

1 **Plasmin activity in Manchega ewe milk: the effect of lactation, parity and**
2 **health of the udder, and its influence on milk composition and rennet**
3 **coagulation.**

4

5 J. Caballero-Villalobos^{a,*}, A.I. Garzón^a, A.L. Martínez Marín^a, R. Arias^b, F. Ciocia^c,
6 P.L.H. McSweeney^c

7

8 a. Departamento de Producción Animal, Universidad de Córdoba, Spain.

9 b. Centro Regional de Selección y Reproducción Animal (CERSYRA), Castilla-La Mancha,
10 Spain.

11 c. School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork, Ireland.

12

13 ** Corresponding author: Javier Caballero-Villalobos.*

14 *Tel.: +34 606197367 Email address: v22cavij@uco.es*

15

16

17 **Abstract**

18 Milk from 40 Manchega ewes was collected monthly and analysed during a
19 complete lactation (5 months). Milk samples were classified by their origin in 3
20 categories, termed PR (primiparous ewes), M1 (multiparous ewes with no damage
21 of the udder in the previous lactation) and M2 (multiparous ewes with udder
22 damage in the previous lactation). The influence on plasmin of several factors as
23 stage of lactation, parity, somatic cell count and udder health status was studied,
24 as well as the effect of plasmin activity on milk composition and rennet coagulation.

25 Plasmin activity decreased throughout lactation but was not affected by parity or
26 somatic cell count ($P>0.05$). A strong negative correlation was found between
27 plasmin activity and protein (especially casein), presumably due to the proteolysis
28 of β -casein. Plasmin also worsened rennet coagulation, increasing rennet clotting
29 time (RCT) and negatively affecting curd firmness (A_{60}), especially in multiparous
30 ewes. However, the good health condition in the herd may have camouflaged
31 some effects of plasmin over renneting.

32

33 *Keywords:* Dairy sheep; Plasmin; Udder health; Rennet coagulation.

34

35 **1. Introduction**

36

37 Proteolysis potentially affects all dairy products (Saint-Denis et al., 2001). This
38 results in a lower quality of the products, the development of bitter flavours in UHT
39 milks, a decrease in cheese yield and a degradation of caseins during storage and
40 ripening (Mara et al., 1998).

41 Plasmin is an alkaline serine proteinase (Bastian and Brown, 1996). This enzyme
42 is present in milk as its zymogen plasminogen, which activates to plasmin when
43 somatic cell counts exceed 500×10^3 cells/ml. Milk contains the complete plasmin
44 system: plasmin (PL), plasminogen (PG) and a complex structure of plasminogen
45 activators (PA), plasminogen activator inhibitors (PAI) and plasmin inhibitors (PI)
46 (Ismail et al., 2006; Silanikove et al., 2013; White et al., 1995). Both PA and PAI
47 are known to be locally produced by mammary epithelial cells in the mammary
48 gland (Heegard et al., 1994).

49 The PL system plays an important role in the breakdown of casein, reducing
50 cheese yield and casein content due to the leakage of proteose-peptones into
51 whey (Albenzio et al., 2009). Plasmin mainly attacks β -CN, α_{S2} -CN and α_{S1} -CN
52 (susceptible in that particular order). However, κ -CN seems to resist its action,
53 though some experiments have reported that it can be affected under certain
54 conditions (Groves et al., 1998). An increase of plasmin activity in bovine milk has
55 been described due to udder infections or advanced stages of lactation (Bastian et
56 al., 1991; Politis et al., 1989). On the other hand, results in literature regarding the
57 behavior of plasmin in ovine milk are often controversial (Theodorou et al. 2007).
58 However, most authors have evidenced low levels of plasmin activity in late
59 lactation ewe milk (Albenzio et al., 2009; Koutsouli et al., 2015). REFERENCIA A
60 QUE DEPENDE DE LA RAZA.

61 In cheese, plasmin-induced proteolysis can contribute to the development of
62 flavour and texture during ripening (Ismail and Nielsen, 2010). Meanwhile, other
63 authors associated an intense plasmin activity as a cause of a development of
64 bitter peptides (Habibi-Najafi and Lee, 1996; Sousa et al., 2001). This seems to be
65 more frequent in high-cooked cheese varieties (Fox and Kelly, 2006).

66 In milk (whether raw, pasteurized or UHT), to the contrary, proteolysis is the cause
67 of undesirable effects. In severe cases, casein hydrolysis induced by plasmin may
68 greatly affect rennet coagulation (Albenzio et al., 2005; Srinivasan and Lucey,
69 2002). This represents an important issue concerning the dairy sheep industry, as
70 almost all milk production is intended for cheesemaking. Thus, proteolysis causes
71 a reduction of the processing capacity of milk into cheese, as well as changes in its
72 composition and the development of bitter flavours in processed products

73 (Guerrero et al., 2003).

74 Controlling plasmin activity in sheep's milk could lead to an improvement of quality
75 in the dairy industry, perhaps also reducing production costs. Therefore,
76 proteolysis induced by this enzyme has attracted strong interest from researchers,
77 due to its complexity and versatile effects over the quality of milk and dairy
78 products (Ismail and Nielsen, 2010). PÁRRAFO DE MANCHEGA. The aim of this
79 study is to determine the influence of several inherent factors on plasmin activity
80 and to evaluate the impact of plasmin on Manchega milk quality.

81

82 **2. Materials and methods**

83

84 *2.1. Animal sampling*

85

86 Milk from 40 Manchega ewes was collected throughout a whole lactation (5
87 months). All animals belonged to the farm La Nava Del Conejo (Valdepeñas,
88 Castilla-La Mancha, Spain), included in the *Spanish National Association of*
89 *Manchega Sheep Breeders (AGRAMA)*. Ewes were classified in 3 groups,
90 according to their udder health status in the previous lactation: PR (primiparous
91 ewes), M1 (multiparous ewes with no damage of the udder in the previous
92 lactation) and M2 (multiparous ewes with udder damage in the previous lactation).
93 Samples were divided in 4 aliquots and analysed for milk composition, rennet
94 coagulation, somatic cell count (SCC) and plasmin activity (PL).

95

96 *2.1. Milk composition and somatic cell count*

97

98 Major milk components (fat, crude protein, lactose, total solids, casein and urea)
99 were directly measured using a Milko-Scan FT-6000 (*Foss Electric*, Hillerød,
100 Denmark). To determine ash, milk samples (10 ml) were pipetted into crucibles and
101 dried in a laboratory oven for 24 hours to obtain total solids, and then were
102 transferred to a muffle furnace for 2 hours at 550°C. Finally, crucibles were stored
103 in a desiccator with silica gel for around 30-45 minutes, and weighted to calculate
104 ash content.

105 SCC was measured using a Fossomatic FC (*Foss Electric*, Hillerød, Denmark) and
106 expressed as cells/ml. A logarithmic transformation was applied to SCC values and
107 milk samples were categorized in 3 groups: low SCC ($< 1.6 \times 10^3$), mid SCC
108 ($1.6 \times 10^3 < \text{SCC} < 2 \times 10^3$) and high SCC ($> 2 \times 10^3$).

109

110 *2.3. Rennet coagulation*

111

112 Samples were preheated at 32°C and renneting parameters were monitored using
113 a Formagraph viscometer (*Foss Electric*, Hillerød, Denmark), based on the
114 oscillatory motion of circular pendula immersed in milk during coagulation. The
115 testing time of the analysis was set to 60 minutes and the measured parameters
116 were rennet clotting time (RCT), curd firming time (k_{20}), and curd firmness after 30
117 and 60 minutes (A_{30} and A_{60}). To measure curd yield, curds were individually
118 placed in centrifuge tubes, cut with a spatula and centrifuged (30 minutes, 2800 ×
119 g, 37°C) to separate the whey.

120

121 *2.4. Plasmin activity*

122

123 Plasmin activity was determined according to the procedure described by
124 Richardson and Pearce (1981), with slight modifications. Plasmin cleaves the
125 peptide *N*-Succinyl-L-alanyl-L-phenylalanyl-L-lysyl-7-amino-4-methyl coumarin,
126 and releases 7-amino-4-methyl coumarin (AMC), which can be quantified
127 spectrofluorometrically. Milk (3 ml) was added to 1 ml 0.4M trisodium citrate and
128 centrifuged (29,000 × g, 20 min, 4°C). The supernatant and 50 µl of filtrate were
129 added to 825 µl 50mM tris-HCl buffer pH 7.5 and incubated for 5 min at room
130 temperature. Reaction was initiated by adding to the mixture 225 µl substrate (5
131 mg coumarin peptide in 1.33 ml dimethylsulphoxide and 5.33 ml 50mM tris-HCl
132 buffer, pH 7.5), and fluorescence intensity (380 nm excitation, 460 nm emission)
133 was measured at 5 min intervals over a period of 35 min. Plasmin activity was
134 determined from the linear part of the fluorescence emission versus time curve.
135 One unit of plasmin activity was defined as the activity necessary to release 1 nmol
136 AMC min⁻¹ ml⁻¹ milk under the conditions of the assay.

137

138 *2.5. Statistical analysis*

139

140 Data were analysed using the GLM procedure of SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary,
141 NC). A first statistical analysis of plasmin activity (PL) included stage of lactation
142 (SL), parity (PAR), and their interaction as fixed effects. A second analysis included
143 SCC group, udder health status in the previous lactation (UHS), and their

144 interaction as fixed effects. Contrast analysis was performed to compare least
145 squares means, and statistical significance was declared at $P < 0.05$. In addition,
146 linear correlations were calculated between PL and milk composition, and also
147 between PL and rennet coagulation variables.

148

149 **3. Results and discussion**

150

151 *3.1. Effect of stage of lactation (SL) and parity (PAR) on plasmin activity*

152

153 Figure 1 represents the global average of plasmin activity throughout lactation. As
154 lactation advanced, plasmin activity in the flock decreased, and was significantly
155 lower in the last month of lactation than in the beginning.

156 Considering three stages in lactation (early, mid, and late), a gradual decrease in
157 plasmin activity with the course of lactation was observed in all samples (Table 1).
158 The lowest values of plasmin activity were found in late lactation, although this
159 drop was only significant in multiparous ewes (Table 2).

160 Although no big differences were found, the high plasmin activity recorded in the
161 beginning of lactation, as well as its decreasing trend, agree with the results
162 obtained in Comisana ewes by Albenzio et al. (2009, 2005, 2004), Caroprese et al.
163 (2007), and in different greek breeds by Koutsouli et al. (2015) and Theodorou et
164 al. (2007), who measured the lowest plasmin values in late lactation milk.
165 Contrastingly, several studies performed on bovine milk (Bastian and Brown, 1996;
166 Ismail and Nielsen, 2010) and milk from other Italian ewe breeds (Bianchi et al.,
167 2004; Sevi et al., 2004) reported a different pattern in plasmin activity, where the

168 highest values were generally recorded in the end of lactation. Politis et al. (1989)
169 suggested that this increase of plasmin activity observed in dairy cows during late
170 lactation is due to an increase of the activation rate of plasminogen to plasmin,
171 rather than to a higher influx of plasmin to the mammary gland. Recent works have
172 discussed that this presence of plasminogen in milk is probably due to a
173 transcellular route of passage from blood (Silanikove et al., 2016). Other authors
174 related the increase of plasmin in late lactation with the involution of the udder
175 (Koutsouli et al., 2015) or an increase of milking interval (Castillo et al., 2008; Kelly
176 et al., 1998). Some experiments performed with goat milk also reported an
177 increase of plasmin activity throughout lactation (Cortellino et al., 2006).
178 No significant effect of parity was found, although most of the studies performed in
179 different species of domestic ruminants reported that plasmin activity increased
180 with parity and age of the animals (Bastian and Brown, 1996; Battacone et al.,
181 2005; Koutsouli et al., 2015).

182

183 *3.2. Effect of somatic cell count and udder health status on plasmin activity*

184

185 Table 3 shows the least square means of plasmin activity as affected by SCC and
186 udder health status category (UHS). Table 4 compares plasmin activity means
187 between different SCC ranges.

188 Although preliminary results from studies performed with Manchega ewes
189 suggested an increase of casein breakdown due to the amount of somatic cells in
190 milk (Caballero-Villalobos et al., 2015), plasmin activity in the present study was
191 found similar in all UHS categories, despite variations in SCC. This agrees with the

192 results published by Bianchi et al. (2004) for Sardinian ewes and Koutsouli et al.
193 (2015) for Greek sheep breeds, who reported that SCC did not seem to affect
194 plasmin activity. Albenzio et al. (2009) reported that in healthy ewes with SCC <
195 600×10^3 cells/ml, the plasmin system was not affected, which is consistent with the
196 average values of SCC obtained in the present study ($\approx 230 \times 10^3$ cells/ml). Other
197 authors found that plasmin activity increased with SCC (Battacone et al., 2005;
198 Leitner et al., 2004, Theodorou et al. 2007). Furthermore, experiments performed
199 in Manchega ewes (Castillo et al., 2008) found a positive correlation between
200 plasmin and somatic cells in milk samples with very low SCC (175×10^3 cells/ml),
201 supporting the hypothesis that the influence of SCC over the plasmin-plasminogen
202 system may not follow a specific pattern. Theodorou et al. (2007) suggested that
203 these mixed results may be due to variations between breeds and the different
204 methods used to measure plasmin. However, SCC is not the only variable for
205 predicting PL evolution in milk, as PL activity is affected by a complex network of
206 molecular interactions between enzyme activators and inhibitors (Albenzio et al.,
207 2009).

208 Results from the present study also suggest that the levels of plasmin in Manchega
209 milk are affected by the sanitary conditions of the udder in the previous lactation.
210 According to UHS categories, in low SCC milk, plasmin activity in M2 was
211 significantly higher than in PR, and also tend to be higher than in M1. In high SCC
212 milk, plasmin activity in M2 was also higher than in PR, but in this case, similar to
213 M1.

214

215 *3.3. Influence of plasmin on milk composition*

216

217 Table 5 presents the correlation coefficients for plasmin activity and milk
218 composition variables in PR, M1 and M2.

219 No correlation was found between plasmin activity and fat concentration, which
220 agrees with Koutsouli et al. (2015), who reported that in greek ewe breeds plasmin
221 did not seem to affect fat content in milk.

222 Regarding milk proteins, there was a strong negative correlation between plasmin
223 and casein content, which diminished as plasmin activity increased, especially in
224 multiparous ewes, regardless of their clinical history. Average values for plasmin
225 activity and casein content were 1.05 units/ml and 4.85%, respectively. Despite
226 that some authors did not find an effect of plasmin on levels of casein (Albenzio et
227 al., 2004), and others have found a positive correlation (Baldi et al., 1996; Bianchi
228 et al., 2004), most of the references found in literature have reported similar results
229 to those obtained in the present study (Jaeggi et al., 2003; Leitner et al., 2004;
230 Politis and Ng Kwai Hang, 1989). Numerous authors have related this negative
231 correlation with the proteolytic action of plasmin on β -CN (Leitner et al., 2004;
232 Moatsou, 2010; Nielsen, 2002). Thus, this could also explain the negative
233 correlation between plasmin activity and crude protein observed in PR and M2. In
234 this case, the effect of proteolysis would not be so evident, as the measurement
235 involves total protein and not only casein. This might prove why some recent works
236 have not found a clear decrease in crude protein as plasmin activity increased
237 (Koutsouli et al., 2015). However, the results found in the literature are often
238 controversial, as other authors have revealed a positive correlation between
239 plasmin and crude protein. Proteolysis in low SCC milk seems to be dominated by

240 the action of plasmin. However, as SCC increases, the relative significance of
241 plasmin decreases, while the relative activity of other indigenous and microbial
242 enzymes increases (Albenzio et al., 2005; Kelly et al., 2006; Santillo et al., 2009).
243 Therefore, the further research on other indigenous enzymes should be considered
244 to explain more clearly the effect of proteolysis in milk.

245 Although some authors have reported a negative correlation between lactose and
246 plasmin activity in Sarda and Assaf breeds (Battacone et al., 2005; Leitner et al.,
247 2004), no correlation has been found in this study for Manchega ewes.

248 There was a relatively slight correlation between plasmin activity and total solids,
249 probably due to the already mentioned cleavage of casein. Meanwhile, ash content
250 seemed to increase along with plasmin activity, but no references were found in
251 literature to help explain this finding.

252 Lastly, there seemed to be an increase of pH with plasmin activity in PR ewes.
253 Some authors, such as Battacone et al. (2005) reported a correlation between
254 plasmin activity and pH, although others did not find an effect of plasmin on the
255 native pH of milk (Koutsouli et al., 2015).

256

257 *3.4. Influence of plasmin on rennet coagulation*

258

259 Table 6 presents the correlation coefficients for plasmin activity and the renneting
260 parameters of milk in PR, M1 and M2 ewes.

261 In general, rennet coagulation of milk worsens as plasmin activity increases. This is
262 evident specially in milk from M2, since plasmin activity in this category is
263 significantly higher than in the rest. Therefore, in M2, rennet clotting time increased

264 and curd firmness diminished, which agrees with the results obtained by Albenzio
265 et al. (2004), Battacone et al., (2005) and Mara et al. (1998). According to
266 Battacone et al. (2005), the worsening of rennet coagulation parameters is more
267 related to disorders of permeability in the mammary gland than to casein
268 breakdown by proteolysis. However, as previously mentioned, there were no
269 effects of plasmin over lactose that could imply alterations of the milk-blood barrier.
270 Due to all these reasons, the relationship between casein hydrolysis and rennet
271 coagulation needs to be further investigated in greater detail.

272 On the other hand, Leiber et al. (2005) and Srinivasan and Lucey (2002) found
273 that, normally, an increase in plasmin activity negatively affected renneting
274 parameters and curd yield. Nevertheless, other authors did not find a clear
275 correlation between plasmin and rennet coagulation properties of milk. However,
276 most of the experiments found in literature concerning plasmin activity describe
277 addition of different plasmin concentrations to milk, to establish different levels or
278 ranges of activity (Mara et al., 1998). Thus, several authors have reported that high
279 levels of plasmin induce casein hydrolysis, affecting milk coagulation. However, in
280 experiments performed on native raw milk, plasmin levels have been reported to
281 be lower. This suggests that, although there was some impact of plasmin on
282 renneting parameters in M2 ewes, in the rest of categories this effect might not be
283 so evident at native concentrations of the enzyme.

284 In addition, Bastian et al. (1991) found that when SCC did not exceed 300×10^3
285 cells/ml, as in the animal population studied in this experiment, plasmin levels
286 remained relatively low, and no further correlation between plasmin activity and
287 renneting properties was found. This might certainly explain the low impact of

288 plasmin on milk coagulation in PR and M1.

289

290 **Conclusions**

291

292 In Manchega sheep, plasmin activity decreased throughout lactation, and its
293 highest values were measured in ewes that suffered udder infections in the
294 previous lactation. Therefore, regardless the health condition of the animals in the
295 beginning of lactation, there seems to be a residual enzymatic activity persisting as
296 a response to a previous infection.

297 In addition, in ewes with a previous udder infection, plasmin activity had a negative
298 impact on rennet coagulation, probably due to casein breakdown. In the rest of the
299 animals this effect was lighter. However, the good health condition of the herd
300 (reflected by the low somatic cell counts measured) seems to camouflage the
301 possible effects of plasmin on rennet coagulation.

302

303 **Conflict of interest**

304 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

305

306 **Acknowledgements**

307

308 This project was funded by the Government of Spain (Ministry of Education,
309 Culture and Sport / Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness), the industrial
310 partner Agrométodos S.A., Santander Universidades and ceiA3 (Agri-food Science
311 International Campus of Excellence), through the research program “*Doctores en*

312 *Empresas”.*

313 The authors wish to thank Antonio Figueroa for his invaluable help during the
314 development of the project.

315

316 **References**

317

318 Albenzio, M., Santillo, A., Caroprese, M., d’Angelo, F., Marino, R., Sevi, A., 2009.

319 Role of endogenous enzymes in proteolysis of sheep milk. *J. Dairy Sci.* 92,
320 79–86.

321 Albenzio, M., Caroprese, M., Santillo, A., Marino, R., Muscio, A., Sevi, A., 2005.

322 Proteolytic patterns and plasmin activity in ewes’ milk as affected by somatic
323 cell count and stage of lactation. *J. Dairy Res.* 72, 86–92.

324 Albenzio, M., Caroprese, M., Santillo, A., Marino, R., Taibi, L., Sevi, A., 2004.

325 Effects of somatic cell count and stage of lactation on the plasmin activity and
326 cheese-making properties of ewe milk. *J. Dairy Sci.* 87, 533–42.

327 Baldi, A., Savoini, G., Cheli, F., Fantuz, F., Senatore, E., Bertocch, L., Politis, I.,

328 1996. Changes in plasmin-plasminogen-plasminogen activator system in milk
329 from Italian Friesian herds. *Int. Dairy J.* 6, 1045–1053.

330 Bastian, E.D., Brown, R.J., 1996. Plasmin in milk and dairy products: an update.

331 *Int. Dairy J.* 6, 435–457.

332 Bastian, E.D., Brown, R.J., Ernstrom, C.A., 1991. Plasmin activity and milk

333 coagulation. *J. Dairy Sci.* 74, 3677–3685.

334 Battacone, G., Cannas, E.A., Mazzette, A., Dimauro, C., Enne, G., 2005. Why

335 does the increase of plasmin worsen the coagulation properties of milk in dairy

336 sheep? *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* 4, 342–344.

337 Bianchi, L., Bolla, A., Budelli, E., Caroli, A., Casoli, C., Pauselli, M., Duranti, E.,
338 2004. Effect of udder health status and lactation phase on the characteristics
339 of Sardinian ewe milk. *J. Dairy Sci.* 87, 2401–2408.

340 Caballero-Villalobos, J., Garzón A.I., Oliete, B., Arias, R., Jiménez, L., Núñez, N.,
341 Martínez, A.L., 2015. Relationship of somatic cell count and composition and
342 coagulation properties of ewes milk. *Mljekarstvo* 65(2), 138–143.

343 Caroprese, M., Schena, L., Marzano, A., Marino, R., Sevi, A., Albenzio, M., 2007.
344 Contribution of macrophages to plasmin activity in ewe bulk milk. *Ital. J. Anim.*
345 *Sci.* 6(1), 545–547.

346 Castillo, V., Such, X., Caja, G., Casals, R., Albanell, E., Salama, A.A.K., 2008.
347 Effect of milking interval on milk secretion and mammary tight junction
348 permeability in dairy ewes. *J. Dairy Sci.* 91, 2610–2619.

349 Cortellino, G., Locci, F., Rampilli, M., 2006. An investigation of the plasmin-
350 plasminogen system in caprine milk and cheese. *Int. Dairy J.* 16, 619–622.

351 Fox, P.F., Kelly, A.L., 2006. Indigenous enzymes in milk: overview and historical
352 aspects - Part 1. *Int. Dairy J.* 16, 500–516.

353 Groves, M.L., Wickham, E.D., Farrell, H.M., 1998. Environmental effects on
354 disulfide bonding patterns of bovine kappa-casein. *J. Protein Chem.* 17, 73–
355 84.

356 Guerrero, L., Román, S., Pacheco, L., 2003. Proteolysis during cold storage of
357 refrigerated raw milk . Effect of proteolytic enzymes on casein integrity. *Rev.*
358 *Científica FCV-LUZ* XIII, 187–192.

359 Habibi-Najafi, M.B., Lee, B.H., 1996. Bitterness in cheese: a review. *Crit. Rev.*

360 Food Sci. Nutr. 36, 397–411.

361 Heegard, C.E., White, J.H., Zavizion, B., Turner, J.D., Politis, I., 1994. Production
362 of various forms of plasminogen activator and plasminogen activator inhibitor
363 by cultured mammary epithelial cells. *J. Dairy Sci.* 77, 2949–2958.

364 Ismail, B., Choi, L.H., Were, L.M., Nielsen, S.S., 2006. Activity and nature of
365 plasminogen activators associated with the casein micelle. *J. Dairy Sci.* 89,
366 3285–95.

367 Ismail, B., Nielsen, S.S., 2010. Invited review: Plasmin protease in milk: current
368 knowledge and relevance to dairy industry. *J. Dairy Sci.* 93, 4999–5009.

369 Jaeggi, J.J., Govindasamy-Lucey, S., Berger, Y.M., Johnson, M.E., McKusick,
370 B.C., Thomas, D.L., Wendorff, W.L., 2003. Hard ewe's milk cheese
371 manufactured from milk of three different groups of somatic cell counts. *J.*
372 *Dairy Sci.* 86, 3082–3089.

373 Kelly, A.L., O'Flaherty, F., Fox, P.F., 2006. Indigenous proteolytic enzymes in milk:
374 a brief overview of the present state of knowledge. *Int. Dairy J.* 6, 563–572.

375 Kelly, A.L., Reid, S., Joyce, P., Meaney, W.J., Foley, J., 1998. Effect of decreased
376 milking frequency of cows in late lactation on milk somatic cell count,
377 polymorphonuclear leucocyte numbers, composition and proteolytic activity. *J.*
378 *Dairy Res.* 65, 365–73.

379 Koutsouli, P., Patounas, G., Massouras, T., Bizelis, I., Politis, I., 2015. Plasmin-
380 plasminogen system and milk coagulation properties of two Greek dairy sheep
381 breeds. *Small Rumin. Res.* 124, 89–94.

382 Leiber, F., Nigg, D., Kunz, C., Scheeder, M.R.L., Wettstein, H.R., Kreuzer, M.,
383 2005. Protein composition, plasmin activity and cheesemaking properties of

384 cows' milk produced at two altitudes from hay of lowland and high-alpine
385 origins. *J. Dairy Res.* 72, 65–74.

386 Leitner, G., Chaffer, M., Shamay, A., Shapiro, F., Merin, U., Ezra, E., Saran, A.,
387 Silanikove, N., 2004. Changes in milk composition as affected by subclinical
388 mastitis in sheep. *J. Dairy Sci.* 87, 46–52.

389 Mara, O., Roupie, C., Duffy, A., Kelly, A.L., 1998. The curd-forming properties of
390 milk as affected by the action of plasmin. *Int. Dairy J.* 8, 807–812.

391 Moatsou, G., 2010. Indigenous enzymatic activities in ovine and caprine milks. *Int.*
392 *J. Dairy Technol.* 63(1), 16–31.

393 Nielsen, S.S., 2002. Plasmin system and microbial proteases in milk:
394 characteristics, roles, and relationship. *J. Agric. Food Chem* 50, 6628–6634.

395 Politis, I., Lachance, E., Block, E., and Turner, J.D., 1989. Plasmin and
396 plasminogen in bovine milk: a relationship with involution? *J. Dairy Res.* 72,
397 900-906.

398 Politis, I., Ng Kwai Hang, K.F., 1989. Environmental factors affecting plasmin
399 activity in milk. *J. Dairy Sci.* 72, 1713–1718.

400 Richardson, B.C., Pearce, K.N., 1981. The determination of plasmin in dairy
401 products. *New Zeal. J. Dairy Sci. Technol.* 16, 209–220.

402 Saint-Denis, B.T., Humbert, G., Gaillard, J.L., 2001. Enzymatic assays for native
403 plasmin, plasminogen and plasminogen activators in bovine milk. *J. Dairy Res.*
404 68, 437–49.

405 Santillo, A., Kelly, A.L., Palermo, C., Sevi, A., Albenzio, M., 2009. Role of
406 indigenous enzymes in proteolysis of casein in caprine milk. *Int. Dairy J.* 19,
407 655–660.

408 Sevi, A., Albenzio, M., Marino, R., Santillo, A., Muscio, A., 2004. Effects of lambing
409 season and stage of lactation on ewe milk quality. *Small Rumin. Res.* 51, 251–
410 259.

411 Silanikove, N., 2016. Transcellular route as the most probable explanation for the
412 presence of plasminogen in mammal's milk. *J. Theor. Biol.* 395, 221–226.

413 Silanikove, N., Shapiro, F., Merin, U., and Leitner, G., 2013. Tissue-type
414 plasminogen activator and plasminogen embedded in casein micelle its
415 degradation under physiological situations: manipulation with casein
416 hydrolysate. *J. Dairy Res.* 80, 227–232.

417 Sousa, M.J., Ardö, Y., McSweeney, P.L.H., 2001. Advances in the study of
418 proteolysis during cheese ripening. *Int. Dairy J.* 11, 327–345.

419 Srinivasan, M., Lucey, J.A., 2002. Effects of added plasmin on the formation and
420 rheological properties of rennet-induced skim milk gels. *J. Dairy Sci.* 85, 1070–
421 1078.

422 Theodorou, G., Kominakis, A., Rogdakis, E., Politis, I., 2007. Factors affecting the
423 plasmin-plasminogen system in milk obtained from three Greek dairy sheep
424 breeds with major differences in milk production capacity. *J. Dairy Sci.* 90,
425 3263–9.

426 White J.H., Zavizion, B., O'Harea, K., Gilmore, I., Guoa, M.R., Kindstedt, P. and
427 Politis, I., 1995. Distribution of plasminogen activator in different fractions of
428 bovine milk. *J. Dairy Res.* 62 115–122.

429

Figure 1. Plasmin activity global average during lactation.

(See attached file).

Table 1. Plasmin activity (least squares means) as determined by stage of lactation (SL) and parity (PAR).

	EARLY LACT.		MID LACT.		LATE LACT.		SEM	P		
	PRIM	MULTI	PRIM	MULTI	PRIM	MULTI		SL	PAR	SL x PAR
PL (u/ml)	0.76	1.69 ^a	0.71	1.08 ^{ab}	0.46	0.83 ^b	0.070	0.313	0.076	0.692

Within each PAR group, means without a common superscript are statistically different at P<0.05

Table 2. Contrast analysis comparing plasmin activity among different stages of lactation (SL) in primiparous and multiparous ewes.

	Early×Mid	Early×Late	Mid×Late
Primiparous	0.935	0.640	0.699
Multiparous	0.097	0.036*	0.545

An asterisk indicates significant differences at P<0.05

Table 3. Plasmin activity (least squares means) according to somatic cell count (SCC) and udder health status (UHS).

	LOW SCC			MID SCC			HIGH SCC			SEM	P		
	PR	M1	M2	PR	M1	M2	PR	M1	M2		SCC	UHS	SCC x UHS
PL (u/ml)	0.76 ^a	0.90 ^{ab}	1.44 ^b	0.61	0.94	1.07	0.64 ^a	1.23 ^b	1.29 ^b	0.070	0.562	0.003	0.761

Within each SCC category, means without a common superscript are statistically different at P<0.05

PR = primiparous ewes.

M1 = multiparous ewes with no damage of the udder in the previous lactation.

M2 = multiparous ewes with udder damage in the previous lactation.

Table 4. Contrast analysis comparing plasmin activity throughout different somatic cell count (SCC) ranges in all udder health status (UHS) categories.

	Low×Mid	Low×High	Mid×High
PR	0.628	0.747	0.925
M1	0.913	0.320	0.430
M2	0.151	0.517	0.376

An asterisk indicates significant differences at $P < 0.05$

PR = primiparous ewes.

M1 = multiparous ewes with no damage of the udder in the previous lactation.

M2 = multiparous ewes with udder damage in the previous lactation.

Table 5. Correlation between plasmin activity and milk composition.

	PR	M1	M2
Fat	-0.1863	-0.0789	-0.1274
Crude protein	-0.2158*	-0.0056	-0.1593*
Total solids	-0.2054	-0.0942	-0.1527*
Lactose	0.1752	0.061	0.0979
Casein	-0.2539*	-0.4333***	-0.4442***
Ash	-0.1127	0.3237**	0.1024***
Urea	-0.0389	0.1674	0.0871
Native pH	0.3845*	0.1974	0.4968

* P<0.05 ; ** P<0.01 ; *** P<0.001

PR = Primiparous ewes.

M1 = multiparous ewes with no damage of the udder in the previous lactation.

M2 = multiparous ewes with udder damage in the previous lactation.

Table 6. Correlation between plasmin activity and rennet coagulation variables.

	PR	M1	M2
RCT	0.0914	0.0296	0.1685*
k ₂₀	-0.0857	-0.0073	0.137
RCT + k ₂₀	0.0587	0.0223	0.1928*
A ₃₀	-0.1907	-0.0037	-0.1731*
A ₆₀	-0.0136	-0.3006**	-0.214**
Curd yield	-0.2785**	0.26*	0.0429

* P<0.05 ; ** P<0.01 ; *** P<0.001

PR = Primiparous ewes.

M1 = multiparous ewes with no damage of the udder in the previous lactation.

M2 = multiparous ewes with udder damage in the previous lactation.