes health effects (Raju, 2022). Cadmium exposure has been associated with nephrotoxicity, hepatotoxicity and effects on the immune system, bones and male reproductive physiology (Cannas et al., 2020). With regard to Hg, dietary consumption of marine fish and other seafood is the most common source of exposure to this metal (Cannas et al., 2020; Driscoll et al., 2013). All Hg forms exhibit toxicological characteristics including

Table 1 Median, mean concentrations (mg/kg w.w.) and standard deviations (SD) of analysed metals in *Chelon auratus* and *Chelon saliens*. Metal Pollution Index (MPI) for *Chelon auratus* and *Chelon saliens*

Metal (mg/kg w.w.)	<i>Chelon auratus</i>			<i>Chelon saliens</i>		
	Median	Mean	SD	Median	Mean	SD
Al	2.514	3.157	2.482	2.905	6.144	16.029
As	0.529	0.606	0.442	0.651	1.031	1.273
Cd*	0.035	0.077	0.110	0.093	0.153	0.181
Co	0.109	0.136	0.103	0.154	0.344	0.741
Cr	0.145	0.207	0.222	0.143	0.204	0.195
Cu	3.250	3.880	2.683	4.179	4.321	2.501
Fe	23.139	25.984	17.483	18.449	25.187	23.289
Hg	0.036	0.043	0.028	0.048	0.051	0.040
Mn	1.795	2.221	1.888	1.421	2.461	3.060
Ni	0.184	0.221	0.175	0.191	0.539	1.560
Pb	0.159	0.218	0.204	0.192	0.265	0.228
Sn	0.049	0.067	0.077	0	0.120	0.228
Tl	0.005	0.016	0.036	0.008	0.019	0.038
V	0.188	0.170	0.148	0.163	1.339	5.374
Zn	4.710	5.729	6.704	3.470	5.702	6.913
MPI		0.665			0.416	

* $P < 0.05$ between species

neurotoxicity, nephrotoxicity, and gastrointestinal toxicity (Valko et al., 2005). Pb has been shown to adversely affect the functions of hepatic, endocrine, reproductive and digestive systems (Charkiewicz & Backstrand, 2020).

The European Union, by means of Regulation (EC) 78/2005, only established a maximum content in fish for Cd, Hg and Pb, so the maximum contents for these metals have been fixed at 0.05, 0.5 and 0.2 mg/kg wet weight for Cd, Hg and Pb, respectively. Considering the concentration ranges obtained in our study for Cd (0.012–0.835 mg/kg) and Pb (0.029–0.867 mg/kg), it can be seen that several samples exceed the maximum content permitted by the European Union for these metals. In the case of Hg (0.002–0.139 mg/kg), in no way did it exceed the maximum content. Besides that, in the review made by Sheikhzadeh and Hamidian (2021), the range of concentrations observed in the Caspian Sea for Hg oscillated between 0.044 mg/kg (mean) in the whole body of *Cyprinus carpio* and up to 3.5 mg/kg in the muscle tissue of *Huso huso*. Thus, the range of concentrations determined for that metal is lower than that reported in other studies.

In our work, the mean As concentration found in *Chelon saliens* (1.03 mg/kg) was higher than that reported by Fathabad et al. (2021) (0.35 mg/kg) in *Chelon saliens* and (0.80 mg/kg) in *Cyprinus carpio*, in the Caspian Sea. These results are of great importance since chronic intoxication by As can cause

serious diseases, including different types of cancer (Das et al., 2004).

Metal pollution index

The MPI permitted us to present all the metal concentrations as a single value. According to Brady et al. (2015); when the MPI is between 2 and 3, this indicates a moderate pollution; when it is established at between 1 and 2 the pollution is mild; whereas, below 1 means that the pollution impact is insignificant. In our study, the MPI values were of 0.665 for *Chelon auratus* and of 0.416 for *Chelon saliens*, so we are able to affirm that the metals in this species were no significance (Table 1). In this context, the calculation of MPI in sediments or in filtering organisms, as reported by Usero et al. (2005), could be a source of interest for evaluating environmental pollution.

Estimated daily intake

The EDI values for children and adults are presented in Table 2. Here, none of the values exceeded the tolerable intake suggested by the joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JEFCA) (WHO, 2022) for the metals for which this indicator is available. Thus, it can be said that there would be no risk to people’s health associated with the consumption of fish with those metal concentrations and

Table 2 Estimated Daily Intake (EDI) (µg/kg/d) in adult and child in *Chelon auratus* and *Chelon saliens* compared with tolerable intake (µg/kg/d) suggested by Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additive (JECFA)

Tolerable intake (µg/kg/d)	Metal	<i>Chelon auratus</i>		<i>Chelon saliens</i>	
		EDI Child	EDI Adult	EDI Child	EDI Adult
1000	Al	8.474	3.511	4.355	1.804
15	As ^a	0.042	0.018	0.025	0.010
7	Cd	0.210	0.087	0.107	0.044
–	Co	0.474	0.197	0.188	0.078
–	Cr	0.280	0.116	0.286	0.118
500	Cu	5.959	2.469	5.352	2.217
800	Fe	34.740	14.392	35.840	14.848
4	Hg	0.070	0.029	0.059	0.024
–	Mn	3.349	1.406	3.064	1.269
–	Ni	0.743	0.307	0.305	0.126
25	Pb	0.365	0.151	0.300	0.124
–	Sn	0.165	0.069	0.092	0.038
–	Tl	0.026	0.011	0.022	0.009
–	V	1.847	0.765	0.234	0.097
300	Zn	7.864	3.258	7.903	3.274

^aAs calculations were made by assuming the inorganic As as being 3% of the total concentration

at a portion of 40 and 20 g/day in adults and children, respectively. However, the EDI is dependent on metal concentrations, the size of the portion, and on the body weight. With that in mind, our calculations were based on the mean world consumption of fish, which is highly variable according to the region. For example, in the southeast Asia, the mean consumption of fish is very much higher (90 g/day) (FAOSTAT, 2022) than in some countries in Africa, such as Algeria, where fish is scarcely included in the diet (0.09 g/day) (FAOSTAT, 2022). That is why it is important to take into account these factors since they may directly affect the EDI.

The THQ for children and for adults is given in Table 3. The values for Al, As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sn, Tl, V and Zn are all under 1, which indicates that there would be no risk of developing adverse effects from the consumption of fish with those metal concentrations. Only the THQ of Co for children in *Chelon auratus* was higher than 1, being established at 1.582. In this sense, we have taken into account the RfD supplied by the USEPA, which has

fixed 3×10^{-4} for this metal. It is essential to point out that a dose of 3×10^{-4} mg/day/kg BW of Co is equal to approximately 21 µg Co/day for an adult of 70 kg, which corresponds to the typical dietary intake of Co in the U.S.A. (5–40 µg Co/día). Therefore, the adoption of this value would suggest that a large part of the population exceeds a safe dose of Co simply due to traces of this metal being of a natural origin in its diet (Finley et al., 2012). For example, the directives of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) with regard to supplementation with Co have established a dose of 600 µg/day for a person of 60 kg, i.e., 1×10^{-2} mg/day/kg BW (EFSA, 2009). So, if we apply an assumed RfD of 1×10^{-2} mg/day/kg BW, the THQ calculated for the Co would be situated below 1 in all the cases.

Nevertheless, although all the THQs individualized per metals were established as being under the reference dose, it was observed that the accumulative risk to health values (TTHQ) obtained was higher than 1, and would therefore be a potential hazard to health through consuming this fish (Table 3). In this respect, the metals of most concern, without counting Co, were Pb and Hg as their THQ was higher.

The CR from As was established at 5.4×10^{-6} y 3.2×10^{-6} in adults for *Chelon auratus* and *Chelon saliens*, respectively. In children, the CR calculated was 2.65×10^{-5} and 1.56×10^{-5} for *Chelon auratus* and *Chelon saliens*, respectively. There is a need to specify a level of “Acceptable” Risk to Life (ARL) in order to calculate consumption limits and evaluate cancerigenous effects. Following the USEPA rules (USEPA, 2000), we considered it to be appropriate to use an ARL of 1 at 100,000 (10^{-5}). Thus, in adults, the CR was under the ARL and considered to be insignificant. However, the values in children were over the ARL, indicating a greater probability of 1 individual out of 100,000 developing cancer due to exposure to As through fish consumption, which should be a cause for concern.

Conclusions

The results obtained in this study showed ample mean concentrations of heavy metals both in *Chelon auratus*, and in *Chelon saliens*, with the highest levels recorded being of Fe, Zn, Cu, Al and Mn. In relation to the concentrations of non-essential

Table 3 Target Hazard Quotient (THQ) and Total Target Hazard Quotient (TTHQ) for metals analysed in *Chelon auratus* and *Chelon saliens*

Metal	<i>Chelon auratus</i>		<i>Chelon saliens</i>	
	THQ Child	THQ Adult	THQ Child	THQ Adult
Al	0.059	0.025	0.030	0.013
As ^a	0.142	0.059	0.084	0.035
Cd	0.211	0.087	0.107	0.044
Co	1.582*	0.656	0.625	0.259
Cr	0.094	0.039	0.095	0.039
Cu	0.149	0.062	0.134	0.055
Fe	0.050	0.021	0.051	0.021
Hg	0.700	0.290	0.587	0.243
Mn	0.024	0.010	0.022	0.009
Ni	0.037	0.015	0.015	0.006
Pb	0.731	0.303	0.601	0.249
Sn	0.033	0.014	0.018	0.008
Tl	0.320	0.132	0.274	0.113
V	0.205	0.085	0.026	0.011
Zn	0.026	0.011	0.026	0.012
TTHQ	4.363	1.808	2.695	1.117

* Above 1

^aAs calculations were made by assuming the inorganic As as being 3% of the total concentration

metals, in the case of Cd and Pb some concentrations exceeded the maximum permissible limits for the protection of human health established by the European Commission for those elements. With respect to the mean concentration of As in *Chelon saliens*, the values found were above those referenced by other authors for the same species in the Caspian Sea. Also, although the MPI has indicated that pollution does not have any significant impact, given the dangers and toxicity at low concentrations that they represent, it is still important to highlight these findings since those levels of pollution are disturbing.

Although none of the EDI values exceeded the tolerable intake based on the consumption under consideration, body weight being a dependent factor in the calculation of the EDI, its values in children were higher and, consequently, they were nearer to the tolerable intake values established. However, the TTHQ values evidenced a potential risk to human health in consuming this fish, Pb and Hg being those giving a higher THQ.

The CR in children is placed above the ARL established by the USEPA, which infers a greater probability of developing cancer due to exposure to As from fish consumption, which ought to be a reason for concern. Thus, in view of the accumulative nature of heavy metals, it would be important to review the tolerable intake and limit of the eating of fish, and a moderate and non-abusive fish consumption in this area, particularly in children, would be recommendable.

In that respect, the results obtained have demonstrated the high bioaccumulation potential of heavy metals signifying adverse implications for human health, so it would be paramount to carry out extensive samplings both in fish (and other species) and in the environment (water and sediments), as well as recommending preventive measures directed towards limiting and/or reducing the excessive exposure of people to heavy metal contents.

Author Contributions S.B. and N.A-S. contributed to the conception and design of the study. Data collection and sampling were carried out by S.B. and A.R.L. Laboratory and statistical analysis were performed by F.F. and R.M-M. The first draft of the document was written and supervised by S.B. and N.A-S. All authors commented on previous versions of the article and read and approved the final article.

Funding Open Access funding provided thanks to the CRUE-CSIC agreement with Springer Nature.

Data availability The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Ethical approval All the applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed (CISS 017/2017).

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Authman, M. M., Zaki, M. S., Khallaf, E. A., & Abbas, H. H. (2015). Use of fish as bio-indicator of the effects of heavy metals pollution. *Journal of Aquaculture Research & Development*, 6(4), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9546.1000328>
- Bastami, K. D., Neyestani, M. R., Esmailzadeh, M., Haghparast, S., Alavi, C., Fathi, S., & Parhizgar, R. (2017). Geochemical speciation, bioavailability and source identification of selected metals in surface sediments of the Southern Caspian Sea. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 114(2), 1014–1023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.11.025>
- Bosch, A. C., O'Neill, B., Sigge, G. O., Kerwath, S. E., & Hoffman, L. C. (2016). Heavy metals in marine fish meat and consumer health: A review. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 96(1), 32–48. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.7360>
- Brady, J. P., Ayoko, G. A., Martens, W. N., & Goonetilleke, A. (2015). Development of a hybrid pollution index for heavy metals in marine and estuarine sediments. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 187(5), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-015-4563-x>
- Cai, L. M., Wang, Q. S., Wen, H. H., Luo, J., & Wang, S. (2019). Heavy metals in agricultural soils from a typical township in Guangdong Province, China: Occurrences and spatial distribution. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 168, 184–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.10.092>

- Cannas, D., Loi, E., Serra, M., Firinu, D., Valera, P., & Zavattari, P. (2020). Relevance of essential trace elements in nutrition and drinking water for human health and autoimmune disease risk. *Nutrients*, *12*(7), 2074. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12072074>
- Charkiewicz, A. E., & Backstrand, J. R. (2020). Lead toxicity and pollution in Poland. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*(12), 4385. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17124385>
- Cooper, C. B., Doyle, M. E., & Kipp, K. (1991). Risks of consumption of contaminated seafood: The Quincy Bay case study. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, *90*, 133–140. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.90-1519503>
- Copat, C., Arena, G., Fiore, M., Ledda, C., Fallico, R., Sciacca, S., & Ferrante, M. (2013). Heavy metals concentrations in fish and shellfish from eastern Mediterranean Sea: Consumption advisories. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, *53*, 33–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2012.11.038>
- Copat, C., Grasso, A., Fiore, M., Cristaldi, A., Zuccarello, P., Santo Signorelli, S., Conti, G., & Ferrante, M. (2018). Trace elements in seafood from the Mediterranean Sea: An exposure risk assessment. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, *115*, 13–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2018.03.001>
- Das, H. K., Mitra, A. K., Sengupta, P. K., Hossain, A., Islam, F., & Rabbani, G. H. (2004). Arsenic concentrations in rice, vegetables, and fish in Bangladesh: A preliminary study. *Environment International*, *30*(3), 383–387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2003.09.005>
- Driscoll, C. T., Mason, R. P., Chan, H. M., Jacob, D. J., & Pirrone, N. (2013). Mercury as a global pollutant: Sources, pathways, and effects. *Environmental Science & Technology*, *47*(10), 4967–4983. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es305071v>
- European Community (EC). (2005, January 19). Commission Regulation (EC) No 78/2005 of 19 January 2005 amending Regulation (EC) No 466/2001 as regards heavy metals. *Official Journal of the European Union*. Retrieved April 30, 2022, from <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32005R0078&from=EN>
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). (2009). Assessment of the safety of cobalt (II) chloride hexahydrate added for nutritional purposes as a source of cobalt in food supplements and the bioavailability of cobalt from this source. *EFSA Journal*, *7*(5), 1066. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1066>
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM). (2009). Scientific Opinion on arsenic in food. *EFSA Journal*, *7*(10), 1351. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1351>
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM). (2010). Scientific opinion on lead in food. *EFSA Journal*, *8*(4), 1570. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1570>
- Fathabad, A. E., Tajik, H., Najafi, M. L., Jafari, K., Khaneghah, A. M., Fakhri, Y., Thai, V. N., Conti, G. O., & Miri, M. (2021). The concentration of the potentially toxic elements (PTEs) in the muscle of fishes collected from Caspian Sea: A health risk assessment study. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, *154*, 112349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2021.112349>
- Finley, B. L., Monnot, A. D., Paustenbach, D. J., & Gaffney, S. H. (2012). Derivation of a chronic oral reference dose for cobalt. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, *64*(3), 491–503. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2012.08.022>
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2022). FAOSTAT Online Database. Retrieved March 17, 2022, from <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/FBS>
- Häder, D. P., Banaszak, A. T., Villafañe, V. E., Narvarte, M. A., González, R. A., & Helbling, E. W. (2020). Anthropogenic pollution of aquatic ecosystems: Emerging problems with global implications. *Science of the Total Environment*, *713*, 136586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136586>
- Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). (2022). Retrieved February 13, 2022, from <https://www.epa.gov/iris>
- Jomova, K., & Valko, M. (2011). Advances in metal-induced oxidative stress and human disease. *Toxicology*, *283*(2–3), 65–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2011.03.001>
- Lattuada, M., Albrecht, C., & Wilke, T. (2019). Differential impact of anthropogenic pressures on Caspian Sea ecoregions. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, *142*, 274–281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.03.046>
- Łuczyńska, J., Paszczyk, B., & Łuczyński, M. J. (2018). Fish as a bioindicator of heavy metals pollution in aquatic ecosystem of Pluszne Lake, Poland, and risk assessment for consumer's health. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, *153*, 60–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.01.057>
- Mertz, W. (1981). The essential trace elements. *Science*, *213*(4514), 1332–1338. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7022654>
- Mozaffarian, D., & Rimm, E. B. (2006). Fish intake, contaminants, and human health: Evaluating the risks and the benefits. *JAMA*, *296*(15), 1885–1899. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.296.15.1885>
- Naigaga, I., Kaiser, H., Muller, W. J., Ojok, L., Mbabazi, D., Magezi, G., & Muhumuza, E. (2011). Fish as bioindicators in aquatic environmental pollution assessment: A case study in Lake Victoria wetlands, Uganda. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts a/b/c*, *36*(14–15), 918–928. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2011.07.066>
- Pan, L. B., Ma, J., Wang, X. L., & Hou, H. (2016). Heavy metals in soils from a typical county in Shanxi Province, China: Levels, sources and spatial distribution. *Chemosphere*, *148*, 248–254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2015.12.049>
- Plessl, C., Otachi, E. O., Körner, W., Avenant-Oldewage, A., & Jirsa, F. (2017). Fish as bioindicators for trace element pollution from two contrasting lakes in the Eastern Rift Valley, Kenya: Spatial and temporal aspects. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *24*(24), 19767–19776. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9518-z>
- Poorbagher, H., Hosseini, S. V., Hosseini, S. M., Aflaki, F., & Regenstein, J. M. (2017). Metal accumulation in Caspian sturgeons with different feeding niches, condition factor, body size and age. *Microchemical Journal*, *132*, 43–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2017.01.003>
- Raju, N. J. (2022). Arsenic in the geo-environment: A review of sources, geochemical processes, toxicity and removal technologies. *Environmental Research*, *203*, 111782. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.111782>

- Sheikhzadeh, H., & Hamidian, A. H. (2021). Bioaccumulation of heavy metals in fish species of Iran: A review. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 43(10), 3749–3869. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-021-00883-5>
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (1989). *Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, vol. I. Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part A), Interim Final. EPA 540/1–89/002*. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC.
- Valko, M. M. H. C. M., Morris, H., & Cronin, M. T. D. (2005). Metals, toxicity and oxidative stress. *Current Medicinal Chemistry*, 12, 1161–1208. <https://doi.org/10.2174/09298670533764635>
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2000). *Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contamination Data for Use in Fish Advisories, vol. II. Risk Assessment and Fish Consumption Limits EPA/823-B94–004*. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). (2021). Risk-Based Concentration Table. Retrieved March 12, 2022, from <https://semspub.epa.gov/work/HQ/401635.pdf>
- Usero, J., Morillo, J., & Gracia, I. (2005). Heavy metal concentrations in molluscs from the Atlantic coast of southern Spain. *Chemosphere*, 59(8), 1175–1181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.11.089>
- Vaseem, H., & Banerjee, T. K. (2016). Evaluation of pollution of Ganga River water using fish as bioindicator. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 188(8), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-016-5433-x>
- World Health Organization (WHO). (2022). Evaluations of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA). Retrieved March 22, 2022, from <https://apps.who.int/food-additives-contaminants-jecfa-database/>
- Yancheva, V., Velcheva, I., Stoyanova, S., & Georgieva, E. (2015). Fish in Ecotoxicological Studies. *Ecologia balcanica*, 7 (1).
- Zhong, W., Zhang, Y., Wu, Z., Yang, R., Chen, X., Yang, J., & Zhu, L. (2018). Health risk assessment of heavy metals in freshwater fish in the central and eastern North China. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 157, 343–349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.03.048>
- Zioła-Frankowska, A., Karaś, K., Mikołajczak, K., Kurzyca, I., Kowalski, A., & Frankowski, M. (2021). Identification of metal (loid) s compounds in fresh and pre-baked bread with evaluation of risk health assessment. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 97, 103164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2021.103164>
- Zoroddu, M. A., Aaseth, J., Crisponi, G., Medici, S., Peana, M., & Nurchi, V. M. (2019). The essential metals for humans: A brief overview. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry*, 195, 120–129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2019.03.013>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.